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## A. Development and Objectives of the Microcensus

The licrocensus has been conducted as a current sample survey on population and economic activity in the Federal Republic of Germany since October 1957, i.e. annually with a sampling fraction of $1 \%$ and three times a year with a sampling fraction of $0.1 \%$. About 210,000 households - comprising some 590,000 persons - are at present covered by the $1 \%$ survey. They are questioned by interviewers on demographic facts as well as on occupational, economic and social conditions, particularly on employment. In the years from 1957 to 1962 the $1 \%$-inquiries were performed in October. Since 1963 they have been switched to April. The survey is decentralized and held by the Land statistical offices in the 11 Laender of the Federal Republic. The interviewers are in each case specially trained for their job.

From October 1957 to 1961 a two-stage sampling procedure was used. At the first stage the communities were selected, after standardization and stratification, and at the second stage the dwellings. A description of this sample design is given in Chapter C. Since October 1962 area sampling procedures have been used.The $10 \%$-sample of enumeration districts of the 1961 Population Census provides the sampling frame. From this $10 \%$-sample of enumeration districts another $10 \%$-sample of enumeration districts was selected for the Microcensus after a special arrangement and stratification of the enumeration districts. A supplementary selection of newly built-up areas has further been made every year also according to the principle of area sampling. A detailed description of this sample design is given in Chapter D.

The sampling plan of the Microcensus has been arranged to be universally representative for samples of persons,households, families, dwelling units or houses.This means that the results may be raised to the corresponding universe without any special weighting. Accordingly, the sampling plan of the Microcensus does not permit it to be used for sampling for instance corporations.On the other hand, the sampling plan covers a repre-
sentative selection of $1 \%$ or $0.1 \%$ of each sub-group, for instance of recipients of public assistance, of disabled persons, of divorced persons, of the members of the employees' and the workers' old age insurance fund, of holders of driving licences, etc.

As to the content, there is in the Microcensus a basic and a supplementary programme ${ }^{1 \text { ). }}$

Thebasicprogramme $\mathrm{e}^{2)}$, which is invariable for the different surveys, covers, among others, the following characteristics: Sex, age, relation to the head of household, presence or absence, possession of a further dwelling, marital status, year of marriage for married people, nationality, membership in a social insurance scheme, economic activity, source of livelihood, firm, agency, locality and branch of business in which an activity is performed, number of hours worked in the reference week, employment status and for self-employed persons the number of workers other than family members, amount of the net income in the month preceding the inquiry and for farmers the size of the agriculturally used area. It is further determined whether a second economic activity was performed during the reference week.

The supplementary prog $r a m m e ~ o f ~ t h e ~ l i c r o c e n s u s, ~ o n ~ t h e ~ o t h-~$ er hand, changes from one survey to the other. During compilation, it is possible to combine the data of the basic programme with those of the supplementary programme;a large part of the data provided by the basic programme is already coded by the interviewer on the questionnaire. Preparatory work with a view to transferring at least part of the basic programme directly to magnetic tape by means of reading devices is still in progress.

Since a household is included into three to five surveys and only then exchanged for another (rotation), it is possible to current1) The following part is derived from the paper UUse of Sample Censuses to Increase Scope of Census Subject Coverage" contributed to the Vorld Population Conference 1965 by Dr. H. Schubnell, Federal Statistical Office, Wiesbaden.- 2)Catalogue of questions for the Microcensus surveys see p. 8.
ly determine any changes as to size and composition of the household, the change of occupation or establishment where the person is employed, etc。

In order to understand the role of the Microcensus within the system of official population statistics,it is important to know that the population data of the Federal Republic of Germany are currently adjusted every month according to sex. The basis for this adjustment are the census results for the individual communities. It is a legal obligation for every person changing the place of residence to register with the police at the former and the new place of residence. The number of inhabitants for every community can thus be annually determined by adding births and arrivals and subtracting deaths and departures. The results of the current adjustment provide the basis for raising the results of the sample to the total population.

The major tasks to be jointly solved by the Census and the Microcensus

We shall now deal with the functioning of the system of various inquiries in the field of population and occupation statistics and the "division of labour". which has developed between Census, current statistics of events (e.g.statistics of births, deaths, migration, of schools and institutions of higher education) and the Microcensus.

1. The Census supplies at larger intervals the basic information in the most detailed breakdown for communities and enumeration districts. It also provides highly differentiated material, e.g. the economically active population by sex, age and occupation according to about 440 occupational categories.
The Census therefore constitutes:
the most important basis for differentiated regional and technical data which are needed for regional planming and other purposes;
the basis
for the current adjustment of the population according to communities, for the stratification of the commu-
nities for the purpose of a random selection to be used with sample de3içn,
for the random selection of the enumeration districts, dwellings and households.
2. The Microcensus disencumbers the Census of data for which more detailed regional breakdowns are either not necessary or inexpedient. Pilot surveys for the census have, e.g., shown that questions relating to the type and extent of social insurance were answered in a very unsatisfactory way because the legal situation is very complicated. The information provided by the respondents on disablement were also very incomplete. These questions were therefore included into the sample survey, because there it is possible for the interviewers to advise the respondents and to check to some extent the information given ${ }^{1)}$.
3. The Microcensus-controls the Census. The Census was taken on 6 June 1961. Microcensus surveys were held in April and July of the same year.It was possible to check the results mutually according to characteristics which had been recorded in. both enumerations.

In order to verify the completeness of the Census results, sample checks were made in communities and enumeration districts determined. by random selection.

In order to establish to what an extent there had been double counts, enumeration sheets were made out and brought together for all persons the family name of whom begins with an $A$.

In order to control major characteristics, the questionnaires of the Population Census and those of the Microcensus survey of July were brought trgether and checked for consistency.
4. The Microcensus supplements the Census:

In point of time: The most important data

1) H.Schubnell "Die Volks- und Berufszählung 1961, Methodische und Organisatorische Probleme", Allgemeines Statistisches Archiv 1, $22-41$; 2, 141-148 (1962).
of demographic and occupational statistics are determined for the Federation and the Laender under the basic programme on a quarterly basis according to the latest position, and any changes are observed. For this purpose it was necessary to reconcile the wording of questions, the definitions and the classifications of the Census and the Microcensus with each other.

In topics: The subjects of the follow-up surveys show in which way the Microcensus supplements the Census in topics (see schedule on $p .6$ ).

It is hardly necessary to mention that administration and science submit many more subjects for such follow-up surveys than the interviewers and the population may reasonably be expected to handle. It is therefore necessary to make a selection from these subjects in close contact with the relevant agencies.

The Microcensus organisation (selected enumeration districts, interviewers) may also be used for other sample surveys as has, e.g., been the case in $1 \%$-housing sample surveys and sample surveys on income and expenditure.

It is intended to link the Census to be held around 1970 even more closely together with the Microcensus than has already been possible in 1961 and to make use of the results supplied by the follow-up surveys of the Microcensus for the preparation of the Census.

The graph on $p .7$ shows in a schematic way the system for the recording of information in the field of population statistics.
a. Both the Census and the Microcensus provide information on the number and structure of the population. The current adjustments using information obtained from the statistics of vital events and migration permit a permanent observation of the population develop-
ment, the results of which are also made use of for raising the Microcensus figures. The follow-up surveys of the Microcensus bridge the gaps which still exist in educational statistics, e.g. as far as the vocational training in an establishment (cf. No. 6 of the schedule on $p$. 6) is concerned, or it increases the available information on the economic activity of mothers and its consequences for the custody of their children (No. 2, p. 6) or else it provides in excess of the number of disabled persons direct figures on illness and accidents (No. 10 and No. 12, p. 6). Migration statistics which are compiled on a current basis, as well as the collection of material on commuting according to number and structure of migrants and according to the migration flows from one community to another, compiled in the course of a Census, are supplemented by the recording of week-end commuters (No. 7) in the Microcensus.
b. The graph on p. 7 shows only the linkage of the survey techniques which have been referred to above in the field of population statistics. There are of course very close relationships also with economic and social stat'stics, as, e.g., with a quarterly observation of the economically active population in the various branches of economic activity and with the recording of the hours worked during the reference week. These are important data for determining the productivity of national economy.

| Year | No. | S u b je ct |  | Major characteristics |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1962 | 1 | Holiday and recreation travel | 1 \% | Which members of the household have travelled? Type of trip (organized tour, individual trip), time, destination (at home, abroad), principal means of transportation used, type of accommodation (hotel, camping, etc.), financing and total expenditure |
|  | 2 | Care of childrenunder 14 years of age of working mothers | $1 \%$ | Type and daily hours of economic activity, duration of daily absence from family, number, age, sex of children; extent and type of care provided by individuals, nursery schools, schools, etc. during the absence, separately for mornings and afternoons |
|  | 3 | Cultivation of vegetables and potatoes on plots of under 0.5 hectares | $1 \%$ | Size of area, cultivation in home gardens or on other plots |
|  | 4 | Net income | $1 \%$ | Income by brackets (under DM 150, 150-300, $300-600,600-800,800-1,200,1,200-1,800$ and over) |
| 1963 | 5 | Illness and accidents (pilot sample survey) | 0.1\% | Illness on reference day or during preceding 4 weeks, accidents during preceding year; duration of illness, medical treatment, confinement to bed, hospitalization, incapacity for work, type of illness, type of accident |
| 1964 | 6 | Vocational training | 1 \% | Type of vocational schools which have been attended (incl. institutions of higher education), practical vocationa training, time and type of completion of training, relationship between economic activity pursued and training, duration of on-the-job training; former activities in agriculture |
|  | 7 | Weekend commuters | $1 \%$ | Number of trips over the weekend from the place of work or of training to the residence of the family (during the last 12 months), distance in km , means of transportation used |
|  | 8 | First-aid training | 0.1\% | Time and type of training, by which organization |
| 1965 | 9 | Holders of driving licences, utilization of the licence | $1 \%$ | Type and year of issue of driving licence, utilization during preceding year(regularly, occasionally, not at all), purpose for which licence was utilized (private, business), type of motor vehicle used (private car, lorry, bus) |
|  | 10 | Accidents involving children and juveniles aged under 15 years | 1 \% | Sex, age, place and type of accident, need for medical treatment, consequences of accident |
|  | 11 | Sunday, holiday and night work | $1 \%$ $0.5 \%$ | Type and duration of activity,frequency of night work and Sunday work, overtime see No. 5 |
| 1966 | 12 13 | Illness and accidents <br> Physical and mental disability | 0.5\% | Sex, age, cause and nature of disability, official recognition, economic activity, vocational education, re-training |
|  | 14 | Economic activity of women | $1 \%$ | Employment of 40 to 65-year-old women during the period from their 15 th to their 65 th year of ace, time and cause of interruption of economic activity |
|  | 15 | Holiday and recreation travel | 10.1\% | Type of trip (organized tour, individual trip), time, destination (at tome, abroad) |




## B. Concepts and Definitions of Employment <br> Statistics Used in the Microcensus

## I. Participation in economic life

As is the case with the population and occupation census, the Microcensus relates to households and the persons living there. The participation in economic life is therefore viewed from the individual person, in contradistinction to surveys where establishments or enterprises are to report the persons they employ.

For representing the data on persons participating in economic life in general, the Microcensus uses the "employment concept" ${ }^{1 \text { ) }}$ in analogy to the 1961 Population and Occupation Census.

According to the "employment concept", all persons are considered part of the active population if during a report period (reference week) they are in employment, active as self-employed or as unpaid family workers or if they are not gainfully employed. All types of economic activity of these persons are considered as equivalent with regard to the conceptual allocation, irrespective of whether they pursue a full-time activity or have a secondary occupation, e.g. as retired persons, pensioners etc. The duration of such an activity is irrelevant for the allocation of these persons under the employment concept.

The participation of a person in economic life may be considered under two aspects, namely whether the person concerned pursues an economic activity himself and to what an extent, in terms of the time involved, or from which source the means of subsistence are derived.

[^1]For the Microcensus of April 1964, two basic criteria were distinguished for the evaluation,as had been the case with the 1961 Population and Occupation Census: the active participation in economic life and the principal means of subsistence. The first aspect is represented in greater detail by the "employment concept"and the second by the "subsistence concept".

The combination of the two concepts provides a new insight into the employment and subsistence structure of the population, which had been impossible so far. If a table is drawn up (see Chart 1) in which the pre-column is grouped according to the employment concept and the heading according to the subsistence concept, this yields from left to right for each group of persons under the employment concept the principal means of subsistence, or from top to bottom for every category of the subsistence concept the participation in economic life. The crossed boxes in Chart1 are not feasible by definition. Economically non-active persons, for example, cannot derive their principal means of subsistence from economic activity since under the definitions of the employment concept they do not pursue any economic activity.

Details on the various concepts of employment statistics have already been given in other connections.

When applying the "labour force concept" (OEEC definition), a minimum working time for the activity in the establishment is assumed as the criterion to decide whether the group of unpaid family workers is to be considered as part of the labour force or not. This minimum is 15 hours per week or about one third of the "normal" weekly hours of work. In order to arrive at the scope of the labour force concept, it is sufficient to deduct all unpaid family workers included in the employment concept who worked, by their own decision, in the reference week less than 15 hours. Unpaid family workers who for other reasons, e.g. because of sickness or the specific type of their activity, have worked less than 15 hours in the reference week are under the labour force concept considered as part of the labour force.

Second and sometimes also third economic activities have been reported for some of the economically active persons. When also counting these additional activities, one passes from the statistics of persons to the sta-

Chart 1
Interrelations between the employment and the subsistence concepts

| Employment concept |  | Subsistence concept |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Persons who | se principal means | of subsistence | ue from |
|  |  | economic activity | unemployment benefits or relief | pensions etc. | family members |
| Active population | economically active persons | economically active persons whose principal means of subsistence accrue from economic activity | economically active persons whose principal means of subsistence accrue from unemployment benefits or relief1) | economically active persons whose principal means of subsistence accrue from pensions etc. | economically active persons whose principal means of subsistence accrue from family members |
|  | persons not gainfully employed |  | persons not gainfully employed whose principal means of subsistence accrue from unemployment benefits or relief | persons not gain- <br> fully employed <br> whose principal <br> means of subsist- <br> ence accrue from <br> pensions etc. | persons not gain- <br> fully employed <br> whose principal <br> means of subsist- <br> ence accrue from <br> family members |
| Non-active population |  |  |  | non-active persons whose principal means of subsistence accrue from pensions etc. | non-active persons whose principal means of subsistence accrue from family members |

[^2]tistics of activity cases, which establishes some sort of analogy with the results of the statistics of establishments on the persons engaged (= employment cases). A complete analogy cannot be reached as a person who performs several activities of the same kind does not always regard them as separate activity cases. It is quite possible that somebody who performs agricultural work in various holdings considers this as a single economic activity. Employment conditions which in spite of a dependent activity approach the form of practising a liberal profession (e.g. the book-keeper working by the hour for several retail establishments) hardly permit a distinction to be made by activity cases if the individual person is queried. In the interest of obtaining information as unbiased as possible with regard to the secondary or additional economic activities, there were no questions concerning the firm or establishment of the second and third economic activity. So it was to a higher degree at the discretion of the respondent to combine or to segregate the economic activities than would have been the case if the individual establishments were to be considered.

## II. Employment status

1. Self-employed:Persons who as proprietors, co-proprietors, tenants, entrepreneurs or craftsmen operate independently an industrial or commercial establishment or agricultural holding and have both its economic and organizational direction,further independent distributing agentsand all persons with liberal professions. Tradesmen working at home and work agents are also included in this group of persons.
2. Unpaid family workers: Persons working in an eatablishment operated by the head of household or another family member and receiving neither wages nor salaries; there must not be paid for them any compulsory contributions to the social security schemes either. Persons liable to health and social insurance are counted as employees.
3. Public officials: As public officials are considered all officials of the Federation, the Laender and communities, of corporations under public law, incl. candidates for civil service and officials under training, clergymen and officials of the Protestant Church in Germany, the Roman Catholic Church and the Old Catholic Church (clergymen of other confessions are counted as salaried employees).
4. Salaried employees: All salary earners without civil service commission. They include commercial, technical and administrative employees.
5. Wage earners:All persons receiving wages, further journeymen, helpers and homeworkers.
6. Apprentices/trainees, improvers, etc. : Persons under a contract as apprentice or trainee or otherwise in practical vocational training.
7. Dependently employed persons: They comprise public officials, salaried employees, wage earners, apprentices/trainees, improvers, etc.

## III. Hours of work

The Microcensus covers the hours actually worked during the reference week. The data on working time thus include the hours worked without any payment (e.g. hours performed by unpaid family workers, unpaid overtime of public officials) and exclude those paid but not worked (e.g. because of vacation or sickness). Where the working time changes from one week to the other (e.g.at establishments with a sliding schedule of weekly hours of work or where every second Saturday is an off-day), the hours worked during the reference week were covered. Standby hours are considered as working time. For unpaid family workers, only the hours performed for the establishment - not the time used for household work - were to be covered. However, the exclusion of the working time for domestic work, particularly in agriculture, presumably
has not been fully achieved. Cases where the hours worked had not been stated were considered in the calculations with the average value for the relevant employment status and sector of economy.

## IV. Economic activities

In the Microcensus all economic activities performed by a person are covered, irrespective of whether these economic activities are decisive for the livelihood of this person. So an economic activity may be performed in addition to another principal source of livelihood, e.g. a pension. A person may also pursue several economic activities at the same time.

## V. Age

The age of the respondents was determined as the balance between year of birth and year of inquiry. The age groups included in the tables were formed on this basis.

## VI. Branchea of economic activity

For processing the inquiries held from 0ctober 1957 to October 1960, the branches of economic activity were coded according to the key of the 1950 Census of Non-Agricultural Iocal Units.

As from October 1961, the "Industrial Classification of Economic Activities for the Occupational Census, 1961" - derived from the new basic Industrial Classification of Economic Activities - has been applied for classifying the economic activities according to branches instead of the key for the 1950 Census of Non-Agricultural Local Units used so far ${ }^{1)}$.

The following principles have to be considT) See H. Bartela and H. Spilker: "Systematik der Wirtschaftszweige" in Wirtschaft und Statistik, 1959, No. 2, p. 55 et seq., and S. Koller, H.Schubnell, K. Schwarz, H. Sperling: "Das Programm der Volks- und Berufszählung 1961" in Wirtschaft und Statistik, 1961, No. 4, p. 214 et seq.
ered if figures of the Microcensus are to be used in a breakdown by economic divisions:
a) The economically active persons are allocated to the economic divisions according to the main economic activity of the local unit (not enterprise) in which they are employed;
b) where persons are queried, the indication of the main economic activity of the establishment cannot be as exact as in the case of inquiries involving the establishments;
c) since October 1961 the classification of the 1961 Population and Occupation Census has been applied for the allocation to the economic divisions, i.e. a number of public institutions and establishments have been allocated as private non-profit institutions (e.g. in the field of education and public health etc.) to Division 7 "Services" rather than - as under the Industrial Classification of Economic Activities and the specialized classification derived therefrom for censuses of establishments - to Divisions 8 and 9 "Private non-profit institutions and private households" and "Central and local government, social insurance".

The systematic changes made following the intraduction of the new classification mainly concern the economic sector "Trade and transport" as well as "Services".The differing allocation of the insurance trade (excl. social security) and of finance, banks and stock exchanges (formerly in "Trade and transport" now under "Services") is of greatest consequence. About the following relations can be applied for a re-estimation of former results according to the new economic classification of sectors: Under "Other economic sectors (services)" have to be classified from the former sectors (accordino to the old classification)

[^3]b) "Production industries": about $0.5 \%$ each of male and female economically active persons.

Changes of the systematic allocation within the other sectors can be disregarded because of their insignificance.

The representations according to the system of the International Standard Industrial Classification(ISIC) as well as in the grouping for the purposes of national accounts are based on the above classification.

## VII. Groups of income

The income situation has been recorded since October 1962.The following groups were formed in order to facilitate the determination of incomes:

| under | 150 DM |
| :--- | ---: |
| 150 to under | 300 DM |
| 300 to under | 600 DM |
| 600 to under | 800 DM |
| 800 to under | $1,200 \mathrm{DM}$ |
| 1,200 to under | $1,800 \mathrm{DM}$ |
| $1,800 \mathrm{DM}$ and over. |  |

The net income of the in d i i dual household members was recorded (earned income, pension, etc. - after taxes and social insurance contributions). If a person drew incomes from several sources, they had to be added up. The purpose of determining the income situation was to further differentiate several characteristics, particularly the employment status,by considering a breakdown by groups of income. The income situation was neither determined for the self-employed in agriculture and forestry nor for unpaid family workers.

## C. Sample Design of the Microcensus from 1957 to 1961

## I. Stratification of communities

In the first stage 2,700 communities (about $11 \%$ ) were selected from the about 24,000
communities of the Federal Republic. Household inquiries were made only in these communities selected at random.

The selection of thecommunities was preceded byastratification of ell 24,000 communities of the Federal Republic according to characteristics of demographic and economicstatistics.

The characteristics drawn upon for the stratification and ordering are in each federal Land:

> Size class of community,
> Froportion of the agricultural population in the resident population per community,
> Proportion of holdings with an agricultural area of less than 5 hectares in the aggregate of agricultural holdings in a community,
> Proportion of out-going commuters in the resident population per community,
> Proportion of in-coming commuters in the total number of persons working at the locality, per community
> Distance of the compunity from larger cities (proximity)
> Proportion of the economically active persons in industry and handicrafts (excluding construction) in the total number of economically active persons (only for communities of more than (0,000 inhabitants).

Classes were formed for each of the characteristics. The most important of them which as a stratification criterion is more efficient than any other characteristics is the communty size class. The class limits for the other characteristics depended on the community size class.

For the proportion of ine agricultural population, for instance, three groups were distinguished for communities of less than 1,000

1) The proximity was determined from the map of communal boundaries considering the location of the community with regard to transport and traffic and using as standard distance for large communities a straight line of up to 50 km in connectionwith the presur.able inward-commuter area.
inhabitants and two groups for communities of more than 1,000 inhabitants. The class limit selected for communities of 1,000 to 3,000 inhabitants was $30 \%$ and for communities of 3,000 to under 10,000 inhabitants $10 \%$.

By combining the mentioned characteristics, groups were then formed as similar in their structure as possible.

Groups were also formed-beyond the general system of stratification and ordering for communities with specific particularities. This involved institutional communities, tourist communities, communities with large agricultural holdings and the so-called large peasant communities. As institutional communities ${ }^{1)}$ were considered all those communities where the proportion of the institutional population in the resident population was more than $25 \%$ (exceptions: Schles-wig-Holstein more than 15 and Bavaria more than $20 \%$ ). Among the tourist communities were counted all communities with a high number of overnighte per capita of the population. Communities with large agricultural holdings are communities with holdings of 200 hectares and more of agricultural area (exceptions: Baden-Wuerttemberg only for communities of up to 3,000 inhabitants and Hesse already with holdings of 140 hectares of agricultural area and over). Large peasant communities are the relevant communities of the Kreise Oldenburg and Eutin in SchleswigHolstein and the so-called large Oldenburg communities in Lower Saxony.

For ordering the communities of 10,000 and more inhabitants, two groups were formed according to the proportion of the economically active persons in industry and handicrafts (excluding construction),i.e. under and over $20 \%$.The upper group (over $20 \%$ ) was further subdivided according to the proportion of economically active persons in the economic groups or divisions of mining, stones and earths as well as iron, steel and metal industries. Within the groups the communities were arranged according to the type of the

[^4]main industrial activity (textiles, leather products, etc.).

## II. First stage of sampling

To ensure the rational employment of the interviewers it was decided that in the $1 \%$-inquiry every interviewer should query about 100 persons (or about 30 households in some 20 dwellings); the standard was reduced to 50 respondents only in communities of less than 500 inhabitants. As a genera rule, a number of 50 persons per interviewer was fixed for the $0.1 \%$-survey. This yielded the scheme presented in Table 1.

After all communities had been arianged according to the combinations indicated, they were entered, stating the number of their inhabitants, in so-called sampling lists for each Land of the Federal Republic and for each community size class in succession by groups in ordering. The order on the sampling list was determined in such a way that each group of communities differed as to the characteristics or its class limits from the preceding group in ordering only in one point. The communities were arranged within each group according to their code number, i.e. regionally according to administrative districts and Kreise.

Table 1: Size of sampling groups in relation to the size classification used for the communities in the $1 \%$ and the $0.1 \%$-inquiry

| Communities of...to under ...inhabitants | $\begin{gathered} \text { Commu- } \\ \text { nity } \\ \text { size } \\ \text { class } \end{gathered}$ | Size samp group sons) commu for $0.1 \%-$ survey | of the <br> ling <br> (per- <br> per <br> nity <br> the <br> $1 \%$ - <br> survey | ```Number of in- terviewers per community in the c\|}\begin{array}{c}{0.1%-}\end{array}\begin{array}{c}{1%-}\\{\mathrm{ survey }}``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { under } 500 \\ 500-3,000 \\ 3,000-10,000 \\ 10,000-25,000 \\ 25,000-50,000 \\ 50,000 \text { and over } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,2 \\ & 3,4,5 \\ & 6,7 \\ & 8,9 \\ & 10 \\ & 11,12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 50 \\ 0.1 \% 1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \\ 100 \\ 200 \\ 300 \\ 1 \% 1 \\ 1 \% 1\} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0.002 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 0.01 \% 1) \\ 0.01 \% \end{gathered}$ |

1) of the resident population.

For the $1 \%$-inquiry, the size of the sampling group was in general fixed at 100 persons to be queried by one interviewer. Accordingly, there is one interviewer for every 10,000 of
the population or - from the point of view of regional distribution and selection technique - every 10,000 th person of the population constitutes a sampling point in the sense that in the community of this person one interviewer has to query 100 persons. For the systematic sampling with a sampling interval of $1: 10,000$, the starting point for the selection of communities was first determined with a random sampling number " $z$ " of under 10,001.

The further sampling communities are determined by the cumulative addition of 10,000 to the starting number. As the $k^{\text {th }}$ community is selected the one to which belongs the hypothetical number of persons $z+(k-1) x$ 10,000. An analogous procedure is applied for the sampling groups of 50,200 and 300 inhabitants. The selection interval is always 100 times the number of persons of the sampling group.

The chance of a community to be selected is with this procedure proportional to the number of its population.

The sampling fractions of the first stage (in $\%$ ) correspond to the formula:

$$
\frac{\text { number of population of the community }}{\text { number of persons of the sampling group. }}
$$

It shows for each individual community the probability of being selected and yields on an average for a community size class the percentages indicated in Table 2 (primary sampling fraction) ${ }^{1}$ ).

The $0.1 \%$-sample is a subsample of the $1 \%$-sample. The size of the sampling group for the $0.1 \%$-inquiries amounts in all communities of less than 50,000 inhabitants to 50 persons (see Table 1). As a basis for the $0.1 \%$-subsample it was determined for all sampling groups of the $1 \%$-survey how many groups of 50 persons they include. One out of every 10 such groups was then selected at random. The community to which this sampling group be-

[^5]longed was included in the $0.1 \%$-subsample. All communities of 50,000 inhabitants and more are represented in tho $0.1 \%$-inquiry by at least 50 respondents.

Table 2: Proportions of selected communities in the various community $^{\text {come }}$ classes

| Community size class (communities of ... to under... inhabitants) | Communities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | total | of which were <br> selected <br> number <br> $\%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| under 200 | 2,151 | 75 | 3.49 |
| $200-500$ | 7,524 | 511 | 6.79 |
| $500-1,000$ | 6,928 | 502 | 7.25 |
| 1,000 - 2,000 | 4,319 | 602 | 13.94 |
| 2,000 - 3,000 | 1,241 | 303 | 24.42 |
| 3,000 - 5,000 | 913 | 179 | 19.61 |
| 5,000-10,000 | 612 | 218 | 35.62 |
| 10,000 - 25,000 | 306 | 159 | 51.96 |
| 25,000 and more | 183 | 183 | 100 |
| Total | 24,177 | 2,732 | 11.30 |

1) Population and territory: 13 September 1950.

## III. Second stage of sampling

The sampling fraction of the second stage (secondary sampling fraction) is defined as follows:

Secondary sampling fraction (\%) = number of persons of sampling group
number of population of selected
community on 13 Sept. 1950

The sampling fraction computed in this way indicates - if applied to the latest number of inhabitants - the number of persons to be covered in the selected communities and - if used for the number of dwellings - the number of dwellings to be included.

The selection of communities according to the position of 1950 would first entail - if it were carried out at a later date with the absolute figures used for the selection (50, 100, etc. persons to be covered per sampling community) - for communities which have meanwhile
increased in size, an under-enumeration
decreased in size, an over-enumeration.

However, the two categories of communities are in due proportion contained in the sample - except for random deviations - since
the firss sampling stage or the Microcensus constitutes a representative sample of communities. The increase for the growing and the decrease for the shrinking communities, i.e. also the shifts of population, are correctly recorded by adhering to the relative secondary sampling fraction of each sampling community. The only precondition is that the sampling frame within the communities is fully up to date.

The sampling procedure yields a self-weighting sample; there is no need for a subsequent arithmetical weighting as all figures from parts of the sample can be added up.

The dwellings where the inquiries for the Microcensus have been made since 1957 are selected from the material of the housing census taken in September 1956. This material is supplemented by data obtained from the statistics of building activity, because the records of the housing census offer the chance of being selected only to dwellings which were occupied already in September 1956 (census date); dwellings occupied at a later date have as yet no such chance. In order to give these dwellings also a chance to be selected, the secondary sampling fraction is also applied to the reports on occupied new dwellings in Microcensus communities. The sampling frame for the Microcensus inquiries thus always considers the most recent situation.

All households in a selected dwelling are included with all persons who belong there to the resident population. If the household which at the key-date of the housing census lived in the selected dwelling had in the meantime moved, the succeeding household was covered.Households which had moved away were not traced.

The sampling procedure used for the institutional population differs from the above procedure for the other population, in order to account for the specific structure of this group.

Chart 2 presents these data of the $1 \%$ and $0.1 \%$-inquiries which are most important for
the sample design.

D. Sample Design of the Microcensus<br>- Area Sample since October 1962 -

## I. General remarks

Since October 1962 the Microcensus Inquiries have been based on a sample design which is basically an area sample. Sampling units are the enumeration diatricts of the 1961 Population Census. The sample is drawn according to a one-stage sampling procedure with two phases.

In the years 1957 to 1961 ${ }^{1)}$ the Microcensus had however been performed with a two-stage sampling procedure: There was at the first stage a selection of communities and at the second stage a direct selection of dwellings in the selected communities. For the selection of dwellings the guiding lists of the 1956 Housing Census were drawn upon. New dwellings were given a chance to be selected by way of the statistics on building activity.

The switch-over to the new sample design should be made as soon as possible after the 1961 Population and Occupation Census. There was the possibility of using the $10 \%$-sample of enumeration districts drawn subsequently in particular for some special enumerations of the Population and Occupation Census. Owing to the good regional dispersion which had been achieved by the high sampling fraction, this $10 \%$-sample could be used ase sampling frame for another one-stage sample. It is large enough to be used as a sampling frame for the Microcensus up to the next population and occupation census; additional selections from the aggregate material of the 1961 Population and Occupation Census will not be necespary. The good regional dispersion of the "basic sample survey" of 10 \% also permitted to refrain fram a dif-

1) See S. Koller, L. Herberger "Der Mikrozensug" in Allgemeines Statistisches Archiv, No. 3, 1960, p. 205 et seq.- B. Deininger and others "Stichproben in der Amtilchen Statistik", p. 135 et seq., issued by the Federal Statistical Office, Wieabaden.

Chart 2. Main Features of the Microcensus Sample Design


[^6]ferentiated stratification of communities in order to improve the accuracy of the results, which for reasons of sampling methods had been necessary for the Microcensus sample design used up to October 1961. The other aims of the stratification of communities, such as the stability of the sample if Microcensus communities are exchanged, can also be achieved by the new sampling procedure,inter alia by reducing the annusl rotation quota.

By consistently applying the principle of area sampling - related to the total area and prospective building land in the selected communities - new construction is also covered without an additional sampling frame. This simplifies the process as compared with the former sample design for which new construction had additionally to be selected from material of the statistics on building activity. The procedure of area sampling furthermore enables new construction to be covered according to the situation at the relevant census date. This could practically never be achieved with the statistics on building activity which entailed systematic under-enumerations with regard to new construction.

The new sample design also offers great advantages for the interviewers. The inquiry is now performed in a small, coherent part of the community. From a psychological point of view it is an asset that the inquiry does not relate to inhabitants of a selected isolated dwelling, but also to all inhabitants in the immediate neighbourhood.

## II. $10 \%$-sample of enumeration districts for the 1961 Population Census

For the 1961 Population and Occupation Census the built-up area in all communities of the Federal Republic and Berlin (West) was subdivided into enumeration districts ${ }^{1)}$. AItogether about 600,000 enumeration districts were formed. As a rule the enumeration districts were fixed in such a way that an average of 100 persons could be covered in a

[^7]coherent area. A 10\%-sample - i. e. about 60,000 enumeration districts - was drawn from the aggregate of these enumeration districts; it provided the basis for several special enumerations of the 1961 Population Census, particularly for processing the household and family statistics.

The selection of enumeration districts for the $10 \%$-sample was in the federal Laender made per Kreis. The communities in the individual Kreise were arranged according to the number of enumeration districts (incl. special enumeration districts) and, if there was the same number of enumeration districts, additionally in alphabetic order. Every 10 th enumeration district was then systematically selected from the communities arranged in this way. The beginning of the selection in the first Kreis was determined by a random starting number which was smaller than 10 or at most 10. The following example is to show how the selection was effected for the $10 \%$ sample:

Example of the selection for the $10 \%$ sample of enumeration districts

| Community | Number of enumeration districts in the 1961 Population and Occupation Census | iccumulated number of enumeration districts | Number of selected enumeration districts for the 10\%-sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Altendorf | 2 | 2 | - |
| Buch | 2 | 4 | - |
| Hausen | 2 | 6 | 1 |
| Altheim | 3 | 9 | - |
| Linden | 3 | 12 | - |
| Steinbach | 4 | 16 | 1 |
| Neuhaus | 4 | 20 | - |
| - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - |
| - | - | - | - |
| Landsberg | 16 | 128 | 2 |
| - | - | - | - - |
| - | - | - | - |

The random starting number in the above example was 5. The first selected enumeration district is therefore the first one in the community of Hausen; the second selected enumeration district - the 15 th - is the third
enumeration district in the community of Steinbach, etc. The systematic sampling was immediately continued in the second Kreis.

The enumeration districts included in the 10\%-sample constitute the sampling frame for the second phase of selection in which the actual sample was drawn for the Microcensus.

## III. Preparatory work for determining the sample design

For determining the new sample design in the light of the data of the $10 \%$-sample of enumeration districts from the 1961 Population Census, preparatory investigations were made on the distribution of the communities according to the number of their $10 \%$-enumeration districts in the individual size classes of communities as well as on the distribution of the size of enumeration districts according to the number of buildings and of persons.

The breakdown of the communities according to the number of their $10 \%$-enumeration districts showed a wide range of variation within the individual size classes of communities of less than 5,000 inhabitants (see the following table). So, for instance, $12.9 \%$ of the communities of the size class "1,000 to under 2,000 inhabitants" had 3 and more $10 \%$ enumeration districts. The large number of enumeration districts in the small communities was frequently due to the fact that dwelling-places or isolated houses in scattered communities constitute separate enumeration districts.

The number of buildings per enumeration district decreased as the size of communities increased.In the community size class "under 1,000 inhabitants" the average number of buildings per enumeration district was 17 , while in the size class " 100,000 inhabitants and more" - apart from the Laender Hamburg, Bremen and Berlin (West) - it amounted to 10 (see Table 3). In the Laender Hamburg, Bremen and Berlin (West) the number of buildings per enumeration district averaged between 3 and 6.

The subdivision of the enumeration districts according to the number of persons showed that with increasing size of communities the proportion of enumeration districts with a high number of persons also rose (see Table 4).

In the light of the results of these investigations the questions concerning the stratification and ordering of enumeration districts for the $10 \%$-sample of the Microcensus were definitely settled.

To sum up, the following major aspects had to be considered for establishing the new sample design for the Microcensus:

1. The sample design had to be established in such a way that a good geographical distribution of the enumeration districts was guaranteed. Only with a strict regional-systematical ordering of the sampling frame it was possible to do away with the former stratification and ordering according to community characteristics.


Table 3: Enumeration districts ${ }^{1)}$ of the $10 \%$-sample survey ( 1961 Population Census)
by number of buildings and community size classes

| Community size |  | Enumeration districts by number of buildings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average number of buildings per enumeration district |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```nities of ... to under ... inhabitants)``` | Unit | $1-5$ | 6-10 | 11-15 | 16-20 | 21-25 | 26-30 | 31-35 | 36-40 |  | together |  |
| under 1,000 | $\begin{gathered} \text { abs. } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1630 \\ 18.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 784 \\ 9.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1473 \\ 16.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1941 \\ & 22.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 1448 \\ & 16.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 741 \\ 8.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 352 \\ 4.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 164 \\ 1.9 \end{array}$ | 191 $2.2$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8724 \\ 100 \end{array}$ | 17 |
| 1,000-3,000 | abs. \% | $\begin{array}{r} 152 \\ 12.9 \end{array}$ | 864 $9.7$ | $\begin{gathered} 1996 \\ 22.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2366 \\ 26.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1499 \\ \\ \\ 16.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 637 \\ 7.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 240 \\ & 2.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 99 \\ 1.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \\ & 1.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8944 \\ 100 \end{array}$ | 16 |
| 3,000-10,000 | abs. \% | 799 8.7 | $\begin{array}{r} 1296 \\ 14.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2503 \\ & 27 \cdot 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2512 \\ 27.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 1244 \\ & 13.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 443 \\ & 4.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 166 \\ 1.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 78 \\ 0.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \\ & 1.0 \end{aligned}$ | 9133 100 | 16 |
| 10,000-100,000 | abs. \% | $\begin{aligned} & 1737 \\ & 13.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3592 \\ 27.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3577 \\ 27.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 2386 \\ 18.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1007 \\ 7.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 374 \\ 2.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 131 \\ 1.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46 \\ 0.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & 0.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12882 \\ 100 \end{array}$ | 13 |
| 100,000 and $\left.{ }^{\text {more }}{ }^{2}\right)^{\text {a }}$ | abs. \% | $\begin{array}{r} 4295 \\ 34.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3884 \\ 31.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2080 \\ 16.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1264 \\ 10.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 576 \\ 4.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 239 \\ 1.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90 \\ 0.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58 \\ 0.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \\ & 0.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12544 \\ \quad 100 \end{array}$ | 10 |
| Total | abs. | $\begin{gathered} 9613 \\ 18.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 10 & 420 \\ 19.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 11 \\ \\ \\ \\ 229.3 \end{array}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{rr} 10 & 469 \\ & 20.0 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 5774 \\ & 11.1 \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{rr} 2 & 434 \\ & 4.7 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{gathered} 979 \\ 1.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 445 \\ 0.8 \end{array}$ | 464 $0.9$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{rr} 52 & 227 \\ 100 \end{array}\right.$ | 14 |

1) Excl. ingtitutional enumeration diatricts.- 2) Erol. enumeration distriats of the Laender Hambarg, Bremen and Berlin (West).

Table 4: Enumeration districts ${ }^{1)}$ of the $10 \%$-sample survey ( 1961 Population Census) by number of persons and commanity size classes

| Community size oless (communities of ... to under ... inhabitants) | Unit, | Enumeration districts of the $10 \%$-sampleby number of persons |  |  |  |  | Together |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1-69 | 70-89 | 90-109 | 110-139 | 140 and more |  |
| under 1,000 | abs. \% | $\begin{array}{r} 3272 \\ 37.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1483 \\ \\ \\ 17.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1596 \\ 18.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1361 \\ 15.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1012 \\ 11.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8724 \\ 100 \end{array}$ |
| 1,000 - 3,000 | abs. \% | $\begin{array}{r} 2612 \\ 29.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1905 \\ 21,3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2021 \\ 22.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1583 \\ & 17.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 823 \\ 9.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8944 \\ 100 \end{array}$ |
| 3,000 - 10,000 | abs. \% | $\begin{array}{rr} 2 & 128 \\ 23.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2218 \\ 24.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2329 \\ 25.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & 617 \\ 17.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 841 \\ 9.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9133 \\ 100 \end{array}$ |
| 10,000 - 100,000 | abs. \% | $\begin{array}{r} 2267 \\ 17.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3207 \\ 24.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3633 \\ & 28.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2667 \\ 20.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 108 \\ 8.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12882 \\ 100 \end{array}$ |
| 100,000 and more ${ }^{2}$ ) | abs. \% | $\begin{gathered} 1688 \\ 13.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2722 \\ 21.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3023 \\ & 24.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} 2948 \\ 23.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2183 \\ \\ \\ \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12544 \\ 100 \end{array}$ |
| Total | abs. \% | $\begin{gathered} 11947 \\ 22.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11535 \\ 22.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12602 \\ & 24.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 10 & 176 \\ 19.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5967 \\ 11.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52227 \\ 100 \end{array}$ |

1) Excl. institutional enumeration districts.- 2) Excl. enumeration districts of the Laender Hamburg, Bromen and Berlin (Fest).
2. In order co limit the increase of random errors which might result from the differing size of enumeration districts, the size of the enumeration districts had also to be included as ordering characteristics into the sample design.
3. In every $1 \%$-sample of the Microcensus only $1 / 3$ of the enumeration districts shall be exchanged each year, among other reasons in order to utilize in the best degree possible the working time required for the cartographic
fixation of the enumeration districta.
4. In the new sample design this partial rotation had to be examined also as to its consequences with regard to the annual exchange of communtities it may involve.A high proportion of communities to be exchanged annually would entail a considerable workload for the interviewers. The exchange of interviewers which this supposes would also lead to an increase of the systematic error. Both for practical and for methodological reasons efforts had therefore to be made to keep as low as possible the share of communities to be exchanged annually. This aim was achieved by a special selection technique.
5. For ascertaining the institutional population, an arrangement had to be made within the new sample design which relates the principle of the selection of enumeration diatricts to the proportionally correct coverage of the institutional population by types of institutions, or of combined groups of types of institutions.
6. The new sample design should permit to cover new construction on the basis of the $10 \%$-sample of enumeration districts. It should also be ensured that the coverage of building activity corresponds to the situation at census date.

## IV. Selection of the standard enumeration districts

The following description of stratification, ordering and selection of enumeration districts relates only to the standard enumeration district ${ }^{1)}$.

1. Ordering and numbering of enumeration districts of the $10 \%$-sample of enumeration districts

The communities involved in the $10 \%$-sam-

[^8]ple were grouped in each Land according to the following size classes of communities ${ }^{2)}$ :

|  |  | under | 1,000 | inhabitants |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,000 | to | under | 2,000 | " |
| 2,000 | " | H | 3,000 | " |
| 3,000 | " | " | 5,000 | " |
| 5,000 | " | " | 10,000 | " |
| 10,000 | " | " | 20,000 | " |
| 20,000 | " | " | 25,000 | H |
| 25,000 | " | " | 50,000 | " |
| 50,000 | " | " | 100,000 | " |
| 100,000 | and | more. |  |  |

Within these size classes they were arranged according to the number of their enumeration districts selected for the 10\%-sample (shortly termed: 10\%-enumeration districts). Communities with an equal number of $10 \%$-enumeration districts within the community size classes form a stratum of enumeration districts. The communities with 21 and more selected enumeration districts were combined to a single stratum of enumeration districts. The community size classes " 50,000 to under 100,000 inhabitants" and "100,000 inhabitants and more" are therefore at the same time also strata of enumeration districts, because all communities of this size class have 21 and more " $10 \%$-enumeration districts". The ordering by the number of $10 \%$-enumeration districts per community permits in the further selection to limit for the rotation the exchange of sampling communities to a minimum without infringing the principle of random sampling. (See explanations concerning the rotation scheme, Section VI.)

A regional ordering of the relevant communities was then effected in the individual strata of enumeration districts.

The ten size classes of communities remained the major stratification characteristics for the selection.

In order to account in the selection also for the differing size of the enumeration districts, the $10 \%$-enumeration districts

[^9]were additionally arranged. They were classified according to the number of buildings, viz. in the stratum "communities with one enumeration district" within the administrative districts and in the strata "communities with 2 and more enumeration districts" (excl.the communities of the size class 100,000 inhabitants and more) within the individual communities. If there is the same number of buildings, another subdivision is made according to the number of persons in the relevant enumeration districts.The enumeration districts in communities of the size class 100,000 inhabitants and more were first combined, according to the number of buildings, in seven subgroups, within which the enumeration districts were then again arranged by quarters. (See Chart 3, Schematic diagram of the sample design of the Microcensus, 1962.)

For the subsequent selections, the enumeration districts of the $10 \%$-sample grouped in this way were in the strata with 2 to 20 enumeration districts per community consecutively numbered according to communities and enumeration districts and in the remaining strata only by enumeration districts.
2. Selection of enumeration districts for the $1 \%$-sample survey, 1962

The selection of enumeration districts for the $1 \%$-sample of the Microcensus from the arranged enumeration districts of the $10 \%$-sample was effected separately for each Land in the ten size classes of communities.

For the systemetic sampling, random starting numbers were fixed for each Land and community size class.

The enumeration districts drawn by the systematic sampling in the strata "communities with one enumeration district", "communities with 21 and more enumeration districts" as well as "communities of the size class 100,000 inhabitants and more" were considered - in contradistinction to
the other strata of enumeration districts - as definitely selected. In these strata the enumeration districts of the sample were thus directly determined.

In the strata "communities with $2 / 3 / \ldots$, or 20 enumeration districts respectively" a preliminary sample was first formed by systematical selection of every 10th enumeration district - consecutively in all strata of enumeration districts within a community size class; the only purpose was to determine the new Microcensus communities. For each of these strata, the $d$ e $f$ in $i t e$ selection of enumeration districts for the $1 \%$-inquiry was then effected as follows in the Microcensus communities determined by the preliminary sample:

A specific random starting number, which was not higher than the number of $10 \%-e-$ numeration districts per community in the relevant stratum of enumeration districts, was determined for every stratum of communities with 2 to 10 enumeration districts.The starting number represented in the relevant stratum the ordering number of the enumeration district to be selected definitely in the first Microcensus community. In the following Microcensus community of the relevant stratum of enumeration districts was definitely selected the enumeration districtwith the ordering number $=$ starting number +1 , in the third Microcensus community of the relevant stratum the enumeration district with the ordering number $=$ starting number $+2, \ldots$ etc.As soon as the greatest ordering number possible (number of $10 \%$-enumeration districts in the Microcensus community) was surpassed, the definite selection was continued with ordering number 1.

In the strata of communities with 11 to 20 enumeration districts it had already been determined by the preliminary sample whether one or two enumeration districts had to be selected in the relevant Microcensus community. The final selection of the first enumeration district in a community began, in accordance with the principle described above, with a random

## Ordering of communities ${ }^{1)}$

> Aggregate of enumeration districts of the $10 \%$ - sample of enumeration. districts from the 1961 (Communities of lation Census to under ... inhabitants)


1) In each Land the communities of the $10 \%$-sample survey are withın the community size classes arranged according to the number of their selected standard enumeration districts (standard enumeration district = enumeration district without institutions or establishments of the hotel industry); special strata were formed for institutional districts and for standard enumeration districts with institutions. - 2) Stratum of enumeration districts = communities with the same number of selected standard enumeration districts of the $10 \%$-sample of enumeration districts.
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starting number between 1 and 11 in the stratum "communities with 11 10\%-enumeration districts". There followed immediately the definite selection in the next stratum of enumeration districts etc. up to the stratum "communities with 20 10\%enumeration districts", the ordering number in the firat community of a new stratum of enumeration districts being equal to the ordering number +1 in the last community of the preceding stratum.

For the definite selection of the second enumeration district in communities where 2 enumeration districts had to be selected, the rule applied that the complementary ${ }^{1)}$ enumeration district was to be drawn which corresponded to the ordering number of the definitely selected first enumeration district. Example: The third enumeration district (ordering number $=3$ ) was first definitely selected in a community. Then the second enumeration district to be selected definitely in this community is the last enumeration district but two.

1) Somputation of the complementary ordering number: $N$ be the number of the $10 \%$-enumeration districts in the Microcensus communities and $A$ the ordering number of the first definitely selected enumeration district, then the ordering number of the second definitely selected enumeration district is $\mathrm{N}+1-\mathrm{A}$.

The following was achieved with the systematic sampling of enumeration districts modified in this way:
a) For the great number of small communities, every ordering number was equally often used.This reduced the random fluctuations for the size of enumeration districts (number of households) as compared with an unlimited random selection.
b) The selection by means of ordering numbers eliminated periodicities in the size of enumeration districts which might occur and which could be due to the ordering of the enumeration districts according to size.

A survey of the number of communities and standard enumeration districts selected for the Microcensus of October 1962 and analysed by size classes of communities and Laender is given in Tables 5 and 6. These totals apply in principle also to the $1 \%$-sample survey of the following years.

Table 5: Number of communties included in the seleotion for the October 1962 Microcensas, by size olasses

| Community size olass (communities of... to un-der...inhabitants | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Sohles- } \\ \text { wig- } \\ \text { Hol- } \\ \text { stein } \end{gathered}\right.$ | Hamburg | Lower Saxony | Bremen | North- <br> Phine- <br> Fest- <br> phalia | Hesse | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rhine- } \\ \text { land- } \\ \text { Palati- } \\ \text { nate } \end{gathered}$ | Baden- <br> Wuert <br> tem- <br> bers | Bavaria | Saar | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Berlin } \\ & \text { (West) } \end{aligned}$ | Federal Republic | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Sam- } \\ \text { pling } \\ \text { frac- } \\ \text { tion } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| under 1,000 | 50 | - | -156 | - | 60 | 75 | 113 | 148 | 259 | 10 | - | 871 | $5 \%$ |
| 1,000-2,000 | 22 | - | 82 | - | 67 | 56 | 60 | 126 | 143 | 13 | - | 569 | $15 \%$ |
| 2,000-3,000 | 8 | - | 50 | - | 50 | 34 | 30 | 73 | 71 | 8 | - | 324 | 27 \% |
| 3,000-5,000 | 16 | - | 48 | - | 83 | 42 | 30 | 87 | 72 | 14 | - | 392 | $39 \%$ |
| $5,000-10,000$ | 22 | - | 69 | - | 122 | 50 | 44 | 90 | 93 | 18 | - | 508 | 70 |
| 10,000-20,000 | 15 | - | 43 | - | 102 | 22 | 10 | 44 | 50 | 8 | - | 294 |  |
| 20,000-50,000 | 11 | - | 17 | - | 74 | 13 | 9 | 29 | 19 | 7 |  | 179 | 100\% |
| 50,000-100,000 | 2 | - | 6 | - | 20 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 8 | - | - | 50 |  |
| 100,000 and more | 2 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 23 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 53 |  |
| Communities, total | 148 | 1 | 477 | 2 | 601 | 298 | 303 | 610 | 720 | 79 | 1 | 3240 | $13 \%$ |

Table 6: Number of standard enumeration districts included in the seleotion
for the October 1962 Microcensus, by community size clesses

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Community size class (communities of ... to under... inhab\(i \operatorname{tants})\) \& 1) \& \[
\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}
\text { Schles- } \\
\text { wig- } \\
\text { Hol- } \\
\text { stein }
\end{gathered}\right.
\] \& Hamburg \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Lower \\
Saxony
\end{tabular} \& Bremen \& \begin{tabular}{l}
North- \\
Rhine- \\
West- \\
phalia
\end{tabular} \& Hesse \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Rhine } \\
\text { land- } \\
\text { Palati- } \\
\text { nate }
\end{gathered}
\] \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Baden- \\
Wuert-temberg
\end{tabular} \& Bavaria \& Saar \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Berlin \\
(West)
\end{tabular} \& Federal Republio \\
\hline under 1,000 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \mathrm{a} \\
\& \mathrm{~b}
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
507 \\
50
\end{array}
\] \& - \& 1554

156 \& - \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
594 \\
60
\end{array}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
750 \\
75
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline 1134 \\
\\
113
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
1488 \\
148
\end{array}
$$

\] \& $\begin{array}{r}2593 \\ \hline 259\end{array}$ \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
104 \\
10
\end{array}
$$
\] \& - \& 8724

871 <br>
\hline 1,000-2,000 \& a \& 225
22 \& - \& 822

82 \& - \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
669 \\
67
\end{array}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
557 \\
56
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
596 \\
60
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 1265

126 \& $\begin{array}{r}1429 \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
129 \\
13
\end{array}
$$ \& - \& 5692

569 <br>

\hline 2,000-3,000 \& $$
\mathrm{a}
$$ \& 75

8 \& - \& 510
51 \& - \& 500

50 \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
342 \\
34
\end{array}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
296 \\
39
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
729 \\
73
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
716 \\
71
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 84

8 \& - \& 3
252
325 <br>
\hline 3,000-5,000 \& a \& 164
16 \& - \& 489

49 \& - \& 826 \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
412 \\
42
\end{array}
$$ \& 304 \& 878

88 \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
718 \\
72
\end{array}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
143 \\
14
\end{array}
$$
\] \& - \& 3934

394 <br>
\hline 5,000-10,000 \& a \& 218
22 \& - \& 703
70 \& - \& 1239

124 \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
527 \\
52
\end{array}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
456 \\
45
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 921

93 \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
948 \\
95
\end{array}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
187 \\
18
\end{array}
$$
\] \& - \& 5199

519 <br>

\hline 10,000-20,000 \& $$
\mathrm{a}
$$ \& 191

20 \& - \& 608
62 \& - \& 1444

147 \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
325 \\
32
\end{array}
$$ \& 159

16 \& 588
59 \& 684

71 \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
137 \\
14
\end{array}
$$ \& - \& 4136

421 <br>
\hline 20,000-50,000 \& a \& 294
30 \& - \& 541
54 \& - \& 2096
210 \& 430
43 \& 304
30 \& 780
78 \& 739
74 \& 216
21 \& - \& 5400
540 <br>
\hline 50,000-100,000 \& a \& 186
19 \& - \& 373
37 \& - \& 1383
138 \& 72 \& 356
35 \& 518
52 \& 430
43 \& 28
2 \& - \& 3346
333 <br>

\hline 100,000 and more \& $$
a
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
511 \\
51
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 813 \\
& \left.162^{a}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
1393 \\
& 140
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
953 \\
96
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 5303

531 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 262 \\
& 126
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
318 \\
32
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 1472

147 \& 2138

214 \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
147 \\
15
\end{array}
$$ \& 3173

318 \& $\begin{array}{r}17483 \\ 18832 \\ \hline\end{array}$ <br>

\hline Sampling districts, total \& $$
\mathrm{a}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2371 \\
238
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

\left($$
\begin{array}{l}
813 \\
162^{2}
\end{array}
$$\right.

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
6993 \\
701
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
953 \\
96
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
14054 \\
14410 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
4677 \\
1 \quad 467 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
3923 \\
391
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
8639 \\
864
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
10 & 395 \\
1 & 042
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 175 \\
& 115
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|rr}
3173 \\
& 318
\end{array}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
57 & 166 \\
5 & 804
\end{array}
$$
\] <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

1) $a=$ number of $10 \%$-enumeration districts (excl. enumeration diatriots with institutions or establishments of the hotel industry); $b=n u m b e r$ of $1 \%$-enumeration districts (excl. enumeration districts with institutions or establishments of the hotel industry).
a) In Hamburg, $2 \%$ of the enumeration distriots were included in the seleotion and their area halved.

## V. Coverage of new construction

## 1. Introductory remarks

For the coverage of new construction it had to be distinguished between
a) areas which had been subdivided into enumeration districts for the 1961 Population Census,
b) areas not yet subdivided into enumeration districts for the 1961 Fopulation Census.

The latter areas are of special importance for the complete coverage of new construction since the 1961 Population Census, because not all new construction relates to
areas which were already built up at the date of the 1961 Census and therefore subdivided into enumeration districts. If the entire municipal territory had not already been completely divided upinto enumeration districts for the 1961 Population Census, a procedure was used by which the two-phase sampling could be applied to the areas of the undeveloped municipal territory.

By consistently applying the principle of area sampling to the entire non-built-up area or to those areas of the selected communities which are to be developed, new construction is covered without an additional sampling frame. Compared with the former sample design, where a selection of new buildings had additionally to be made fram the data of the statistics of building activity, this means a considerable simplification. The procedure of area sampling further permits the cover-
age of new construction as of the specific survey date, thus avoiding any gaps in coverage.

In order to exclude any bias in this sampling procedure, sampling areas have to be clearly delimited.There are two possibilities:
a) maps or sketch maps
b) verbal descriptions for the delimitation of the sampling areas.

Which of the two possibilities is the most suitable to be applied in the individual case, depends first of all on the location of the selected sampling areas. So, for instance, the verbal description of the sampling areas may be entirely sufficient in non-built-up urban areas, while a sketch map will be necessary to cover new construction on the municipal territory which was not subdivided into enumeration districts in 1961.

There were the following prerequisites to the coverage of new construction: The sample design has to guarantee:
aa) that it can be applied without difficulty up to the next population and occupation census, that the number of borderline cases and of ambiguous cases does not increase with growing distance from the date of the 1961 Population and Occupation Census,
bb) that doubtiul and marginal cases are dealt with according to uniform directives and that it is ensured that these cases are not given any other chances to be selected than the rest of the units, and
cc) that the partial rotation does not cause any complication with regard to the coverage of new construction either.
2. Coverage of new construction within the inhabited municipal territory

The enumeration districts were in general arranged by streets and house numbers.The limits of the sampling district ${ }^{1)}$ coincide with the boundaries of the estates they include. All new buildings erected in the sampling districts since 6 June 1961 are automatically covered by the interviewers.

Examples 1 to 4 outline the most frequent cases and indicate the rules applied for covering new construction.

Explanations concerning Examples 1 to 4

Example 1: The $s$ a $m p l i n g$ dis$t r i c t$ is completely surrounded by other enumeration districts. It is delimited by two streets, one of which has however no buildings as yet. A new building was erected after 6 June 1961 on an already built-up estate of the sampling district.

Rule for covering new construction: It has to be established whether any additional new buildings were erected on the already built-up estates of the sampling district(in the example up to the adjoining road at the back). The new buildings have to be covered by the interviewer.

Example 2: The $s$ a $m p 1$ ing $\mathrm{d} i \mathrm{~s}-$ $t r i c t$ is on three sides enclosed by other enumeration districts. On these three sides it is delimited by streets. The fourth side of the sampling district is delimited by a footpath whichis at the same time the boundary of the estates belonging to the sampling district. At a corner of the sampling district, which consists of blocks of houses, a new building was erected after 6 June 1961.

Rule for covering new construction: As it is obvious that the new building belongs to the sampling district, the interviewer

[^10]

Example 2


Example 3


Example 4

has to include the newly-built house with all its inhabitants.

Example 3: The sampling di.s$t r i c t$ is completely surrounded by other enumeration districts. It is delimited by a street as well as by clearly defined estate boundaries. In the middle of the sampling district (formerly a gap in the row of houses) a new building was erected after 6 June 1961.

Rule for covering new construction: As the new building clearly belongs to the sampling district, the interviewer has to include the newly-built house with all its inhabitanta.

If an estate with a new building erected after 6 June 1961 bordered on a sampling district,a special rule was applied which is referred to as the "rule of gaps" since there was a.gap in the row of houses at the date of the 1961 Census. The application of this rule is illustrated by Example 4.

Example 4: The a mpling distr 1 ct is enclosed on three sides by other enumeration districts.On the fourth side - at the upper end of the sampling district, in the direction of the consecutive numbers of the houses - borders an estate on which a new building has been erected after 6 June 1961.

Rule for covering new construction: If the eatate on which the new building has been erected has not yet been part of an enumeration district, the estate is included into the sampling district only if this district is adjoining it with a lower house number than other enumeration districts. (Enumeration districts on the cpposite side of the street are not considered). The estate mentioned in this example has to be included in the sampling district and covered by the interviewer with all its inhabitants.

In order to apply the above "rule of gaps" correctly, a cartographic fixation or the exact description of both the sampling district and the adjoining enumeration
district is necessary.

Where the sampling district was delimited according to blocks of houses, a procedure corresponding to the mentioned "rule of gaps" was applied to allocate a non-builtup area (within the inhabited municipal territory), provided that this area had not yet been clearly assigned to an enumeration district in the 1961 Census. The pre-condition was however that the (hitherto non-built-up) area to be allocated was not larger than the area of an enumeration district of the population census, i.e. an area on which about as many persons can be accommodated as in an aver-age-sized enumeration diatrict of the population census ( 30 dwellings or 30 households or 100 persons respectively).

Larger vacant areas within the inhabited municipal territory were first subdivided and then allocated to the adjoining enumeration districts. If therefore a sampling district bordered directiy on buch an area, a certain part of the vacant area was allocated to it. The subdivision and allocation of vacant areas within the inhabited municipal territory had to be exactly determined in advance, in order to avoid that these areas were allocated several times in later inquiries and thus given a double chance of being selected.
3. Coverage of new construction outside the inhabited municipal territary

In order to select the areas for covering new construction outside the inhabited municipal territory, the M1crocensus communities were divided into two groups:

> A. Microcensus communities without zoning or street plans
> B. Microcensus communities with zoning or street plans.

In the Microcensus communities without zoning or street plane (Group A), the area sample intended to cover new construction
was extended to the entire developable area outside the inhabited municipal ter－ ritory with the following procedure：

The non－built－up municipal territory in the Microcensus communities of less than 10,000 inhabitants was subdivided accord－ ing to the existing landmarks（e．g．tram lines etc．），into as many about equally large sectors as there were $10 \%$－ enumeration districts（excl．institution－ al enumeration districts）in these commu－ nities，and accounting also for soil for－ mations and the probable utilization of the area．One of these sectors was select－ ed at random and subdivided into 10 s e g－ $m e n t s$ of about the same size．One of these segments was then again selected at random．The selected segment is described as＂O－enumeration district＂．

With this procedure，the municipal terri－ tory not considered in the 1961 Popula－ tion Census is thus subdivided according to the number of standard enumeration districts which had existed in this com－ munity available in the 1961 Population Census．The same share of the non－built－ up municipal area is allocated to each standard enumeration district．

A community with 4 enumeration districts （excl．institutional enumeration dis－ tricts）of the $10 \%$－sample of enumeration districts will serve as an example for the arrangement of sectors and segments as well as the selection of the 0 －exumera－ tion district．

In the example the sectors are given capital letters and the segments Roman numerals．The marking of the sectors begins in the north－east of the munic－ ipal territory and proceeds clockwise． Sector $C$ and in it Segment IX（ $=0$－enu－ meration district）were selected at random．The interviewer was instructed to visit this area and to cover all new dwellings built in this segment since 6 June 1961.

A breakdown into sectors is not made in Microcensus communities where there is only one $10 \%$－enumeration district．The en－ tire non－built－up municipal territory is here immediately subdivided into 10 seg－
ments．From these 10 segments，one is then to be selected at random．

The sectors and segments were selected in accordance with the scheme described in Chart 4．The communities were first ar－ ranged according to the number of their $10 \%$－enumeration districts（excl．institu－ tional enumeration districts），i．e．ac－ cording to the number of sectors formed． The group of communities with the same number of sectors was arranged by regions． The sector which was to be selected for the further subdivision into segments was determined as follows：

Chart 4
empling scheme for sectors and segments （ （－enumeration districts）

| Communi－ ties with ．．．11，－ enumeration diatricts （stindard enumersuon いおったがいし。 |  entire non－built－up srea outside the mhobited municipal territory |  | yelected for <br> ＊，s－scmple survey |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ```into dr- rectly connect- ed sec- tors``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { descrip- } \\ & \text { تIon of } \\ & \text { the sec- } \\ & \text { tors } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { zoc- } \\ & \text { tor } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { semrei } \\ & (=\text { (-enumer } \\ & \text { ation dis- } \\ & \text { trict }) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | － | － | － | $\mathrm{v}^{1}$ ） |
| 1 | － | － | － | VI |
| 1 | － | － | － | VII |
| 1 | － | － | － | VIIF |
| 2 | 2 | ，B |  | II ${ }^{1}$ |
| 2 | 2 | ，B | 3 | III |
| 2 | 2 | $\ldots, B$ | ． | IV |
| － | － |  | － | － |
| $\dot{3}$ | $\dot{3}$ |  | － | －1） |
| 3 | 3 | ，B，C |  | X |
| 3 | 3 | $\therefore, B, C$ | B | I |
| 3 | 3 | $\therefore$ ，B，C | 0 | II |
| 3 | 3 | ． $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$ | ． | III |
| － | － |  | ． | － |
| 4 | 4 | ，B，C，D | － | VII 1） |
| 4 | 4 | $\cdots$ | B | VIII |
| 4 | 4 | ，B，C，D | C | IX |
| 4 | 4 | ．，B，C，D | D | K |
| 4 | 4 | $\therefore, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$ | ． | I |
| － | ． |  | － | － |
| 5 | $\dot{5}$ |  | － | －${ }^{\text {1）}}$ |
| 5 | 5 | ，B，C，D，${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ： | IV |
| 5 | 5 | ，B，C，D， | B | V |
| 5 | 5 | ，B，$\sim$ ，D， | C | VI |
| 5 | 5 | ，B．C，D， | D | VII |
| 5 | 5 | ，B，C，D，- | D | VIII |
| 5 | 5 | $=, B, C, D,-$ | $\therefore$ | IX |
| － | － |  | － | － |
| － |  |  | － | － |

1）In every group of communities with the same number of 16,0 －enumeration districts（excl．insti－ tutional enumeration districts）a new random starting point is selected．

In the first community with two $10 \%-$ enumeration districts, i.e. two sectors, the sector $A$ is selected for the further subdivision into segments, in the second community the sector $B$, in the third community again sector $A$, in the fourth community sector $B$, etc. For selecting the segments $(=0$-enumeration districts), every group of communities with the same number of sectors begins with a new random starting point $z$ (between 1 and 10). The segment to be selected in the sector of the next community is determined by the number $z+1$ and the segment to be selected in the sector of the following community by the number $z+2$ (see Chart 4). For communities with one $10 \%$-enumeration district (excl. institutional enumeration districts) this ordering and selection applies only to segments.

The above procedure for arranging and selecting the sectors was used in the same way in communities of 10,000 inhabitants and more - provided that there were no zoning and street plans - so that there resulted in principle a $10 \%$-sample of the area outside the inhabited municipal territory. The segments were not subdivided, but every newly built-up estate located in the selested sector was recorded. Every 10th estate or building is then included into the sample. The basic idea was that in communities of 10,000 inhabitants and more new construction should be observed by means of a $10 \%$-sample survey, in order to achieve a greater accuracy of coverage. Another major aspect in favour of this procedure was that in these communities the building activity is even more lively than in the communities with less than 10,000 inhabitants.

For subdividing the non-built-up municipal territory, ordnance survey maps (1: 25,000 ; could be used which exist for the entire Federal Republic and show the boundaries of the municipalities. The described procedures do not presuppose that the maps show the latest zoning status. It need be determined only for the selected area, iee. seem-nt or sector. If at the time of the i96i Eupulation and Occupation Census thene were already houses in a segment cr st. تor marked as undeveloped, the size of the segment or sector would be reduced by thiz estate.

The Microcensus communities with zoning or street plans (Group B) comprise practically all communities of 10,000 inhabitants and more. For covering new construction, the procedure of the breakdown by sectors/segments and of selection was also applied here, however only to the area intended for construction according to the zoning plan or to the building land according to the street plan.

Communities with a zoning or street plan which belong to the same stratum of enumeration districts, i.e. the same community size class and have the same number of $10 \%$-enumeration districts (without institutional enumeration districts) could, for reasons of simplification, be combined. When combining communities with 3 or less $10 \%$-enumeration districts (without institutional enumeration districts) it had to be ensured that the communities to be grouped together have also the same reference dates for the Microcensus, aince these communities are not included in all of the $1 \%$-inquiries up to 1971. For one of the communities in each of these groups the share of the area in the street plan was then to be selected as the O-enumeration district as follows:

> Number of combined communities of the same enumeration districta
> 10 x number of $10 \%$-enumeration districts
> (excl. institutional enumeration districts)

## Example:

From 5 communities of the same community size class with 2 enumeration districts in the 10\% sample (excl. institutional enumeration districts) and the same reference date, one community is selected at random and one quarter of the area of the zoning or street plan drawn upon as 0-enumeration district.

Where statistical offices exist in communities of 10,000 inhabitants and more, it was also proceeded in the way that the new housing estates which had developed since

## EXAMPLE OF A COMMUNITY WITH FOUR ENUMERATION DISTRICTS

 IN THE $10 \%$ - SAMPLE OF ENUMERATION DISTRICTS(without institutional enumeration districts)
 in the 1961 Population Census

6 June 1961 on areas not considered in the system of enumeration districts for the 1961 Population Census were subsequently subdivided into enumeration districts. This subdivision was carried out in the same way as for the 1961 Population Census. In accordance with the procedure used for the $10 \%$-sample of enumeration districts of the 1961 Population Census, a $10 \%$-sample was first drawn from the new enumeration districts and the annual supplementations, from which again $10 \%$ of the enumeration districts were drawn for the $1 \%$-inquiries.
4. Recording of new construction in the marginal regions

Due to the separate recording of new construction on areas inside and outside the built-up municipal territory there arises the borderline problem of covering correctly new buildings on estates located on the outskirts of the community. Sampling rules were laid down also for these cases; it had to be distinguished whether the newly built-up estate on the outskirts directly adjoined the sampling district, i.e. without any separating lines, or whether the newly built-up estate was geographically separated from the sampling district, i.e. by roads or other obvious limits, or whether there was a larger new housing eatate the area of which exceeded considerably that of the sampling district.

## VI. Rotation plan and selection of enumeration districts up to 1971

1. Rotation plan

Among the conditions to be fulfilled by the new sample design for the Microcensus as described in Section III, the need for a partial rotation of the $1 \%$ _sample has already been emphasized. The exchange of only $1 / 3$ of the enumeration districts entails not only a gain in accuracy with
regard to the detection of changes in the results from one inquiry to another, i.e. a reduction of the random error for the balance between the results of two sample surveys,but also a considerable reduction of the preparatory and processing work for the individual inquiries. The conception of the sample design further permits without infringing the principle of random sampling - to limit substantially the exchange of communities in the rotation.

Due to the change of the reference date from October to April ${ }^{1)}$, there was no exchange of sampling districts in April 1963. All sampling districts of October 1962 were again used for the inquiry of April 1963.The envisaged partial rotation of the sample therefore began only with the survey of April 1964.Two thirds of the sampling districts were exchanged for this inquiry. Since April 1965 only one third of the sample has been exchanged.

The rotation scheme for the Microcensus sample surveys from 1962 to 1971 is presented in Chart 4. For the $0.1 \%$-sub-samples ${ }^{2)}$ it should be noted that the $0.1 \%$ inquiries - with the exception of the inquiries of $1963 / 64$ and 1964/65 - always followed the first repetitive inquiry.
2. Selection of enumeration districts for the Microcensus inquiries up to 1971

For effecting the rotation it was first necessary as a preparatory measure to divide the $1 \%$-sample of October 1962 into three parts, i.e. to form three $0.33 \%$-subsamples from the aggregate of sampling districts of October 1962. The sampling districts of October 1962 were arranged for this purpose in accordance with the ordering system of the sample design. The tripartition was then systematically continued with the existing arrangement through all community size classes and

[^11]The new selection of one third of the enumeration districts of the $1 \%-$ sample of enumeration districts, which was needed for the rotation,was in principle effected as follows:

From the remaining enumeration districts of the $10 \%$-sample of enumeration districts in the arrangement according to the sample design of the Microcensus, i.e. from the districts which had not been selected so far for a Microcensus inquiry, those enumeration districts were newly selected which according to the prescribed order followed directly the enumeration districts to be exchanged in the relevant third part.

If, for instance, in a community with four $10 \%$-enumeration districts (excl. institutional enumeration districts) the third enumeration diatrict - according to the order of the Microcenous sample design - had been selected for the first $1 \%$-sample and if this sampling district had to be exchanged, the fourth enumeration district in the prescribed order was newly selected. (See example in Chart 5.)

In order to restrict in the rotation the exchange of sampling communities, the following procedure was used for communities with 2 to 10 standard enumeration districts in the $10 \%$-sample.
(a) If in a sampling community the last enumeration district according to

Chart 5
Example for the rotation in communties with 4 enumeration districts in the $10 \%$-sample of enumeration districts (excl. institutional enumeration districts)

| Ser.No. of |  |  | Order numbe | of | ume | on | ist | cts |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| group of enum. <br> dist. = commu- | in the sam- | selected aco the new samp | ording to <br> le design |  |  | sel | cted | for | tat |  |  |  |
| nity (regional ordering of | pling frame <br> (enum.dist. | provision- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { definitely } \\ & \text { for the } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $n$ se | quen | of | . $33 \%$ | ampl |  |  |
| specific com. <br> of $10 \%$ sample) | ple) |  | $1 \%$-sample, <br> Oct. 1962 | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 5 th | 6th | 7th | 8th | 9th |
| 1 | 1 2 3 4 | 1 | 31) | 4 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| 2 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1 2 3 4 | 3 | 4 |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |
| 4 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1 2 3 4 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 4 |

[^12] the specific stratum
the prescribed order had to be exchanged, the first enumeration district of this community was newly selected (see example in Chart 5, communities 1 and 3 ), and so on until all $10 \%$ enumeration districts of this Microcensus community had been included.

If in a community all enumeration districts of the $10 \%$-sample of enumeration districts had been included in the selection, the community following in the prescribed order was drawn upon. The selection in the new community began with the enumeration district the order number of which followed that of the enumeration district (of the old community) which was to be exchanged.

The following procedure was used for the rotation in communities with 11 to 20 enumeration districts:
(b) In communities where only one enumeration district had been selected, the further selection of enumeration districts for the rotation was effected according to procedure (a).

In communities where two enumeration districts had been selected, the enumeration district with the lower order number was exchanged for the next, and that with the higher order number for the preceding enumeration district. If, for instance, in a community with 12 enumeration districts those with order numbers 3 and 10 had definitely been selected, the enumeration districts with the order numbers 4 and 9 respectively had to be selected for the rotation. The rotation procedure was continued accordingly.

For selecting the enumeration districts in communities with 21 and more standard enumeration districts in the $10 \%$-sample, the selection principle was used which applies to the next sampling district following in the prescribed order,i.e. when ex-
changing the last enumeration district of a community it was proceeded to the following community. However, the following rule had to be observed here:
(c) If in a stratum "communities with 21 and more enumeration districts" the last enumeration district according to the fixed order had to be exchanged, the first enumeration district of this stratum was newly selected (Rule (a), applied to the stratum of enumeration districts).

For the further rotations nine $0.33 \%$-samples of enumeration districts have been selected, i.e. altogether three complete $1 \%$-samples. The sequence of the selected $0.33 \%$-samples and their allocation to the various reference dates is presented in Chart 6.

Following the selections of enumeration districts for the Microcensus inquiries up to 1971, two 1\%-reserve samples were drawn by means of six further rotations (there were also $0.33 \%-s a m p l e s$ of enumeration districts). The reserve samples were formed as a precautionary measure in case a $1 \%$-sample of enumeration districte should be required in addition to the records used already for the Microcensus inquiries.

## 3. Rotation of the 0-enumeration districts

For selecting the one third of sampling districts for rotation in communities of less than 10,000 inhabitants, the exchange is synchronized for the area of the O-enumeration district and the sampling district of the relevant community, i.e. if the sampling district is exchanged, the selected area of the O-enumeration district in the relevant community changes too, even if no new building has so far been erected on the area of the 0-enumeration district. In order to simplify the coordination, the O-enumeration district is given the same order number as the

Rotation scheme for the Microcensus sample surveys from 1962 to 1971

corresponding sampling district ${ }^{1)}$.

If in a community without a zoning or street plan such a plan is drawn up in the course of the inquiry period, it will not be considered for the selection of O-enumeration districts until the old sampling district is exchanged for the corresponding 0 -enumeration district.For the new sam pling district a O-enumeration district is then selected from the area covered by the zoning or street plan.

The rotation of the 0-enumeration districts in communities of 10,000 inhabitants and more is effected irrespective of the sampling districts of the relevant communities. First a regional ordering and tripartition is made for the aggregate of the 0-enumeration districts in these communities. For the further $1 \%$-samples there have to be newly selected in every community as many 0-enumeration districts as there are O-enumeration districts of the specific communities contained in the third part which has to be exchanged.
VII. Selection of institutional enumeration districts for the M1crocensus

1. Preliminary remarks on the selection of institutional enumeration districts

When establishing the sample design it was understood that according to the sample design the principle of area sampling was also to be used as from October 1962 for covering the institutional population. An aspect of special importance was that the proportionate coverage of the institutional population by types of institutions or combined groups of types of institutions had to be ensured. The groups of institutions formed for the sample design therefore represent the most important criteriun of stratification for the institutional enumeration districts.

1) For processing, the order number of the O-enumeration district is only marked by "9"
as the first digit.

The sampling frame was provided by the special enumeration districts selected for the $10 \%$-sample of enumeration districts for the 1961 Population and Occupation Census, as well as by standard enumeration districts comprising an institution or an establishment of the hotel industry. To permit the proportionally adjusted coverage of the various categories of the population, the two types of institutional enumeration districts were treated separately in the selection.

The principles set out in Section $V$ concerning the coverage of new construction are to be applied accordingly to the selected institutional enumeration districts. For covering new construction it does not matter whether the new building is an institutionor a regular residential building. If a regular residential building (not an institutional building) has been erected in a selected institutional enumeration district, it has to be covered just as in the opposite case, where a new institutional building has been constructed in a standard enumeration district. This rule also applies to areas additionally selected in the communities and which had not yet been built up at the time of the 1961 Population Census. In this way the new institutional buildings are also included without systematic error in the selection for the $1 \%$-sample of enumeration districts for the Microcensus.
2. Ordering of institutional enumeration districts

The following arrangement was made in the various Laender separately for the special enumeration districts and for the standard enumeration districts with an institution or an establishment of the hotel industry.

First the institutional enumeration districts were subdivided into 6 groups of institutions.The individual groups of institutions each comprise types of institutions where the share of persons partic-
ipating in economic life is approxinately the same.

| Group No. Group of institutions |
| ---: | :--- |
| I $\quad$Hospitals and psychiatric <br> establishments |
| IIHomes for the aged and for <br> invalids |
| IV $\quad$Workmen's camps, residen- <br> tialhomes and camps, etc. <br> Establishments of the hotel <br> industry |
| V $\quad$Other institutions <br> VI Unspecified type of insti- <br> tution |

Within the groups of institutions the enumeration districts were arranged according to the number of the institutional population.
3. Breakdown of institutional enumeration districts

In order to avoid for the selection too big clusters due to very large institutional enumeration districts and not to complicate the work of the interviewers, the following breakdown was made for enumeration districts with an institutional population of 150 and more persons:

Enumeration districts with 150 to under 300 persons: halving of enumeration districts
Fnumeration districts with 300 to under 1,000 persons: quartering of enumeration districts
Enumeration districts with 1,000 and more persons: division of enumeration districts by ten.

Thusan institutional enumeration district which, for instance, comprises 500 institutional persons, got a fourfold chance of being selected. She very large institutional enumeration districts were subdivided according to the initial letters of the names of the persons living there. For October 1962, for instance, the following groups of letters were used for the individual partition quotas:

[^13]Quartering of enumeration
districts:
districts by ten:

B
4. Selection of enumeration districts for the $1 \%$-sample and the $0.1 \%$-sub-sample

For the $1 \%$-sample a systematic selection of every tenth enumeration district was made from the arranged institutional enumeration districts. The parts of enumeration districts were in the selection equated with the enumeration districts. The selection was made on a continuous basis for all groups of institutions, i.e. it began with the first groupand the enumeration districts of the second group followed immediately.

As in the Laender the number of institutional enumeration districts in the $1 \%-$ sample is relatively small, the selection for the $0.1^{\%} \%-s u b-s a m p l e ~ c o u l d ~ n o ~ l o n g e r ~$ be effected separately by Laender. The institutional enumeration districts selected for the $1 \%$-inquiry from all the Laender were conbined and sorted in the order described above. From the institutional enumeration districts arranged in this way for the $1 \%$-sample of the entire Federal Republic, every tenth enumeration district was again selected systematically; parts of enumeration districts were considered as enumeration districts of their own.

## 5. Rotation of institutional enumeration districts

The number of institutional enumeration districts selected in the Laender is relatively small. Therefore the adjustment of the institutional population by groups of institutions, as envisaged in the sample design, could not be maintained for the intended tripartition of tne $1 \%-s a m-$ ple of institutional enumeration districts. A partial rotation for the institutional enumeration districte thus is practically impossible. The institutional
enumeration districts selected for October 1962 were therefore retained for the following two $1 \%$-inquiries. A new selection of institutional enumeration districts from the $10 \%$-sample of enumeration districts of the 1961 Population Census was made for the $1 \%$-inquiries of April 1964, 1966, 1968 and was then scheduled for 1970. The $0.1 \%$-sub-samples are newly selected every year.

## VIII. Summary

Since October 1962 the Microcensus inquiries have been based on a sample design which in princtiple is an area sample. In contradistinction to the sampling procedure for the Microcensus inquiries held from October 1957 to October 1961, the new Microcensus aamples are drawn according to a one-stage and twophase sampling procedure. For the 1961 Population and Occupation Census the built-up area in all communities of the Federal RepubIIc and in Berlin (West) was subdivided into enumeration districts (altogether about 600,000 enumeration districts). For special enumerations a $10 \%$-sample (1st phase) was drawn from the regionally arranged aggregate of these enumeration districts. A 10\%-subsample was then drawn from the $10 \%$-sample of enumeration districts (2nd phase), so that relpted to the aggregate of all enumeration districts in the Population Census - there was a $1 \%$-sample of enumeration districts. (For the main features of the sample design as of October 1962 see Chart 7.)

The sub-sample was drawn separately for standard enumeration districts and special enumeration districts (enumeration districts comprising an institution).

Before selecting the standard enumeration districts, the communities with at least one standard enumeration district in the $10 \%$-sample were Ersi arranged by 10 community size classes ari within the size classes combined to groups of communities with the same number of standard enumeration districts in the

10\%-sample (strata of enumeration districts) and then classified by regions. Inside the communities the $10 \%$-enumeration districts were further arranged according to size. The $10 \%$-sub-sample was then systematically drawn with a random sampling number fixed beforehand and independently for every community size class.

All new buildings erected on the estates of the sampling districts since 6 June 1961 (key-date of the 1961 Population Census) are automatically covered by the interviewers. Special rules for the selection have been laid down for the cases where an estate with a new building erected after 6 June 1961 borders on the sampling district, as well as for the partition and allocation of larger non-built-up areas within the housing area.

For covering new construction on areas which had not been considered in the arrangement of enumeration districts for the 1961 Population Census, a special area sample is drawn. For this purpose the Microcensus communities are subdivided into two groups:

## A. Communities without zoning or stret plans

B. Communities with zoning or street plans.

In the communities of Group A the area sample for covering the new construction is extended to the total developable area outside the inhabited municipal territory. The non-built-up municipal territory in the Microcensus communities of this group is subdivided according to existing landmarks into as many sectors of about the aame size as there are $10 \%$-enumeration districta (without institutional enumeration districts) in these communities. Only one of these sectors which has to be selected at random is then subdivided into about 10 segments of the same size. One of these segments is then selected at random.

For covering new construction in communities with zoning or street plans (Group B) - this group includes practically all communities of 10,000 inhabitants and more - a subdivision into sectors/segments is also made as
in Group A before selecting a segment,though only for prospective building land. In the communities of 10,000 inhabitants and more it is, if possible, also proceeded in this way that the new housing areas which did not yet exist on 6 June 1961 and were not considered in the system of enumeration districts of the 1961 Population Census are subsequently broken down into enumeration districts. From the newly formed enumeration districts a supplementary sample with a sampling fraction of $1 \%$ is then drawn for the $1 \%$-inquiries.

One third of the sampling districts is exchanged every year for the $1 \%$-inquiry. The sample design permits to restrict considerably the changes of communities for this rotation of the sample without infringing the principle of random selection. For effecting the partial rotation it was first necessary as a preparatory measure to divide the $1 \%$ sample of October 1962 into three equal parts. Three $0.33 \%$-sub-samples were formed from the aggregate of sampling districts. The new selection required for the partial rotation is in principle made as follows: The remaining enumeration districts of the $10 \%-$ sample of enumeration districts, i.e. districts not selected so far for an inquiry of the Microcensus, are arranged in accordance with the sample design of the Microcensus. For the new third of the sample those enumeration districts are selected from this aggregate which follow in the order directly the enumeration districts of the relevant third of the sample which is to be exchanged. If the last enumeration district in a Microcensus community has to be exchanged, the first enumeration district of the relevant community is newly selected in order to restrict the change of sampling communities in the rotation. Only if in a community all enumeration districts of the $10 \%$-sample have been covered in this way by the rotation, it is proceeded to the community which follows in the prescribed order.

The special enumeration districts selected for the $10 \%$-sample of enumeration districts for the 1961 Population and Occupation Census as well as the standard enumeration districts with an institution or an establishment
of the hotel industry provided the sampling frame for the selection of institutional enumeration diatricts. These districts were first subdivided into 6 groups. The individual groups of institutions comprise types of institutions with about the same share of persons participating in economic life.Within the groups of institutions the enumeration districts were arranged according to the number of the institutional population. In order to avoid for the selection too big clusters due to very large institutional enumeration districts, the enumeration districts with 150 and more persons were subdivided. The partition of the very large institutional districts was made according to the initial letters of the names of these persons. For the $1 \%$-sample of the group of institutional enumeration districts, every 10 th enumeration district was systematically selected from the ordered institutional enumeration districts of the $10 \%$-sample. Parts of enumeration districts were in the selection equated with enumeration districts. Because of the relatively small number of institutional enumeration districts in the Laender, a partial rotation for the institutional enumeration districts was practically impossible. The institutional enumeration districts selected for October 1962 were therefore retained for two $1 \%$-inquiries. An entirely new selection of institutional enumeration districts is made every 2 years.

## E. Calculation of Errors

for the 1\%-Microcensus Sample Survey, 1964
I. Definition of the sampling error

Sample surveys involve two types of errors, viz.:

1) sampling errors,
2) systematic errors.

Sampling errors are deviations due to the fact that only a sample instead of all units of the universe to be studied is actually

Chart 7: Main Features of the Microcensus Sample Design 1)

- Area sample as from October 1962 -


[^14]used for the statistical survey.

All other deviations are systematic errors. They are caused by faulty information provided by respondents or interviewers, errors in defining the universe and in allocating the units to specific parts of the universe,loss of units to be covered, as well as errors in the collection and processing of data, unless they are attributable to random selection.

In sampling procedures both types of errors may occur, while total enumerations are subject only to systematic errors.

The exact sampling error can be determined only by a comparison with the results of a corresponding total enumeration. However, in the case of samples drawn according to the principle of random selection, the size of the aampling error can be estimated in the light of the values obtained in the sample survey. This is achieved on the basis of an index number derived from the records of the so-called standard error of the sampling results. Of about 1,000 sampling results from a sample drawn according to the principle of random selection, there is on an average

> the sampling error of 683 results smaller than the simple standard error,
> the sampling error of 955 results smaller than twice the standard error,
> the sampling error of 997 results smaller than three times the standard error.

The size of the standard errors (and thus the order of magnitude for the sampling errors of the results) mainly depends on the sampling procedure used, as well as on the size of the sample and the frequency distribution of the individual characteristics recorded.

## II. Galculation of errors for keydate results of a $1 \%-$ Microcensus sample survey

About 320 characteristics were selected for a calculation of errors according to the sampling procedure actually used. The relative standard error of estimate $x^{\prime}$ for the total value of characteristics $X$ with simple expansion was estimated according to the following formula:

$$
v_{x^{\prime}}=\frac{i}{x^{\prime}} \sqrt{0.99 \cdot 10^{4} \sum_{h=1}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{hx}}^{2}}
$$

There are:

| $\mathrm{L}=$ | number of strata(federal Laender, |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | size classes of communities) |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{h}}=$ | total number of enumeration dis- |
|  | tricts in the h th stratum |
| $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{h}}=$ | number of enumeration districts in |
|  | the th stratum of the $1 \%-s a m p l e$ |
|  | survey |
| $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{in}}=$ | total of enumeration districts $=$ |
|  | number of persons or cases with |
|  | the characteristics e in the ith |
|  | stratum |

Variance of totals


$$
\vec{x}_{h}=\frac{1}{n_{h}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{h}} x_{h i}
$$

Mean value of the totals of enumeration districts

$$
x^{\prime}=100 \sum_{h=1}^{I} n_{h} \bar{x}_{h}
$$

Estimate for the total value of char-acteristicsX(raised sampling result)

This approach corresponds to the actual sampling procedure of the $1 \%$-Microcensus sample survey. It takes into consideration the selection of complete enumeration districts (clusters of processing units) and the stratification of enumeration districts by community size classes in the federal Laender. However it is not possible to consider here the ordering of enumeration districts by size which was effected in order to reduce the sampling error.For this reason the standard errors may in some cases be even more
favourable than with this estimation.

The voluminous calculation of errors was made on the computers of the Federal Statistical Office.

The results of the calculation of errors for a $1 \%$-sample of enumeration districts in the Federal Republic are recorded in Table 8.

The calculation of errors was performed for the $1 \%$-sample of April 1964. The results should however also apply as estimates to the subsequent surveys.

Moreover, the standard error was also estimated for a simple and unstratified random selection of the processing units. According to the binomial approach the relative standard error of a $1 \%-s a m p l e$ is approximately:

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{X}}= & \text { proportionate value of the process- } \\
& \text { ing units - persons or cases - with } \\
& \text { the characteristics } X \text { in the sample } \\
& \text { (estimate for the share of process- } \\
& \text { ing units with the characteristics } X \\
& \text { in the aggregate of processing units) } \\
\mathrm{X}^{\prime}= & \text { raised sampling result of character- } \\
& \text { istics } X .
\end{aligned}
$$

The results of the error calculation have shown that the relative standard error $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}$, computed according to the actual sampling procedure,is always larger than the relative standard error according to the binomial approach. There is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}=\mathrm{b} \cdot \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{X}^{\prime}(\operatorname{Bin})} \text { and } \mathrm{b}>1 & \text { for all charac- } \\
& \text { teristics. }
\end{aligned}
$$

By means of the correction factor $b$, which differs for the various characteristics, the standard error of the Microcensus results may by approximation also be estimated with the binomial approach.

The correction factors which have been es-
timated on the basis of the error calculation for the included 320 characteristics are also presented in Table 8 with the results of the error calculation.

## III. Estimation of the relative standard error on the basis of cell frequencies

An analysis of the error calculation has shown that the correction factor for the binomial approach does not have a constant value for all characteristics, but that it increases in an approximately linear way with the proportional value $p_{X}$ (proportion of processing units - persons or cases - with the characteristics $X$ in the aggregate of processing units). The increase differs, however, for the various groups of characteristics.

Considering the incremental function for the correction factor, Chart 9 presents for the individual groups of characteristics therelative standard errors in percentages which have been estimated according to the binomial approach for the federal results depending on the cell frequencies.

By means of these error curves an approximate estimation can be made of the relative standard errors in the Microcensus results of a key-date survey for the Federal Republic.The results have to be allocated to one of the four groups of characteristics.

[^15]IV. Comparison of two key-date results of a characteristics

For estimating the sampling error of the index number 1) from two key-date results of a characteristics, it has to be distinguished between interdependent and independent samples. As merely $1 / 3$ of the $1 \%$-Microcensus sample is exchanged each year, only those samples are independent of each other which are at least three years apart.

About the following estimates apply to the relative standard error of the index number from two key-date results:

Chart 8: Relative standard error of the index number from two key-date results

| Tnterval be- <br> tween the <br> surveys | Relative standard error of <br> the index number |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 year | 0.9 times the relative standard |
| error of a key-date |  |
| result |  |
| 2 years | 1.2 times " |
| years <br> and more | 1.4 times " " |

In order to determine whether the difference between two key-date results is still to be regarded as random or rather as significant, 1.e. as a genuine increase or decrease, the following criterion will be applied:The difference between two key-date results cannot be regarded as secured until the difference |1-index number|is at least twice the standard error of the index number (level of significance $=5 \%$ )

## Example:

According to the results of the Microcensus, the number of economically active persons in the agriculture of the Federal Republic was3. 172 millions in April 1963 and 3.042 millions in April 1964. The index number for the change from 1963 to 1964 is 0.96. This corresponds to a decrease of $4 \%$ as against 1963. According to Chart 8 the relative standard error for the index number is 0.9 times the relative standard error of a key-date result. For the key-date result of the characteristics economically active persons in agriculture, a relative

1) Index number $=\frac{x_{2}^{\prime}}{x_{1}^{\prime}}, \quad$ and $x^{\prime}=\underset{\text { raised }}{\text { date }}$ result at the time $i$
standard error of $2.3 \%$ was calculated. Consequently, the relative standard error of the index number is $0.9 \cdot 0.023=0.0207$ and the absolute twofold standard error of the index number 2-0.0207 $0.96=$ 0.04. As the difference $|1-0.96|=0.04$ is not smaller than twice the standard error of the index number, the difference between the two Microcensus results can already be regarded as secured (level of significance $=5 \%$ ).

## V. Estimation of the relative standard error for Laender results

The error curves for the relative standard error, as shown in Chart 9, apply only to federal results from a 1\%-sample of enumeration districts. However, it is also possible to estimate the relative standard errors for Laender results on the basis of cell frequencies according to the binomial approach as explained in Section II.

Relative standard error

$$
\text { in per cent }=b \cdot v_{x^{\prime}(B i n)} \cdot 100
$$

There are
$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{X}}{ }^{\prime}(\operatorname{Bin})$ Relative standard error according to the binomial approach (see also formula (2) in Section II)
b Correction factor for the binomial approach

The correction factor $b$ for the binomial approach can be derived either from the results of the error calculation for the $1 \%-\mathrm{Micro}-$ census sample survey of 1964 ( see page 44 et seq.) or by approximation from Table 7.

Table 7: Correction factor $b$ as related to the proportional value $p_{X}$

| ```Propor- tional value p in per cent``` | Correction factor $b$ for groups of characteristics |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ```resident pop- ulation by age and sex``` | economically active persons (excl. agriculture) | $\begin{gathered} \text { L } \\ \text { economically } \\ \text { active per } \\ \text { sons in } \\ \text { agriculture } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 0.01 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| 0.05 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| 0.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| 0.5 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.6 |
| 1.0 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 2.0 |
| 5.0 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 4.4 |
| 10.0 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 7.9 |
| 50.0 | 5.1 | 5.9 | - |



Example:
In April 1964 there were in Hesse (raised number) about 850,000 economically active women. The aggregate resident population was about $5,000,000$. Accordingly, the proportional value $p x=850,000: 5,000,000=$ $0.17=17 \%$. Thus $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{X}}(\mathrm{Bin})=10 \sqrt{0.83: 850,000}$
$=0.01$. From the figures in Column E of Table 7 a rough estimate can be made of correction factor $b$ the value of which is $\mathrm{b}=2.7$. There follows for the relative standard error of the sample survey result " 850,000 economically active women" the value $\nabla_{X^{\prime}}=0.01 \cdot 2.7 \cdot 100=2.7 \%$ or, as an absolute figure, 23,000.

Table 8: Results of the error calculations for the $1 \%$-Microcensus Sample Survey, 1964 for the Federal Republic


| Characteristics |  | Rela- tive stand ard error in $\%$ | Correo- tion fac- tor for the bino- mial ap- proach | Characteristics |  | Rela- tive stand- ard error in \% | Correction factor for the binomial approach |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Economically active men |  |  |  | Economically active women |  |  |  |
| trade and transport | 65 and over qether | $\begin{aligned} & 3.4 \\ & 1.2 \end{aligned}$ | 1.1 1.9 | agriculture and forestry | self-employed unpaid family workers | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \\ & 2.4 \end{aligned}$ | 1.5 2.8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Others } \\ & \text { (services) } \end{aligned}$ | under 24 years <br> 25 to 39 years <br> 40 " 64 <br> 65 and over <br> together | $\begin{aligned} & 2.2 \\ & 1.7 \\ & 1.4 \\ & 3.2 \\ & 1.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 1.7 \\ & 1.1 \\ & 2.0 \end{aligned}$ |  | ```officials,salaried employees (incl. apprentices) wage earners (incl. apprentices)``` | $\begin{array}{r} 12.1 \\ 4.2 \end{array}$ | 1.1 1.4 |
| total |  | 0.7 | 3.2 | production industries | ```self-employed unpaid family workers officials,salaried employees (incl. apprentices) wage earners (incl. apprentices)``` | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \\ & 3.0 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 1.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \\ & 1.3 \end{aligned}$ |
| Economically active women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| agriculture and forestry | ```under 24 years 25 to }39\mathrm{ years 40 " 64" 65 and over together``` | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7 \\ & 2.6 \\ & 2.3 \\ & 3.6 \\ & 2.3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 1.7 \\ & 2.1 \\ & 1.4 \\ & 2.9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 1.3 2.9 |
| production <br> industries | ```under 24 years 25 to 39 years 40" 64 6 5 \text { and over} together``` | 1.9 2.0 1.4 5.2 1.4 | 1.8 2.2 1.5 1.5 2.7 | trade and transport | ```self-employed unpaid family workers officials,salaried employees (incl. apprentices) wage earners (incl. apprentices)``` | $\begin{aligned} & 2.6 \\ & 2.8 \\ & 1.3 \\ & 2.4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2 \\ & 1.3 \end{aligned}$ |
| trade and <br> transport | under 24 years 25 to 39 years <br> 40 " 64 " | 1.6 1.8 1.5 | 1.3 1.2 1.3 |  |  |  | 1.4 1.3 |
|  | 65 and over $\qquad$ together | $\begin{array}{r} 1.9 \\ 1.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1.3 1.1 1.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { others } \\ & \text { (services) } \end{aligned}$ | ```self-employed unpaid family workers officials,salaried employees (incl. apprentices) wage earners (incl. apprentices)``` | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \\ & 3.3 \\ & 1.9 \\ & 1.5 \end{aligned}$ | 1.2 |
| others <br> (services) | under 24 years 25 to 39 years 40 " 64 " 65 and over together | 1.7 2.1 1.4 4.6 1.3 | 1.5 <br> 1.8 <br> 1.5 <br> 1.2 <br> 2.2 <br> 2.8 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3 \\ & 2.2 \end{aligned}$ |
| Economically active men |  |  |  | Economically active men by hours worked |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | self-employed | 0 hrs.worked   <br> $1-14$ $" 1 "$  <br> $15-23$ $" 1$ $"$ <br> $24-39$ $" 1$ $" 1$ <br> $40-45$ $" 1$ $" 1$ <br> $46-69$ $"$ $"$ <br> 70 and over   | 5.66.75.34.02.31.52.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \\ & 1.1 \\ & 1.1 \\ & 1.1 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.7 \\ & 2.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| agriculture and forestry | self-employed <br> unpaid family <br> workers <br> officials,salaried employees (incl. <br> apprentices) | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4 \\ & 3.3 \\ & 8.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.2 \\ & 1.8 \\ & 1.4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3.3 | 1.6 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { unpaid family } \\ \text { workers } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 23.9 \\ 11.3 \\ 8.2 \\ 7.1 \\ 5.9 \\ 3.8 \\ 4.7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.1 \\ & 1.1 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 1.7 \end{aligned}$ |
| production | ```self-employed unpaid family workers officials,salaried mployees (incl. apprentices) wage earners (incl. apprentices)``` | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7 \\ & 7.0 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 1.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1.3 1.1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $2.8$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dependently } \\ & \text { employed } \\ & \text { persons } \end{aligned}$ | 0 hrs. worked   <br> $1-14$ $\prime \prime$ $\prime \prime$ <br> $15-23$ $\prime \prime$ $\prime \prime$ <br> $24-39$ $\prime \prime$ $\prime \prime$ <br> $40-45$ $\prime \prime$ $\prime \prime$ <br> $46-69$ $\prime \prime$ $" 1$ <br> 70 and over   | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \\ & 5.7 \\ & 4.8 \\ & 2.9 \\ & 0.8 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 2.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4 \\ & 1.1 \\ & 1.1 \\ & 1.3 \\ & 2.8 \\ & 1.9 \\ & 1.2 \end{aligned}$ |
| trade and | ```self-employed unpaid family workers officials,salaried employees (incl. apprentices) wage earners (incl. apprentices)``` | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8 \\ & 7.2 \\ & 1.7 \\ & 1.6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1.3 1.1 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1.8 \\ 1.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Economically active women by hours morked |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | self-employed | 0 hrs. worked   <br> $1-14$ $"$ $" 1$ <br> $15-23$ $\prime \prime$ $" 1$ <br> $24-39$ $\prime \prime$ $" 1$ <br> $40-45$ $" 1$ $" 1$ <br> $46-69$ $"$ $" 1$ <br> 70 and over   | $\begin{aligned} & 8.3 \\ & 6.4 \\ & 5.6 \\ & 4.5 \\ & 3.6 \\ & 2.4 \\ & 4.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \\ & 1.1 \\ & 1.1 \\ & 1.1 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { others } \\ & \text { (services) } \end{aligned}$ | ```self-employed unpaid family workers officials,salaried employees (incl.``` | 2.2 9.2 | 1.4 1.1 |  |  |  |  |
|  | apprentices) wage earners (incl. apprentices) | 1.6 1.8 | 2.0 1.4 | unpaid family workers | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \mathrm{hrs.} \text { worked } \\ 1-14 \quad \text { " } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.1 \\ & 5.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2 \\ & 1.6 \end{aligned}$ |


| Characteristics |  | Rela- tive stand- ard error in $\%$ | Correc- <br> tion fac- <br> tor for <br> the bino- <br> mial ap- <br> proach | Characteristics |  | Relative standard error in $\%$ | Correction factor for the binomial approach |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Economically active women by hours worked |  |  |  | Mothers with children under 18 years |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { unpaid family } \\ & \text { workers } \end{aligned}$ | $15-23$ hrs. worked  <br> $24-39$ $" 1$ $"$ <br> $40-45$ $" 1$ $" 1$ <br> $46-69$ $"$ $" 1$ | 3.7 3.6 3.3 2.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4 \\ & 1.8 \\ & 1 . \\ & 2.2 \end{aligned}$ | non-active, single | 1 2 2 3 3 child 4 4 | 3.5 5.6 8.7 11.2 | 1.0 1.0 1.1 1.1 |
|  | 70 and over | 4.3 | 2.5 | Resident population (male) |  |  |  |
| dependently employed persons | 0   hrs.worked <br> $1-14$ $\prime \prime$   <br> $15-23$ $\prime \prime$   <br> $24-39$ $\prime \prime$   <br> $40-45$ $\prime \prime$   <br> $46-69$ $\prime \prime$   <br> 70 $\prime \prime$   <br> 70 and over   | 3.8 <br> 2.7 <br> 1.9 <br> 1.6 <br> 1.1 <br> 2.6 <br> 6.0 | 2.3 1.1 1.2 1.4 2.4 2.3 1.5 | economically active | ```means of subsist- ence: economic activ- ity unemployment benefits pensions etc. family members``` | $\begin{array}{r} 0.7 \\ 33.2 \\ 2.4 \\ 1.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.1 \\ & 1.7 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Activity cases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| self-employed | 0   <br> hrs. worked   <br> $1-14$ $" \prime$ $" 1$ <br> $15-23$ $" \prime$ $" 1$ <br> $24-39$ $" \prime$ $" 1$ <br> $40-45$ $" \prime$ $" 1$ <br> 46 and more   | 4.6 4.0 2.9 3.0 2.1 1.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 1.6 \\ & 1.3 \\ & 1.3 \\ & 2.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ```not gainfully active``` | ```means of subsist- ence: unemployment benefits pensions etc. family members``` | $\begin{aligned} & 10.2 \\ & 12.3 \\ & 10.3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & 1.1 \\ & 1.1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { unpaid family } \\ & \text { workers } \end{aligned}$ | 0   <br> hrs. worked   <br> $1-14$ $" \prime$ $" 1$ <br> $15-23$ $" \prime$ $" 1$ <br> $24-39$ $" \prime$ $" 1$ <br> $40-45$ $" 1$ $" 1$ <br> 46 and more   | $\begin{aligned} & 8.3 \\ & 5.1 \\ & 3.2 \\ & 3.4 \\ & 3.2 \\ & 2.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4 \\ & 1.9 \\ & 1.8 \\ & 1.9 \\ & 1.8 \\ & 2.7 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | non-active | ```means of subsist- ence: pensions etc. family members``` | $\begin{array}{r} 1.0 \\ 0.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.6 \\ 2.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  |  |  |  | principal <br> means of sub- <br> sistence from | ```economic activity unemployment benefits pensions etc. family members``` | $\begin{array}{r} 0.7 \\ 11.3 \\ 0.9 \\ 0.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 3.1 |
| dependently employed persons | 0 hrs. worked   <br> $1-14$ $\prime \prime$ $" \prime$ <br> $15-23$ $\prime \prime$ $\prime \prime$ <br> $24-39$ $" \prime$ $" \prime$ <br> $40-45$ $" \prime$ $" 1$ <br> 46 and more   | $\begin{array}{l\|l} 2.8 \\ 2.5 \\ 1.8 \\ 1.5 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.3 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.5 \\ & 3.5 \\ & 2.3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8 \\ & 1.6 \\ & 2.6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Resident population (female) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | economically <br> active |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.8 \\ & 2.5 \\ & 1.5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.6 \\ & 1.3 \\ & 1.5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 person | 1.0 | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |
| with children under 18 years | 2 persons | 2.3 1.0 | 1.1 1.7 |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \text { " } \\ & 5 \text { and more } \end{aligned}$ | 1.0 <br> 1.2 | 1.6 1.8 | not gainfully employed | means of subsistence: |  |  |
| without chil- <br> dren | 2 persons 3 4 4 5 | 0.8 1.0 1.5 2.7 | 1.9 1.3 1.1 1.2 |  | unemployment benefits pensions etc. family members | $\begin{array}{r} 9.9 \\ 11.2 \\ 8.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1.2 1.1 1.1 |
| Mothers with children under 18 years |  |  |  | non-active | means of subsistence: <br> pensions etc. <br> family members | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9 \\ & 0.8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.9 \\ 3.6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| economically active, married | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { child } \\ & 2 \text { children } \\ & 3 \text { " } \\ & 4 \text { and more } \end{aligned}$ | 1.3 1.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4 \\ & 1.3 \\ & 1.2 \\ & 1.3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2.3 3.2 |  | principal <br> means of sub- <br> sistence from | ```economic activity unemployment benefits pensions etc. family members``` | 0.8 | 2.6 |
| economically active, single | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { child } \\ & 2 \text { children } \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \text { and more } \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 2.5 4.6 9.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 1.1 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 9.9 <br> 0.8 <br> 0.8 | 1.2 1.9 3.7 |
|  |  | 17.7 |  | Resident population (total) |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { non-active, } \\ & \text { married } \end{aligned}$ | 1 2 2 child 3 4 | 1.1 1.2 1.7 2.4 | 1.6 1.5 1.3 1.4 | principal means of subsistence from | ```economic avtivity unemployment benefits pensions etc. family members``` | 0.7 8.6 0.8 0.8 | 4.3 1.7 2.3 5.0 |

## Results of the Microcensus and its Supplementary Programmes

## F. General Review of the Microcensus Results, 1957 to 1967

The results of the $1 \%$-inquiries give a general idea of the total labour force potential and provide a detailed picture of the structure of the active population in the Federal Republic of Germany. The inquiries which are made three times a year with-a sampling fraction of $0.1 \%$ are to provide short-term information on changes in the participation in economic life and in the population itself. Supplementary programmes are carried through within the framework of the current Microcensus programme(basic programme) for the purpose of recording data on questions of topical intereat in social and economic policy. The supplementary inquiries conducted in the years 1962 to 1966 will be dealt with separately later in the text.

It is another task of the Microcensus to provide internationally comparable figures on the potential labour force in accord with the recommendations of the OECD and of the International Labour Office.

In the years 1957 to 1962 the $1 \%$-inquiry used to be held in October. In 1963 it was advanced to the month of April. The shift was made mainly for the following reasons: The change-over to an earlier month of the year made the results better comparable with the findings of the 1961 Population Census. As the Microcensus is to update the results of the Population Census during the intercensal years, it became necessary to adapt, as far as possible, the date of the Microcensus inquiry to that of the Population Census. It further appeared desirable to have the employment figures of the Microcensus available by the end of each year.

The principal employment data derived from the Microcensus inquiries held from 1957 to 1967 will be briefly outlined below. From October 1957 to April 1967, the resident population increased by more than $10 \%$, which compares with an increase of only about $1 \%$
for the active population. The number of economically active women rose by $3 \%$, whereas the number of economically active men fell by $2 \%$. Due to the economic recession, the number of the active population in 1967 was below the level of 1966 (see Table 9). Apart from certain temporary influences resulting from the economic situation, the less extensive increase of the active population as compared with the rise in the general population may be attributed to the change in the age structure of the general population and to the decrease of the activity rates (proportion of economically active persons in the total of persons within one age year or one age group respectively) in the yauger age groups as a consequence of the longer school education.

The extent of the economic and social changes is reflected by the trend in the proportions of the active population by sectors of economy, on the one hand, and by status in occupation, on the other. As compared with the figures for 1957, the total of persons occupied in agriculture and forestry decreased by 35 \% up to 1957 , whereas the number of persons working in trade, transport, communications as well as in the service sector increased by $17 \%$. The number of persons occupied in the production industries rose by $8 \%$ from 1957 to 1966 and by $6 \%$ from 1957 to 1960, while there remained only a minor increase between 1960 and 1966. The downward trend caused by the recession mentioned above may clearly be seen from the 1967 results (see Chart 10 on p.51).

The extensive movement of active persons from agriculture and forestry to other sectors of the economy, the ateady growth of the service sector, and the changes in the occupational activities experienced in all sectors as a result of the mechanization process have substantially altered the social and sociological structure of the economically active population. The proportions of self-employed and family workers in the total of economically active persons have decreased steadily, which also nolds
good for the proportion of wage earners. When comparing the results of 1957 with those of 1967, there is during this period a decrease of $11 \%$ for the self-employed, of $27 \%$ for the family workers and of $6 \%$ for the wage earners, whereas the number of salaried employees increased by $42 \%$ (see Chart 11 on p.51).

Information is collected in the Microcensus not only on the number of the active population, but also on the hours worked during the report week. This is understood to be the time which nad been spent on any work for pay or profit, and which is in most cases identical with the time spent at the place of work. According to the inquiry of October 1957, the hours worked by the active population in their main economic activities during the report week averaged 47.5 , which compares with an average of 43.4 hours during the report week of April 1957. The inquiries conducted from 1957 to 1967 show that the average weekly hours worked have steadily declined in all economic sectors and for all kinds of occupational status.
(See Tables 9-15 on pages 69-72.)

## G. Employment

## I. Employment of mothers and care of their children (1962)

Among the information collected in the first supplementary inquiry to the Microcensus of October 1962 were data on the "Care of children (under 14 years) of economically active mothers" ${ }^{1)}$. This was the first inquiry providing rather precise information on how these children are looked after while their mothers are at work ${ }^{2)}$. It was also for the first time that an interrelated and interdependent system of several inquiry stages was

[^16]employed within the framework of the Microcensus. It was the purpose of the stage proceeding directly from the basic programme to determine how the children of mothers working in other sectors than agriculture and Porestry are looked after. Where the entries made in the basic questionnaires led to a household in which there lived mothers working in other sectors than agriculture and forestry and having children under 14 years of age, a supplementary questionnaire had to be completed.

According to the Microcensus, there lived in the Federal Republic including Berlin (West) in October 196224.2 million women aged 13 years and over, of whom 9.4 millions or $39 \%$ were economically active. These figures do not include the population living in institutions (hereafter referred to as institutional population). The lower limit was fixed at the age of 13 in order to take into account only those women who were old enough to participate in economic life, because girls at the age of thirteen can be employed either as family workers or, under certain conditions, as apprentices.

Of the 9.4 million working women, 24.7 \%care for children under 14 years of age, which compares with 29.6 \% for the 14.7 million nonactive women. A total of 690,000 or roughly $30 \%$ of the mothers with children of under 4 years are occupied in agriculture and forestry,as against 1.6 millions in the other sectors of the economy.

Of the non-active mothers with children under 14 years of age, 96.5 \% were married. The corresponding rates among active mothers in agriculture and in other sectors of tae eonnomy were $96.4 \%$ and $85.6 \%$ respectively.

A total of $58 \%$ of the working mothers had to care for one child, 27 \% for two children, and $15 \%$ for three and more children of under 14 years,whereas $50 \%$ of the non-active mothers had one child, $32 \%$ two children, and $18 \%$ three and more children under 14 years of age. So the mothers participating in economic life had on an average to bring up less children at ages of under 14 years than the
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non-active mothers.

Of the 9.4 million economically active women there were 1.8 millions working in agriculture and forestry and 7.7 millions or four fifths in the other sectors of the economy. The great majority of the women with and without children under 14 years of age, who worked in agriculture and forestry (94 and $88 \%$ respectively) were family workers or self-employed. This compares with about $13 \%$ for the women who were self-employed or family workers in the other sectors of the economy. Among the economically active women with children aged under 14 years, the proportion was nearly twice as high ( $20.6 \%$ ) as for the economically active women without children under 14 years ( $11.5 \%$ ).

The magnitude of this problem can be seen from the fact that 2 millions of these children, or $88 \%$, are deprived of their mothers for the whole day and are cared for by other persons or in kindergartens, schools and similar institutions. The mothers of 278,000 children are absent only half days, so that the custody problem is a differing one as far as the time is concerned ${ }^{1 \text { ). }}$

Of the 2 million children whose mothers work all day, 1.8 millions or $91 \%$ are looked after the whole day, 170,000 only half days, and 8,000 not at all. A classification of these children by pre-school and school age shows remarkable differences inthe extent to which they are cared for. Of the 784,000 children under 6 years of age with economically active mothers in full-time employment,778,000 or $99 \%$ were cared for all day, roughly 1,000 half days and about 6,000 not at all. Among the school children, the proportion of children cared for only in the morning, i.e. mainly at school, and left to themselves in the afternoon, was $14.2 \%$. With growing age, this category of children gains in number. It was 38,000 children or $7 \%$ for the ages 6 to 10 and 132,000 children or $20 \%$ for the ages 10 to 14. The number of school children in this age range who are left to themselves

[^17]in the morning a $n d$ in the afternoon is very low.

How the children are cared for when their mothers are at work can be seen from the breakdown by institutions and individual persons in charge. Of the 1.8 million children with mothers in full-time employment who are cared for all day, 371,000 or $21 \%$ were in kindergartens, day care centres or at school, 673,000 or $37 \%$ were all day in the care of individual persons, and 750,000 or $42 \%$ were part of the day in an institution and for the rest of the time cared for by relatives or other persons.
(See Tables 16 and 17 on page 73.)

## II. Present and former employment of women

aged 40 to 65 years (1966)

The supplementary inquiry of 1966 on the employment of women aged 40 to 65 years as from their 15 th year of life ${ }^{1 \text { ) }}$ was to determine why an employment had been terminated and whether it might be resumed. The women at ages between 40 and 65 years (only German nationals) were asked, inter alia, whether they had ever been economically active, when they had worked for the first time, whether and,if so, why they had terminated or interrupted their activity, whether they had resumed it or how frequently they had interrupted it and how long the relevant intervals had been. Interruptions not exceeding 1 year were not counted as such. The supplementary inquiry was restricted to the ages 40 to 65 years because women tend to resume their work in their fifties. The proportion of economically active women in the total of all women, which reaches a maximum of $69.8 \%$ for the ages 20 to under 25 , is below $50 \%$ as from the 30 th year of life, which shows that women who were economically active after completion of school and vocational education stop working because they have to look after their families. The yearly Microcensus in-

1) Ordered by Federal Government Ordinance of 19 April 1966 under the Law on the ixxecution of a Sample Survey on Population and Economic Activity (Microcensus) of 21 December 1962, Bundesgesetzblatt I, p. 767.
quiries have further shown that, beginning with the 40th year of life, women re-entering economic life on an average outnumber those who stop working.

Information on the labour force participation of women, by age and marital status, is available from the annual inquiries of the Microcensus.

But it had not been known so far, how many of the non-active women had once been active and how many had never been employed.According to the supplementary inquiry of April $1966,3.8$ millions ( $36.9 \%$ ) of the total of 10.3 million women at ages between 40 and 65 years were economically active at the date of the inquiry and 6.5 millions ( $63.1 \%$ )were not. The latter included 5.4 million women who had been employed before and only 1.1 millions who had not. This means that 9.2 millions ( $89.6 \%$ ) of the women in these age groups had at some point been economically active.

Even these totals show clearly how important a role employment, its termination and eventual resumption may play in a woman's life.

Single ( $73.5 \%$ ) and divorced women ( $72.2 \%$ ) are found most frequently among the active population (see Table 18). Their proportions exceed substantially those of married women ( $31.9 \%$ ) and of widows ( $30.3 \%$ ).

The data collected in the supplementary inquiry permit to ascertain for all women who were economically active some time or the other, at which point they first took up employment.

The investigations have shown that,irrespective of the generation to which they belong and of the circumstances prevailing at the time, more than three quarters of all women start working at ages under 17.

There are two questions of interest which can now be investigated in the light of the results of the supplementary inquiry, viz.

1. Why do women interrupt their economic activity?
2. Why do women terminate their economic activity?

The following two groups have to be distinguished in this connection:

1. Women who interrupted their economic activity ( $41.8 \%$ ), irrespective of whether they are still or again working.
2. Women who have given up their economic activity ( $58.8 \%$ ), irrespective of whether or not they had interrupted their employment before terminating it.

More than half of the women ( $54.7 \%$ ) who had interrupted their economic activity, reported family considerations as the reason, whereas about $17 \%$ had given up due to war events, and for a large part had probably been forced to do so.

Mainly family reasons were also given for the termination of the economic activity, though it happens also very often that women have to stop working for reasons of health ( $17 \%$ ) .The relative importance of this latter reason indicates that the reasons for giving up employment have relation to the age of the women and the economic situation prevailing at the time when they stop working.

In connection with the investigation into the reasons for interrupting or terminating the economic activity, an answer can be found also to the question which of the reasons for interrupting an activity result most frequently in a termination of the activity for good, or, conversely, which of the reasons for interrupting an activity involve the highest probability of a re-entrance into economic life.

Roughly half of all women have resumed their economic activity after its first interruption.

Accordingly, every second woman who once had been active re-entered economic life, but only 4 in every 10 women who had interrupted their employment in order to get married were found to have resumed work at a later time. However, where women keep their jobs after marriage until giving birth to their first child, about $57 \%$ resume work at a later date. This shows that women who wait for a longer period before discontinuing their work are more likely to resume it later.
(See Tables 18 - 23 on pages 74 and 75.)

## III. Sunday, holiday and night work (1965)

The Microcensus inquiry of May 1965 was supplemented by an inquiry covering about 200,000 households and dealing with the night, Sunday and holiday work performed by dependently employed persons ${ }^{1 \text { ) }}$. Included were those persons in dependent employment (officials, salaried employees, wage earners, home workers and apprentices) who had in the months of February, March or April 1965 worked regularly or casually at night (i.e. between 22.00 and 6.00 hours), on Sundays or holidays (between 0.00 and 24.00 hours). Night work was further broken down by shift work and other work. Another question was whether the night, Sunday or holiday work had or had not been performed in the first job.

Of the roughly 21 million persons ${ }^{2)}$ in dependent employment, 2.4 millions ( $11.2 \%$ ) had done night work and 2.1 mililions $(9.8 \%)$ Sunday or holiday work in tne period under observation. Both groups cover approximately 1.5 million economically active persons ( $7 \%$ of all persons dependently employed), who performed both night work and Sunday/holiday work.

Sunday and holiday work is usually not performed quite as regularly as night work. A total of 71 \% of the night workers do this work "regularly", which compares with only about $60 \%$ in the case of Sunday or holiday workers. The percentage of women who work on Sundays and holidays ( $74.2 \%$ ) is however much higher than the percentage of men ( $56.7 \%$ ). For nearly 9 in 10 persons working on Sundays or holidays, this work lasts more than 3 hours.

In most cases ( 1.6 million persons $=67.7 \%$ ) the night work is performed as shift work and - in accord with the working time regulations in establishments with shift work mostly at regular intervals. About $87 \%$ of the persons doing shift work at night per1) Ordered by Federal Government Ordinance of 16 December 1963 under the Law on the Execution of a Sample Survey on Population and Economic Activity (Microcensus) of $21 \mathrm{De}-$ cember 1962, Bundesgesetzblatt I, p. 767. 2) The figures of the $1 \%$-sample have been extended to the total resident population.
formed this work regularly. Night work other than shift work is, on the other hand, for the most part done only casually.Still there are 290,000 dependently employed persons working regularly at night, though not in changing shifts but permanently.

Of the women working at night - whose number is rather small as compared with the men still $52.5 \%$ did shift work regularly,whereas the relevant rate for the men was $70.1 \%$. The proportion of women doing night work permanently and not in changing shifts is even greater than that of men $(25.8 \%=82,000$ persons over against $10.1 \%=208,000$ persons).

The age classification of male night workers shows that in all age groups under 65 years the proportion of men working in night shifts - as compared with all night workers in the corresponding age groups - is about the same with roughly $70 \%$. Thus, generally speaking, the shift work, which at regular intervals also includes night shifts, is for men largely independent of the age.
(See Tables 24 and 25 on pages 76 and 77.)

## H. Education

## Vocational training (1964)

A supplementary inquiry on"vocational training" was made in April 1964 ${ }^{1 \text { ). All members }}$ of the roughly 200,000 households of the M1crocensus, who had been at least 14 and not over 64 years of age on 31 December 1963, were asked about attendance and completion of studies at schools providing general education, vocational schools or universities, practical occupational training as well as occupation learnt and practised. As the school and vocational training systems differ in point of time and also regionally, great demands were made on the interviewers. This is why they were thoroughly trained for this very difficult inquiry. It was not always

[^18]easy for the respondents to provide exact information on their education and vocational development. This holds good in particular for the older persons because of the substantial changes in the educational aystem. This is the reason why $0.9 \%$ of the relevant cases did not provide any classifiable information on education and vocational development at all and could not be considered in processing.

This supplementary inquiry did not cover part of the non-German nationals in the Federal Republic and of the population living in institutions ${ }^{1)}$.

Data on school education and vocational training as well as occupational data were recorded for a total of 37.5 million persons ${ }^{2)}$ of the age mentioned ( 17.4 million men and 20.1 million women). They included 24.9 million economically active persons ( 15.6 million men and 9.3 million women).

The classification of the economically active population - aged 14 to under 65 years by completed school education shows that $86 \%$ of both males and females left school before they had moved up to the upper fifth grade. About $10 \%$ of the economically active women left school after having received the certificate permitting them to move up to the, upper-fifth grade. The percentage is higher than among the economically active men, where it amounts to only $8 \%$.Conditions are reversed for the active population having been ewarded their higher school certificates at a secondary (grammar) or night school. There, $6 \%$ in the case of men compare with only $3 \%$ for the women. Among the persons (with completed education at an institution of general education) who were not economically active there is, compared with the economically active persons of the same age, a much higher proportion of persons having left school before reaching the upperfifth grade。 Rousily $1 \%$ of the economically active persons had left a school providing general education after having moved up to

[^19]the upper-fifth grade, but before having obtained their higher school certificate.This is important particularly in the case of the women, where the group of persons who had not been economically active was eleven times as large as the relevant group of men. In particular for women the participation in economic activity tends to be higher when they have attended secondary schools. The proportion of women with higher school certificates among the economically active women is $2.8 \%$ and higher by $30 \%$ than for those not economically active, where it is $2.2 \%$. The situation is about the same in the case of men, though the factor "incapacity for work" is much more important than in the case of women.

When comparing the relations of the types of school leaving in the age group 14 to under 30 years with the other age groups, account has to be taken of the fact that the figures shown in the tables cover only those persons who were not undergoing educational or vocational training at the date of the inquiry. This applies both to men and women.

In the supplementary inquiry, the economically active persons aged 14 to under 65 years were asked whether they had attended a school providing vocational education and, if so, which one, whether they had undergone a practical vocational training and, if so,of what type; and further whether this training provided the basis for the occupation practised at the time of the inquiry. Those respondents who pursued an occupation which was not based on their former training were asked whether this occupation was preceded by any informal on-the-job training and how many months this training had taken. This differentiated questioning provided the opportunity to make the following distinction:
(a) whether the present activity is practised on the basis of the original vocational training or following only an informal on-the-job training, or
(b) whether the present activity is $n \circ t$ practised on the basis of the original vocational training (included are economically active persons "without any training").

The latter category covers, accordingly, all economically active persons who at the time of the inquiry were occupied in another field of activity than the one they had originally been trained for.

Among the women aged 14 to under 65 years the percentage of persons who, at the time of the inquiry, performed their activity only on the basis of vocational school training was slightly higher than for men of the same ages. Conversely, the percentage of men was higher who performed their activity only on the basis of practical training. This applies also to those persons who both attended a vocational school and underwent practical training. Particularly striking is the high proportion of active women who do not perform their activities on the basis of their original training (including those without any vocational training). This category of persons increases with rising age both for men and women.

The differences found to exist between men and womenatages 14 to under 30 with respect to the better qualification due to both vocational school and practical training are not very great ( $26.0 \%$ for men and $17.5 \%$ for women). A breakdown according to the various types of education ${ }^{1)}$ shows that $2.7 \%$ of the male respondents (aged 14 to under 65 years) reported attendance of universities, institutions of higher education and $0.6 \%$ attendance of teacher training colleges as the basis for their present occupation. The corresponding rates for women are $0.8 \%$ and $1.0 \%$ respectively. The proportion of economically active men who have been trained for their present jobs at schools for technicians or at engineering schools is $1.1 \%$. Among the female respondents, the proportion of those who reported attendance of a full-time vocational school, an administrative school or an advanced full-time vocational school as

[^20]the basis for their occupation at the time of the inquiry was $11.5 \%$ and twice as high as for the male respondents. Another fact which appears to be wortiny of note is the higher percentage of women with informal on-the-job training of less than half a year ( $12.3 \%$ ) as compared with the male respondents ( $10.1 \%$ ). The difference is even greater in the younger age groups. For cases with an informal on-the-job training exceeding half a year, the percentages for the men are higher than those of the women.

The remarkable differences in the educational requirements for the activities performed in the various divisions of the economy are due to the specific technical and organisational features of the divisions concerned. This can clearly be seen from the breakdown of the economically active persons aged 14 to under 65 years by economic divisions and type of training for the occupation practised.

The differences established when classifying the economically active population (14 to under 65 years) by status in occupation and type of training for the occupation practised are also very characteristic. Equally interesting is the breakdown of the active population by 25 classes of occupations.

There was yet another question put to the respondents (aged 15 to under 65 years) in the supplementary inquiry, namely whether they had-after 1950 and before taking up their present job-worked in agriculture for a longer and uninterrupted period. The answers given to this question were to provide for this group of persons information on extent and direction of the movement from agriculture to other sectors of the economy since 1950. This special question was not put to persons who were not economically active in April 1964, The information obtained thus relates only to the economically active persons at the age of 15 to under 65 years, who were interviewed in April 1964. It was however impossible to assess in general the extent of the movement from agriculture to other sectors of the economy since 1950, because there were no data available on former
employment in agriculture for persons having retired from economic life, nor for economically active persons aged 65 years and over. Moreover, it was not known how many persons had emigrated or died who had formerly been working in agriculture.

In April $1964,2.5 \%(568,000)$ of the $22.6 \mathrm{mil}-$ lion persons aged 15 to under 65 years who worked in other sectors than agriculture had - after 1950 and before their present job been working in agriculture for a longer period. The proportion applies to both the men and the women of this group. Of the persons working in production industries, $3.2 \%$ had comefrom the agricultural sector after 1950. The corresponding rates for the men were $2.9 \%$ and for the women $4.2 \%$. Both in the trade, transport and communications sector and for Other sectors, the proportion of persons who had come from agriculture after 1950 was $1.6 \%$. For the women of this group of persons, the influx into the Other sectors was stronger than that into the trade, transport and communications sector, which contrasts with the situation for the men of this group.

Of the 568,000 economically active persons who after 1950 left agriculture, 405,000 ( $71 \%$ ) had changed over to the production industries, 74,000 ( $13 \%$ ) to trade, transport and communications, and $90,000(16 \%)$ to the Other sectors. At census date, $94 \%$ of them were dependently employed.
(See Tables 26-29 on pages 78-80.)

## J. Public Health

## I. Illness and accidents (1966)

In July 1963, a voluntary pilot survey on illness and accidents was for the first time conducted at the federal level. Its purpose was to find out in how far inquiries of this kind are feasible from a psychological and technical point of view. The results of this supplementary survey have shown that in this way important information may be obtained for health policies which otherwise could not be secured. The morbidity data available in the

Federal Republic originate for the most part from material not originally produced for use in statistics; they are rather provided by the public health offices from their records on notifiable diseases and by the legal health insurance on cases of incapacity for work. These data thus cover only the incidence of selected diseases or the morbidity among part of the population, namely for the insured persons. The figures relate to cases rather than to diseased persons, so that statistical information on important demographic and occupational aspects for these persons is lacking.

Household inquiries, however, permit an insight into public health conditions and also account for the economic and social aspects which are important for cases of illness and accidents. Representative morbiaity statistics covering the households may, accordingly, be a valuable supplementation of the data provided by the public health offices and the legal health insurance. These are the reasons why a supplementary inquiry on "illness and accidents" was conducted in April 1966 within the framework of the current $1 \%$ sample survey of the Microcensus. About 125,000 households comprising approximately 340,000 persons were covered in the Federal Republic and asked whether "a member of the household had been ill, confined to bed or under medical treatment in April 1966" or whether he/she had been "under permanent medical treatment" because of a chronic ailment or for surveillance of a previous disease. Another question was to provide information on whether "a member of the household had been involved in any accident whatsoever (e.g. traffic accident, industrial accident, household accident, etc.) or injured by poison during the period February to April 1966". Information was collected from this group of persons, which had been delimitated by these guiding questions, on the type of the disease or accidental injury. It was further determined whether and how long the persons concerned had been under medical treatment, confined to bed,in hospital and/or incapable for work as a result of the disease or accident. For persons injured in accidents, information was collected on date, type, circumstances and consequences of the
accident. Persons who had suffered in the report period from several diseases or had been involved in several accidents were counted only once with the most serious disease or accident. Questionnaires were not to be filled in for household members under permanent treatment by a lay medical practitioner. Routine cases of dental care and all negligible cases were also left out of consideration.

The brief comments given below on the definition of "illness" and on the method employed are to facilitate the interpretation of the results:

There is no clear and universal definition of "illness". Illness is mainly a subjective process. Whether a respondent is ill or not depends in the first place on his (her) own judgement. As, accordingly, illness cannot be covered in a household inquiry as an objective condition, the subjective opinion of the respondent had to provide the point of departure. The interviewers, who were not medically trained, had to take down the answers as they were given by the respondents, irrespective of any diverging opinions with regard to morbid conditions which objectively are of the same nature. So the results were also influenced by the subjective judgement of the respondents with regard to the state of health or illness of the household members. This influence decreases with the growing "gravity" of the disease or accident and the increasing number of objective consequences they have (confinement to bed, medical treatment, incapacity for work, hospitalization) in the individual instance.

Experience gained from similar inquiries on past events has shown that the information given by a respondent is influenced by two further factors, namely, by gaps of memory and the so-called telescoping effect. The former denotes that an event or the date of an event is the sooner forgotten, the greater the time distance between the event and the key-date of the inquiry becomes.The latter results in a shift of the date towards the present. Both effects may have biased in particular the figures on persons with accidental injuries, because they have been bas-
ed on a reference period of three months.
The total of "ill persons" further depends on the wide definition of illness used for the inquiry, and which not only comprises the acutely and chronically ill, but also all persons who in April 1966 were "under permanent medical treatment"for the surveillance of a previous disease.

The groups of diseases recorded in the inquiry largely coincide with the two-digit "German Classification of Diseases, Injuries and Causes of Death for the Statistics of the Social Insurance Institutions", 1962 Edition. The diagnoses reported by the respondents were taken down verbatim by the interviewers.

In the households covered by the supplementary Microcensus inquiry, about 6.3 million persons were recorded as having been ill in April 1966, which is about $10.7 \%$ of the resident population in the Federal Republic of Germany. According to the definition for the supplementary inquiry, all persons who were 111 at least on one day of the report month, or under permanent medical treatment in the month of April,were to be classified as ill. When considering the duration of illness, four main groups of sick persons can be distinguished.

By far the largest group consists of persons who had fallen ill already on or before the 1 st of April and still were ill on the 30 th of the month. This group comprises 3.9 million persons ( $61.1 \%$ ), which is $6.6 \%$ of the resident population. More than 2.4 millions of the persons in this group ( 4.1 \% of the resident population) reported that they had been under permanent medical treatment because of a chronic disease (permanent condition) or for the survelllance of a previous disease. The other three groups together accounted for less than $40 \%$ of the diseased: 0.8 million persons ( $11.9 \%$ ) had also been taken ill prior to the 1 st of April, but recovered again in the course of the month; 1,7 million persons fell ill during the report period, 0.5 millions ( $8.1 \%$ ) still were 111 on 30 April, whereas the other 1.2 millions ( $18.9 \%$ ) recovered in the course of

April. When interpreting the Pigures, account must be taken of the fact that where a person had been affected with several diseases during the report month, only the most serious disease was takea into consideration.

The sick rate of women was about 12 per 100 and $20 \%$ above the sick rate of men. Not counting the population at ages under 15 years, this higher morbidity is observed among women of all age groups.

Similarly to the general morbidity which rises with growing age, the frequency of most diseases also uses to increase with the age. Infective and parasitic diseases except tuberculosis are much more frequent at younger ages, while the incidence of diseases of the respiratory system is about the same in all age groups. The increase due to rising age is particularly conspicuous among the female population. The number of sick persons per 1,000 of the population is in the age range 65 years and over nearly five times the corresponding rate among children and juveniles at ages up to 15 years. The heavy increase in illness with growing age is both among men and women due to the rising number of cases of chronic diseases (in the inquiry referred to as cases of permanent medical treatment) rather than to a rise in the number of acute cases as a result of increasing age.

Of the 26.6 million economically active persons in the Federal Republic, 2.4 millions ( $9.1 \%$ ) were ill on at least one day of the report month of April 1966. The proportion of economically active men who had been ill in the report month was $8.5 \%$, which compares with $10,1 \%$ in the case of women.

Morbidity among the active population is much lower than among the non-active population. This difference is found both among men and women, and it is most pronounced in the case of men of 40 to 65 years ( $17.7 \%$ to $32.5 \%$ ). Of 100 economically active men, 8 were ill in the report month, over against 11 in 100 for the men not economically active.
and among family workers, $3.2 \%$ were under permanent medical treatment because of a chronic ailment or for the surveillance of the effects of a previous disease. The corresponding figures were $2.4 \%$ for salaried employees and officials and only $1.8 \%$ for the wage earners. Among the "ill persons in a narrower sense" (mainly the acutely ill) there were on the other hand $6.8 \%$ wage earners, $5.9 \%$ salaried employees and officials and $5.3 \%$ self-employed and family workers.

These differences in the frequencies of illness for various groups of persons are even more pronounced for part of the economically active women. In all age groups over 20 the female wage earners were most often ill.

Though it is true that the economically active women usually are more often ill than the active men, the illness among women somewhat less frequently entails incapacity for work than is the case among men.

Only about $20 \%$ of the chronically 111 (under permanent medical treatment) among the economically active persons were incapable for work, which compares with four fifths in the case of the acutely ill. The percentages of both the persons incapable for work, and of those whose incapacity for work ended in April, to the diseased active persons clearly decreases with growing age. The main reason is the percentage of chronic diseases which rises from age group to age group.

The incapacity for work was by far the highest among the chronically ill, as it lasted there four weeks and over in more than $40 \%$ of the cases, whereas about one third of the acutely ill were incapable for work for one to two weeks.

When considering the duration of incapacity for work in the various age groups, it is seen that periods not exceeding two weeks constitute by far the largest proportion among the ages under 40, whereas roughly one fourth of the cases of incapacity for work in the ages over 40 involve comparatively long periods of four weeks and over.
inquiry, about 380,000 persons had been injured in an accident in April 1966; two thirds of them were men. The ratio of persons injured in an accident was 4 per 1,000 in the case of women and 9 per 1,000 in the case of men. The proportion of persons injured in accidents is highest ( $0.9 \%$ ) in the age range 15 to under 30 years. From this age onwards, the frequency of accidents for men begins to decrease again.

In the case of women, the risk of getting involved in an accident increases between the 40 th and 50 th year of age.

The classification of persons injured in an accident by types of accidents shows that "industrial accidents" (except accidents on the way) are the most frequent. Their proportion among all persons injured in an accident, as recorded by the inquiry, was roughly $37 \%$. Second place was occupied with $18 \%$ by sporting and playing accidents.

Household accidents mostly involved women ( $70 \%$ ) and industrial accidents mostly men ( $86 \%$ ) 。

The distribution of persons injured in an accident according to the various types of accidents depends not only on the sex, but also on the age.

The active population accounted for twice the number of accidents registered for the non-active population. There were 12 out of every 1,000 economically active men injured in an accident, but only 5 in every 1,000 non-active men. The difference between the quotas of injured for active and non-active persons is however much less marked in the case of women ( $0.5 \%$ to $0.3 \%$ ).

Figures with a relative standard error of over $20 \%$ (less than 10,000 cases raised) have not been shown in the tables, but substituted by a slant line (/).

## II. Accidents involving children and <br> juveniles aged under 15 years <br> (1965)

Within the framework of the $1 \%$-survey of the Microcensus of May 1965, a supplementary inquiry was conducted in households with a child under 15 years of age, who had been involved in an accident or in a case of accidental poisoning.

According to the results of the Microcensus, there was a much greater number of accidents in 1964 than in 1962. If it is correct that the number of accidents involving children has steadily increased during this period, the number of fatal accidents of children should have increased to about the same extent, unless there were fewer fatal accidents during these three years.

According to the statistics on causes of death, fatal accidents of children have slightly increased from 1962 to 1964, though less rapidly than could be expected in the light of the Microcensus figures. This may be attributed to the so-called "memory gap", which may certainly affect the results of an inquiry covering a period of more than three years; this applies in particular to the accidents of the earlier years 1962 and 1963. In the following analysis the emphasis will therefore be placed on the figures for 1964.

In 1964 there occurred 328,000 accidents involving children and juveniles under 15 years of age, of which 207,000 related to boys and 121,000 to girls. The number of children or juveniles involved in the 328,000 accidents was 319,000 , so that the ratio of accidents per 100 children/juveniles is on an average 103.

Related to the total of children and juveniles, the ratio is 26 accidents per 1,000 persons, accidents of boys (32) being 1 much more frequent than those of girls (20).

The number of accidents of children and juveniles rises with increasing age up to the age group 8 to under 10 years and then declines again. The rise is particularly strik-
ing in the case of boys where a maximum of 40 accidents was counted per 1,000 boys aged 8 to under 10 years, whereas the number of accidents per 1,000 girls from 3 to under 15 years fluctuated around $21.0 n e$ of the causes for the increasing frequency of accidents up to the 10th year of age lies in the fact that the children who are out of leadingstrings start out on their own without being able yet to realize the dangers of modern life.

When classifying the 1964 figures by location of the accident, it is seen that 71,000 accidents ( $21.5 \%$ ) occurred in road traffic, 124,000 ( $37.8 \%$ ) inside the home and on the premises including the own gardens, and 134,000 (40.7 \%) outside the home (except in road traffic). Accidents outside the home were among boys much more frequent than $a-$ mong girls; there were 195 accidents of boys for every 100 accidents of girls. Inside the home the ratio was 162 to 100 accidents and in road traffic 150 to 100 accidents.

It was also determined whether there are any interrelationships between the size of the community, in which the children live, and the risk of accident, particularly in road traffic. It has been found that the number of all accidents per 1,000 persons of the same age actually rises as the size of the community increases, namely from about 17 in communities of 1,000 to under 2,000 inhabitants to about 30 in communities of 100,000 inhabitants and more.

In the 71,000 road traffic accidents of 1964 , in which children and juveniles were killed or injured, $35,000(51 \%)$ of the children/juveniles concerned were pedestrians and 24,000 $(34 \%)$ riders of bicycles. In 15,000 accidents the children or juveniles were on their way to or from school.

More than half of the 124,000 accidents ( $60.4 \%$ ) which occurred inside the home in 1964, were falls and $12.1 \%$ burns or scalds.

For the various groups of accidents - road traffic accidents, accidents inside and outside the home - the supplementary inquiry also recorded the specific circumstances of
the accidents by type and involvement and the injuries or damage resulting from the accident. In order to obtain somewhat reliable information despite the small numbers in some of the categories concerned, the accidents which occurred in the years 1962 to 1965 have been combined, as the effects of the "memory gap"are of only minor importance for the purpose in mind.

Roughly one fourth of the accidents ( $25.1 \%$ ) led. to injuries of head, skull, brain, cranial nerves, spine and spinal cord, and a further fourth ( $24.4 \%$ ) to fractures. Though it is true that the danger to meet with an accident is greater among boys than among girls, the consequences of accidents are independent of the sex of the persons involved. They are however dependent on the type of the accident: injuries of head, skull, etc. are much more frequent in road traficic accidents ( $34.1 \%$ ) than in other accidents; and accidents outside the home are more likely to lead to fractures than those inside the home ( $32.0 \%$ ).
(See Table 36 on page 84. )

III. Physical and mental disability (1957 to 1962,1966$)$

The yearly inquiries conducted within the framework of the current Microcensus from 1957 to 1962 provided information on physically and mentally disabled persons by type and cause of disablement and by demographic and occupational characteristics. As the results have shown, the group of disabled persons has remained relatively constant in number and composition. It therefore was sufficient to include the questions concerning physical and mental disability only at several years' intervals in a supplementary programme to the Microcensus.

It was not until 1966 that physical and mental disability was again recorded in the framework of the Microcensus as a sort of inventory of all persons suffering permanently
or over a long period from physical or mental disablement due to congenital defects, war events, diseases or accidents. Further questions related to school education, vocational training and reeducation of the disabled.

In October 1962, 3.7 million disabled persons (roughly $6.6 \%$ of the total population in the Federal Kepublic) were counted in the Microcensus. There were 2.8 million men and 870,000 women. The proportion of disabled persons in the various age groups differs. It increases with rising age and reaches its maximum of $15 \%$ in the age group 60 to 65 years.

The most frequent cause recorded for men was war-connected disablement, whereas in the case of women specific diseases accounted for a share of $39 \%$. Other frequent causes were industrial accidents, which accounted for $15 \%$ in the case of men and $9 \%$ in the case of women. The share of occupational diseases was $7 \%$ for men and $6 \%$ for women.

From October 1957 to October 1962 the total number of disabled persons rose by about $15 \%$. The greatest increase ( $87 \%$ ) was observed among persons who reported specific diseases etc. as the cause of their disablement. For disabled with congenital defects there was a rise of nearly $35 \%$ and disablement due to an occupational disease or an industrial accident increased by $17 \%$.A decrease has however been observed in the case of persons disabled due to poliomyelitis, road traffic or other accidents as well as war-connected injuries.

Deformation or loss of limbs is the most frequent type of disability; the proportions are $38 \%$ among men and $24 \%$ among women. The loss or deformation of limbs was by $53 \%$ of the disabled attributed to war events and by 21 \% to industrial accidents. The rate of $21 \%$ for the loss or deformation of limbs due to industrial accidents equally applies to men and women. A total of $18 \%$ of the women disabled by loss or deformation of limbs reported "other accidents" as the cause. The corresponding proportion among men is $4 \%$.It cannot be said, however, whether household
accidents are responsible for this difference.

For disabled men ( 15 years and over), the activity rate is $65 \%$, which compares with only $19 \%$ in the case of disabled women. The activity rate for the non-disabled part of the population ( 15 years and over) is much higher both for men ( $85 \%$ ) and women ( $41 \%$ ).

In April 1966, about 4.1 million physically and mentally disabled persons were counted in the supplementary Microcensus inquiry, which is roughly $6.9 \%$ of the resident population in the Federal Republic. More than two thirds of the disabled were men( $2.8 \mathrm{mil}-$ lions) and just under one third women ( 1.3 millions). Of 100 men, 10 reported to be physically or mentally disabled, which compares with 4 in 100 women.

The proportion of disabled persons among the resident population increases with rising age, and is highest among men and women aged 60 to 65 years, namely $28.5 \%$ and $10 \%$ respectively, as was the case in the 1962 survey.

About two fifths of the male respondents reported war-connected disablement, one fifth attributed their disablement to a disease (except poliomyelitis and occupational diseases), and $12.5 \%$ to industrial accidents. For more than half of the disabled women, diseases were stated as the cause of physical or mental disablement, e.g. poliomyelitis ( $2.2 \%$ ), occupational ( $3.5 \%$ ) and other diseases (42.8; 0 .

As in 1962, the disablement most frequentiy reported in 1966 was the loss or deformation (or serious impairment) of upper and lower limbs. More than 1.3 million persons reported this type of impairment, $37 \%$ of the disabled men and $26 \%$ of the disabled women.

The proportion of "other diseases" is much smaller than in 1962, whereas that of nervous and mental diseases and of diseases of heart and circulatory system has increased.

It is of great importance for the financial and social security of the disabled and for their rehabilitation that their disability
is officially acknowledged as such. As far as the disablement of men is concerned, four fifths of all cases have been acknowledged, while the rate is only about $50 \%$ for the women.
(See Tables 37-39 on pages 84-86.)

## IV. First-aid training (1964)

A supplementary inquiry 1) on first-aid training was linked up with the $1 \%-s u r v e y$ undertaken in November 1964. Its purpose was to find out how many persons had participated in any training of this kind. The inquiry covered approximately 20,000 households.

The first question related to the occupation practised at the time of the inquiry or the former occupation in the case of persons who had retired from economic life. The question enabled two groups of persons to be distinguished, namely, those persons who practised an Gocupation for which first-aid training is a prerequisite ${ }^{2)}$ and those who participated in first-aid training as "laymen" or when in military service. The latter group includes in addition a small number of persons who had once worked in the public health sector, but had later Eiven up this occupation.

The inquiry recorded 4.37 million persons (which is roughly $9.6 \%$ of the resident population, aged 14 years and over), who had participated in first-aid training. A total of 416,000 of them were practising or - if they had retired from economic life - had last practised a public health occupation. Another 3.96 million persons had attended a first-aid training course. The type of training may range from a basic course to special training in life-saving.

Of the 3.96 million persons who at the date of the inquiry did not practise, or had not formerly precti=... a public health occupa-

[^21]tion, 3.4 millions reported to have attended a course in first-aid training. More than two thirds of them had been trained by the Red Cross (see Table 40 on page 87). The 560,000 persons who had not attended a firstaid training course, had undergone basic first-aid training along with their vocational education or specialized training.

Of the above 3.96 million persons, there had: 407,000 fersons been trained as sanitary staff in the armed forces either of the former German Reich or of the Federal Republic
550,000 been trained for special life-saving services, and
422,000 undergone vocational training for nursing or sanitary service before their present occupation or the last occupation they had practised.
Some of these persons reported to have participated in several types of training. Of the 550,000 persons trained for special life-saving services, $76 \%$ or 418,000 persons ( 343,000 men and 75,000 women) had life-guard training.

## K. Agriculture, Forestry

Cultivation of vegetables and potatoes on plots of under 0.5 hectares (1962)

In the Census of Agriculture of 31 May 1960, information was collected from holdings with a total area of 0.5 hectares and over on the cultivation of potatoes and vegetables (except seed growing) on the arable land, on the one hand, and in gardens, on the other. The 1961 Population Census recorded data on arable land and garden land without any further breakdown, but including small plots under 0.5 hectares. In order to bridge the existing gap particularly in respect of the cultivation of potatoes and vegetables, corresponding questions were included in the inquiry programme of the Microcensus.

The data shown in Table 41 with regard to small plots of potatoes and vegetables have been obtained in a supplementary inquiry to the Microcensus of October 1962.

In order to check the accuracy of the results obtained from the supplementary Micro-
census inquiry on the cultivation of vegetables and potatoes on plots of under 0.5 hectares, the relative standard error was estimated at the Federal Statistical Office on the basis of a calculation of errors. As the calculation of standard errors for the sampling procedure applied in the Microcensus involves much time and effort and foi technical reasons could not be effected by machine, the calculation of the variances between the enumeration districts had to be restricted to one federal Land which had good averages. The variances between enumeration districts thus calculated were at the same time used as estimates of variances in the calculation of the relative standard error for the Federal Republic as a whole and for the rest of the federal Laender. The simple relative standard errors assigned to the various figures can be seen from column b) of Table 41 on page 87.

## L. PassengerTraffic

## I. Holiday and recreation travel (1962, 1966)

In October 1962, a household inquiry on holiday and recreation travel was for the first time conducted within the framework of the $1 \%$-inquiry of the Microcensus. The inquiry was repeated in 1966 as a supplement to the $1 \%$-Microcensus survey.

The inquiry of 1962 covered approximately 180,000 households with a total of about 550,000 persons. Information was collected on type of journey, duration (beginning and end), place where the vacation was mainly passed inside the country or abroad, means of transport and type of accomodation mainly used. Another question concerned the expenditure for the individual journey in terms of DM.

According to the definition used in the 1962 inquiry, there were considered as holiday and recreation travels all journeys of 5 days and over outside the permanent residence, and duty, business or other trips only if they were combined with a holiday or recreation trip, provided that the latter lasted 5 days and over. The data to be col-
lected in these latter cases had to relate only to that part of the journey which had been undertaken for holiday and recreation purposes. Visits among relatives, friends and acquaintances and stays of children at holiday-homes etc. were counted as holiday or recreation trips, too.

The inquiry undertaken in 1966 was to provide information only on such matters as time (the month comprising the main part of the trip), length (in weeks) and type of journey, as well as its main destination (inside the country or abroad).

According to the results of the 1962 supplementary inquiry, about 14 million persons ( 6.5 million males and 7.5 million females) or $26 \%$ of the 53.3 million persons living in private households throughout the Federal Republic excl. Berlin participated in holiday and recreation trips in the period from 1 October 1961 to 30 September 1962. A total of 13.1 millions or roughly $94 \%$ of these persons made one trip,789,400 or $5.6 \%$ two trips and 91,400 or $0.7 \%$ three or more trips. The 14 million vacationers undertook a total of 15 million journeys, of which 9.2 millions or $61 \%$ mainly insidethe country and 5.8 millions or $39 \%$ mainly abroad.

Roughly two thirds of all holiday and recreation trips were made to 14 selected tourist regions.

The classification of trips abroad by destination shows which countries are favoured for vacationing. The German population prefers Austria and Italy, which had been visited by roughly two thirds( 64 \%) of all persons travelling abroad. First place is occupied by Austria which accounted for 2.2 million trips abroad, which is $37 \%$ of all such journeys. Second position is held by Italy with 1.6 millions or $27 \%$. Far behind follow Switzerland ( $7.4 \%$ ), the Netherlands (7.1\%) and Spain ( $5.6 \%$ ). The percentages of all other countries - except France ( $4.3 \%$ ) - are far below this level.

The supplementary inquiry of 1966 has shown that of the 59.1 million population of the Federal Republic about 20.1 million persons
( 9.3 million males and 10.8 million females) participated in holiday and recreation trips in the period from 1 October 1965 to 30 September 1966 (hereafter to be referred to as 1966), which compares with about 15 million persons in 1962. The intensity of travel expressed by the proportion of persons going on a holiday or recreation journey versus the total population has increased from $27 \%$ in 1962 to $34 \%$ in 1966. An increase has also been observedin the frequency of travelling, i.e. the ratio of the number of journeys to the number of persons who travelled. In 1962, the proportion of persons who had undertaken two and more journeys in the course of one year was $6.3 \%$, which compares with $10.2 \%$ in 1966.

The number of journeysundertaken in 1966 by the 20.1 million vacationers was 22.6 millions, of which 14.1 million journeys or $63 \%$ mainly inside the country and 8.5 million journeys or $37 \%$ abroad. In 1962 about 15 million persons had undertaken 16 million holiday or recreation trips, of which 9.8 million trips or $61 \%$ wére mainly undertaken inside the country and 6.2 millions or $39 \%$ mainly abroad. As the number of journeys inside the country has since 1962 increased at a greater rate ( $+44 \%$ ) than the number of journeys abroad ( $+35 \%$ ) there has been a slight shift in favour of the former category.

In 1966, approximately 14.1 million journeys were made inside the country, 4.3 millions or about $44 \%$ more than in 1962. Favoured tourist regions were, as in 1962, Bavaria and Baden-Wuerttemberg. A share of $43 \%$ of all trips were made to these Laender, $27 \%$ to Bavaria and $16 \%$ to Baden-Wuerttemberg.

Remarkable shifts have however occurred since 1962, which may be attributable to a differing regional distribution of the absolute increase. Of the 4.3 million "additional" journeys, c 6 and $16 \%$ respectively (which is roughly 1.1 and 0.7 million journeys) were made to Bavaria and Baden-7uerttemberg, but, on the other hand, Schleswig-Holstein alone accounted for 0.7 million journeys ( $16 \%$ ) of this increase.

When considering the development of the entire tourist traffic according to the Laender of destination, Schleswig-Holstein occupies first place with a rate of increase of $78 \%$, which may be attributed to the seaside resorts. Above-average rates of increase were also recorded for North Rhine-Westphalia ( $53 \%$ ), Hesse ( $50 \%$ ) and Baden-Wuerttemberg ( $45 \%$ ). The rates of increase for journeys to the other Laender range from 21 to $42 \%$, so that their share in the total internal traffic decreased to some extent.

In 1966, approximately 8.5 million journeys have been made to foreign countries,2.2 million journeys or $35 \%$ more than in 1962. The foreign countries most favoured by German tourists were again - as in 1962 - Austria and Italy. About $58 \%$ of all journeys were made to these two countries, $34 \%$ to Austria and $25 \%$ to Italy. There followed far behind such countries as Spain and Portugal, the Benelux countries, Switzerland, France and the countries of Northern Europe. Their shares in the total of all journeys abroad range between 8 and $4 \%$.
(See Tables 42-45 on pages 88 and 89.)

## II. Weekend commuters and means of transport used (1964)

The inquiry conducted within the Microcensus in April 1964 on"weekend commuters and means of transport used" ${ }^{1 \text { ) }}$ was to supplement the information on daily commuters available from the Population and Occupation Census, 1961. Weekend commuters were understood to be all those persons who, between May 1963and April 1964, travelled over the weekend from the place of work or education to their families ("home residence") during the whole or part of the report year. It did not make any dilference in this connection whether the commuters returned over the weekend to their parents' or their spouse's residence.The inquiry on weekend commuters was carried outin

[^22]conjunction with the supplementary inquiry on "vocational training", so that only those commuters could be covered who on 31 December 1963 had reached the age of 14 and not passed the age of 64, and who underwent general education or vocational training or were economically active during the report period. There were not covered by the supplementary survey part of the non-German nationals living in the Federal Republic, nor the institutional staff and the inmates of institutions.

The respondents were asked about the transport mainly used, which is to say the means of transport used for the greater part of the distance covered.Transport used in feeder traffic was not to be reported. Local transport was left out of consideration in ascertaining the distances between the place of work or education and the home residence of the weekend commuters.

In the period from May 1963 to April 1964, about 420,000 weekend commuters were counted in the Federal Republic of Germany, who used to travel over the weekend - if only at irregular intervals - from their place of work or education to their home residence. More than half of them ( $54 \%$ ) used to go by train and about one third ( $31 \%$ ) by private car. Buses ( $7 \%$ ), motorcycles and mopeds ( $3 \%$ ) were used much less frequently, and there were hardly any persons who reported ships, planes or tramsas their main means of transport.

Roughly two fifths of all weekend commuters travel every week, about one fifth each once and twice a month, but only $4 \%$ three times a month from their place of work or education to their home residence.A total of $12 \%$ go home at larger than monthly intervals.

The data concerning the distances covered by the weekend commuters with the means of transport used give an idea of the extent and the structure of weekend commuting. Half of all commuters cover less than 100 km to reach their home residence (the average travelling distance being 49 km one way). A share of $22 \%$ of the commuters cover distances between 100 and 199 km (average trav-
elling distance $=132 \mathrm{~km}$ ), and $16 \%$ have to cover distances between 200 and 499 km (the average travelling distance being 288 km ). Only $5 \%$ of the weekend commuters travel 500 km and farther; the average distance for them is 663 km .

A classification of weekend commuters by status in occupation shows that the group of officials and salaried employees(41 \%) occupies first place,with the wage earners (25\%) following next.

Over two fifths of all weekend commuters have their place of work or education in communities of 100,000 inhabitants and more.
(See Tables 46 and 47 on pages 89 and 90.)

## III. Holders of driving licences and utilization of licences (1965)

It was the purpose of the supplementary inquiry conducted within the framework of the $1 \%$-survey of the Microcensus in hay 1965 to provide information on driving licences and their utilization ${ }^{1)}$.

The supplementary inquiry of May 1965 recorded roughly 14.8 million persons who were in possession of a German driving licence. This figure includes all persons who at the date of the inquiry had a German civil driving licence of Classes 1 to 5 or a corresponding service licence of the German Federal Railways, the Federal Postal Administration, the Federal Border Guards or the police. Persons whose driving licences had been withdrawn for some time were excluded, whereas $p$-rsons who had been temporarily prohibited to drive were recorded.

Non-German driving licences were left out of consideration, as were the so-called "international driving licences"which are required in some countries to prove that the holder

[^23]is in possession of a national licence.

Pursuant to the Registration Ordinance for Motor Vehicles (Strassenverkehrszulassungsordnung) in its latest version of 6 December 1960, driving licences of Class 1 entitle the holder to drive motorcycles with engines exceeding $50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ piston displacement. Holders of driving licences of Class 2 are permitted to drive motor vehicles exceeding 7.5 t of permissiblegross weight and lorries and trailers with more than three axles. The driving licence of Class 3 covers all motor vehicles not included in Classes 1, 2, 4 or 5. This is the standard driving licence for private cars. Class 4 licences relate to motor vehicles with a piston displacement not exceeding $50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$, mechanically propelled wheel-chairs and motor vehicles not exceeding $20 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ maximum speed. Class 5 licences at last cover bicycles with auxiliary engines,motorized cycles not exceeding $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ maximum speed and wheel-chairs with engines not exceeding $50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ piston displacement or $20 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ maximum speed. Holders of driving licences of various classes were on principle classified under the class of the highest value.

The distribution of the total of $14,821,000$ holders of driving licences among the various classes is as follows:
997,000 or $6.7 \%$ licences of Class 1
437,000 or $3.0 \%$ licences of Class 2
$5,954,000$ or $40.2 \%$ licences of Class 3
621,000 or $4.2 \%$ licences of Class 4
556,000 or $3.7 \%$ licences of Class 5
$1,502,000$ or $10.1 \%$ licences of Classes 2\&1
$4,700,000$ or $31.7 \%$ licences of Classes $3 \& 1$

For 54,000 persons the class of the driving licence could not be determined.

There is yet another question which deserves attention besides that concerning the types of vehicles for which the driving licences of the various classes were actually made use of,namely the number of persons entitled to drive vehicles of a specified type.

As every person possessing a driving licence is permitted to drive at least a vehicle covered by Class 5, the total number of holders of driving licences, which is approxi-
mately 14.8 millions, corresponds to the number of persons permitted to drive mopeds and motorized cycles not exceeding $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ maximum speed, the total number of which was about 1.2 millions at the date of the inquiry. In May 1965, there were, accordingly, 12 persons entitled to drive for every vehicle of this type.

When adding up the number of holders of driving licences of Classes 1 to 4 , one obtains the total of persons permitted to drive agricultural tractors and motorized cycles with engines not exceeding $50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ piston displacement. These vehicles totalled 1.3 millions on 1 July 1965, so that there were 11 persons entitled to drive for every vehicle.

The holders of driving licences of Classes 2, 3, 2 and 1 , as well as 3 and 1 (roughly 12.6 millions) constitute that group of persons who are entitled todrive motor vehicles not exceeding $7.5 t$ permissible gross weight. The number of these vehicles is 10 millions; included in this figure are 9.3 million private cars and estate cars. The ratio of vehicles per licensed drivers here is 1 to 1.3. In the case of vehicles exceeding 7.5 t permissible gross weight, which may be driven only by holders of Class 2 licences, there are 6 licensed drivers to every vehicle.

The ratio of males to females among the holders of driving licences was 4 to 1. The number of women is smaller in the higher age groups, whereas in the younger age groups, say, in the age group 21 to 24 ,already every 4 th holder of a driving licence is a woman.

The proportion of holders of driving licences among the resident population of the relevant age is largest in communities of less then 5,000 inhabitants, namely, $37 \%$ ( $63 \%$ for the men). This proportion decreases steadily with growing size of the communities and reaches its lowest level in cities of 100,000 and more inhabitants, where it is only $30 \%$ ( $52 \%$ for the men). The fact that the proportion of holders of driving licences among the age-specific population in communities of less than 5,000 inhabitants is above the average may be explained by the
very high percentage of the active population who possess a driving licence of Class 4 which is required for agricultural tractors.

In addition to the number of drivers, i.e. the holders of driving licences, there is yet another figure which meets with general interest, namely, the number of those licence holders who actually used their driving licences. A total of $63 \%$ of the holders
of driving licences used the licence regularly on three and more days of the week. Another $5 \%$ still made regular use of their driving licence, though on less than three days every wee.r.Every 5 th person ( $21 \%$ ) used the licence only occasionally, and $11 \%$ had not used their licence at all during the year preceding the inquiry.
(See Table 48 on page 90.)

| Participation in economic activity | Year of inquiry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1257 | 1958 | 1952 | 1960 |  | 1961 |  | 1962 |  | 1963 |  | 1964 |  | 1165 |  | 1966 |  | 1967 |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economically active | 25523 | 25786 | 25949 | 26 | 194 | 26 | 532 | 26 | 271 | 26 | 489 | 26 | 390 | 26 | 629 | 26 | 630 | 25 | 906 |
| Not gainfuliy employed | 431 | 342 | 214 |  | 152 |  | 91 |  | 102 |  | 86 |  | 97 |  | 57 |  | 49 |  | 290 |
| Active population | 25954 | 26128 | 26163 | 26 | 346 | 26 | 623 | 26 | 373 | 26 | 574 | 26 | 487 | 26 | 686 | 26 | 679 | 26 | 196 |
| Non-active population | 27808 | 28219 | 28734 | 29 | 215 | 29 | 697 | 30 | 375 | 30 | 466 | 31 | 199 | 31 | 739 | 32 | 386 | 33 | 146 |
| Total | 53761 | 54347 | 54897 | 55 | 561 | 56 | 319 | 56 | 747 | 57 | 040 | 57 | 686 | 58 | 425 | 59 | 065 | 59 | 343 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economically active | 15994 | 16123 | 16200 | 16 | 340 | 16 | 640 | 16 | 493 | 16 | 709 | 16 | 630 | 16 | 796 | 16 | 851 | 16 | 441 |
| Not gainfully employed | 188 | 155 16 | 16 105 |  | 69 |  | 46 |  | 49 |  | $\begin{array}{r}44 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r}51 \\ \hline 81\end{array}$ |  | 31 827 |  | 31 89 |  | 200 |
| Active population | 16182 8892 | 16277 | 16305 | 16 | 409 | 16 | 686 | 16 | 542 937 | 16 | 752 | 16 | 681 | 16 | 827 | 16 | 882 968 | 16 | 642 |
| Non-active population | 8892 | 9059 | 9300 | 9 | 568 | 9 | 718 | 9 | 937 | 9 | 989 | 10 | 391 | 10 | 675 | 10 | 968 | 11 | 270 |
| Together | 25074 | 25336 | 25605 | 25 | 977 | 26 | 404 | 26 | 479 | 26 | 741 | 27 | 073 | 27 | 502 | 27 | 850 | 27 | 912 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economically active | 9529 | 9663 | 9749 | 9 | 854 | 9 | 891 | 9 | 778 | 9 | 780 | 9 | 760 | 9 | 834 | 9 | 779 | 9 | 465 |
| Not gainfully employed | 243 | 187 | 109 |  | 83 |  | 45 |  | 53 |  | 42 |  | 46 |  | 25 |  | 19 |  | 90 |
| Active population | 9772 | 9851 19160 | $\begin{array}{r}9858 \\ \hline 984\end{array}$ | 9 | 937 | 9 | 936 | 9 | 831 | 9 | 822 | 0 | 806 | 9 | 859 | 9 | 797 | $\begin{array}{r}9 \\ \hline 1\end{array}$ | 555 |
| Non-active population | 18916 | 19160 | 19434 | 19 | 647 | 19 | 979 | 20 | 438 | 20 | 477 | 20 | 807 | 21 | 065 | 21 | 418 | 21 | 876 |
| Together | 28687 | 29011 | 29292 | 29 | 584 | 29 | 915 | 30 | 268 | 30 | 298 | 30 | 613 | 30 | 924 | 31 | 215 | 31 | 431 |

Table 10: Economically active persons, by economic divisions 1000

| Economic divisions ${ }^{1}$ | Year of inquiry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 195 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | T661 | 196\% | 1963 | 1964 | 1266 |  |

Agriculture, forestry,
animal raising and
animal raising and
fisheries
Electricity, gas, water
supply, mining
Manufacturing
(excl. constr.)
Construction
Trade
Transport, communications
Credit institutions,
ingurance companies
Services, nce.s.
Private non-profit in-
stitutions,private
households
Central and local govern
ment, social security

| 4 | 112 | 3 | 967 | 3 | 793 | 3 | 541 | 3 | 562 | 3 | 241 | 3 | 172 | 3 | 042 | 2 | 965 | 2 | 756 | 2 | 672 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 801 |  | 769 |  | 764 |  | 742 |  | 699 |  | 664 |  | 602 |
| 12 | 156 | 12 | 408 | 12 | 526 | 12 | 807 | 10 | 039 | 10 | 040 | 10 | 102 | 10 | 001 | 10 | 130 | 10 | 209 | 9 | 742 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 101 | 2 | 056 | 2 | 137 | 2 | 147 | 2 | 189 |  | 236 | 2 | 073 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 068 | 3 | 118 | 3 | 147 | 3 | 130 | 3 | 180 | 3 | 214 | 3 | 190 |
| 5 | 050 | 5 | 068 | 5 | 154 | 5 | 292 | 1 | 497 | 1 | 488 | 1 | 528 | 1 | 569 | 1 | 574 | 1 | 525 | 1 | 498 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 496 \\ & 912 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 493 985 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 510 \\ & 020 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 540 \\ & 149 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 551 \\ & 221 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 583 306 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 596 \\ & 388 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | 205 | 4 | 343 | 4 | 476 | 4 | 554 |  | 533 |  | 484 |  | 485 |  | 483 |  | 474 |  | 444 |  | 442 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 522 | 1 | 596 | 1 | 624 | 1 | 588 | 1 | 647 | 1 | 693 | 1 | 704 |
| 25 | 523 | 25 | 786 | 25 | 949 | 26 | 194 | 26 | 532 | 26 | 271 | 26 | 489 | 26 | 390 | 26 | 629 | 26 | 630 | 25 | 906 |

Agriculture, forestry,


Agriculture, forestry,

| fisheries | 2 | 246 | 2 | 171 | 2 | 044 | 1 | 916 | 1 | 919 | 1 | 758 | 1 | 715 | 1 | 612 | 1 | 591 | 1 | 478 | 1 | 432 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electricity, gas, water supply, mining |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42 |  | 43 |  | 43 |  | 43 |  | 42 |  | 39 |  | 38 |
| Manufacturing (excl. constr.) | 3 | 106 | 3 | 108 | 3 | 162 | 3 | 281 | 3 | 121 | 3 | 113 | 3 | 091 | 3 | 094 | 3 | 087 | 3 | 096 | 2 | 835 |
| Construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |  | 93 |  | 98 |  | 101 |  | 110 |  | 119 |  | 117 |
| Trade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 654 | 1 | 681 | 1 | 689 | 1 | 691 | 1 | 714 | 1 | 726 | 1 | 690 |
| Transport, communications | 1 | 999 | 2 | 091 | 2 | 139 | 2 | 210 |  | 236 |  | 247 |  | 254 |  | 249 |  | 255 |  | 250 |  | 244 |
| Credit institutions, insurance companies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 225 |  | 227 |  | 235 839 |  | 245 |  | 254 942 |  | 265 970 |  | 273 |
| Services, Private non-profit in- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 758 | 1 | 816 | 1 | 839 | 1 | 902 | 1 | 942 | 1 | 970 | 2 | 011 |
| stitutions, private households | 2 | 179 | 2 | 293 | 2 | 404 | 2 | 446 |  | 428 |  | 362 |  | 361 |  | 369 |  | 353 |  | 334 |  | 328 |
| Central and local govern ment, social security |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 410 |  | 436 |  | 456 |  | 455 |  | 486 |  | 502 |  | 498 |
| Together | 9 | 529 | 9 | 663 | 9 | 749 | 9 | 854 | 9 | 891 | 9 | 778 | 9 | 780 | 9 | 760 | 9 | 834 | 9 | 779 | 9 | 465 |

1) See also "Branches of economic activity", p. 11.

Table 11: Economically active persons by status in occupation
1000


Table 12: Interrelation of the employment and the subsistence concept

| Employment cancept | Year of inquiry | Subsistence concept |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  |  | Persons whose principal means of subsistence accrue from |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | economic activity |  |  | unemployment benefits or -relief |  |  | pensions etc. |  |  | family members |  |  |
|  |  | male | female | ${ }_{\text {gether }}^{\text {to- }}$ | male | female | to- | male | female |  | mele | female | to- gether | male | female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { to- } \\ & \text { gether } \end{aligned}$ |



|  | 1962 | 62.3 | 32.3 | 46.3 | 100 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eco- | 1963 | 62.5 | 32.3 | 46.4 | 100 | 100 | 100 | / | 1 | 1 | 9.5 | 5.8 | $7 \cdot 3$ | 7.7 | 5.4 | 6.1 |
| nom- | 1964 | 64.4 | 31.4 | 45.7 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1 | \% | \% | $9 \cdot 4$ | 5.8 | 7.2 | 8.7 | 6.0 | 6.9 |
| ically | 1965 | 61.1 | 31.8 | 45.6 | 100 | 100 | 100 | \% | 1 | 1 | 8.5 8.8 | 5.4 | 6.6 | 9.1 | 5.9 | 6.9 |
| Active active | 1966 | 60.5 | 31.3 | 45.1 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1 | - | \% | 8.8 8.5 | 5.5 | 6.8 | 9.2 | 6.1 | 7.1 |
| popu- | 1967 | 58.9 | 28.6 | 43.7 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 6.7 | $\overline{7}$ | 5.5 | 8.5 8.2 | 5.2 5.2 | 6.5 6.5 | 8.7 7.9 | 5.9 | 6.8 |
| lation Not | 1962 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | x | x | x | 98.4 | 99.2 | 5.5 98.7 | 8.2 | 5.2 | 6.5 | 7.9 | 5.8 | 6.5 |
| gain- | 1963 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | x | X | x | 97.3 | 99.4 | 98.7 98.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.3 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| fully | 1964 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | x | x | $\mathbf{x}$ | 90.2 | 99.4 | 93.5 | O. 2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| eq- | 1965 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | x | $x$ | x | 94.5 | 100 | 96.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.1 |
| ployed | 1966 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | x | x | x | 97.2 | 100 | 96.4 98.0 | 0.2 | \% | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| ployed | 1967 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.5 | x | $x$ | x | 93.3 | 98.2 | 94.9 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
|  | 1962 | 37.0 | 67.5 | 53.2 | X | x | $x$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
|  | 1963 | 36.8 | 67.6 | 53.0 | x | x | x <br> $\mathbf{x}$ | x | x | X | 90.1 | 94.0 | 92.5 | 92.1 | 94.4 | 93.7 |
| Non-active | 1964 | 37.8 | 68.0 | 53.7 | X | x | x | x | X | x | 90.3 | 94.7 | 92.7 | 91.1 | 93.9 | 93.0 |
| population | 1965 | 38.2 | 68.1 | 53.9 | x | X | x | x | x | $x$ | 91.1 | 94.4 | 93.1 | 90.7 | 94.0 | 92.9 |
|  | 1966 | 38.7 | 68.6 | 54.4 | x | x <br> x | - | x | $x$ | $x$ | 90.9 | 94.4 | 93.0 | 90.7 | 93.8 | 92.8 |
|  | 1967 | 40.4 | 69.6 | 55.8 | X | x $\mathbf{x}$ | x | x | $x$ | x | 91.3 | 94.7 | 93.4 | 91.2 | 94.0 | 93.1 |
|  | 1962 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | r ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | x | - | 91. | 94.6 | 93.4 | 91.8 | 94.0 | 93.3 |
|  | 1963 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Resident | 1964 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| population | 1965 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 1966 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 1967 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 1967 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Table 12: Interrelation of the employment and the subsistence concept (cont'd)

| Eaployment concept | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { in- } \\ \text { quiry } \end{gathered}$ | Total |  |  | Fersons whose principal means of subsistence accrue from |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | economic activity |  |  | unemployment benefits or relief |  |  | pensions etc. |  |  | family nembers |  |  |
|  |  | male | female | together | male | female | together | male | female | together | male | female | together | male | female | $\begin{gathered} \text { to- } \\ \text { gether } \end{gathered}$ |
| \% of the subsistence concept (totals of lines $=100$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1962 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 94,6 | 87.8 | 92.0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 9.3 | 5.6 |
|  | 1963 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 94, 1 | 86.8 | 91.4 | $\%$ | 1 | $\%$ | 1,7 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 4.0 | 10.3 | 6.3 |
|  | 1964 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 93.9 | 86.9 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1,7 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 4,3 | 10,4 | 6.5 |
|  | 1965 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 93.6 | 86.3 | 90.9 | \% | - | 1 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 4.5 | 10.9 | 6.8 |
|  | 1966 | 100 100 | 100 100 | 100 100 | 93.8 93.9 | 86.6 86.0 | 91.2 | 0.1 | 7 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 2,7 2,9 | 2.1 2.2 | 4.3 4.2 | 10.6 | 6.7 6.7 |
|  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | ¢ | x | , | 37.9 | 24.4 | 30.9 | 27.0 | 18.7 | 22.7 | 35.0 | 56,9 | 46.4 |
|  | 1963 | 100 | 100 | 100 | ${ }^{x}$ | $x$ | x | 57.0 | 39.6 | 48,5 | 17.8 | 12.9 | 15.4 | 25.2 | 47, 5 | 36.1 |
|  | 1964 | 100 | 100 | 100 | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | 48,5 | 34.2 | 41.8 | 27.6 | 22.4 | 25.2 | 23,9 | 43,4 | 33.1 |
|  | 1965 | 100 | 100 | 100 | $x$ | $x$ | ${ }^{x}$ | 50.0 | 34.4 | 43.0 | 25.2 | 1 | 21.9 | 24.8 | 47.8 | 35.1 |
|  | 1967 | 100 100 | 100 | 100 | x | x | $\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{x}}$ | 56.4 84,0 | 61, 1 | 77.2 | 18.7 4.5 | 7.8 | 18.6 5.5 | 24.9 11.5 | 44.4 31.1 | 32.4 17.6 |
| Non-active population | 1962 | 100 | 100 | 100 | x | $x$ | x | $x$ | x | $x$ | 29.4 | 22.9 | 25.0 | 70,6 | 77.1 | 75.0 |
|  | 1963 | 100 | 100 | 100 | x | $x$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | $x$ | x | ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | 28.5 | 22.8 | 24.7 | 71.5 | 77,2 | 75.3 |
|  | 1964 | 100 | 100 | 100 | $\mathbf{x}$ | x | x | x | $\mathbf{x}$ | x | 29.4 | 22.8 | 25.0 | 70.6 | 77,2 | 75.0 |
|  | 1965 | 100 | 100 | 100 | x | $x$ | x | $x$ | $x$ | $x$ | 28.4 | 22.6 | 24.6 | 71.6 | 77.4 | 75.4 |
|  | 1966 | 100 | 100 | 100 | x | x | x | x | x | x | 28.4 | 22.6 | 24.6 | 71.6 | 77.4 | 75.4 |
|  | 1967 | 100 | 100 | 100 | x | x | x | $x$ | x | x | 28.8 | 22.7 | 24.8 | 71.2 | 77.3 | 75.2 |
| Resident population | 1962 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 58.9 | 28.4 | 42.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0,1 | 12.1 | 16.4 | 14.4 | 28,4 | 55.2 | 42.6 |
|  | 1963 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 58.8 | 28.0 | 42,5 | 0.1 | 0,1 | 0,1 | 11.0 | 16,3 | 14.1 | 28.8 | 55.6 | 42.9 |
|  | 1964 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 57.7 | 27.7 | 41.8 | 0.1 | 0,1 | 0,1 | 12.2 | 16.4 | 14.4 | 29.4 | 55.8 | 43.3 |
|  | 1965 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 57,2 | 27,4 | 41,4 | 0,1 | 0,0 | 0,0 | 11.9 | 16,3 | 14.2 | 30.1 | 56.2 | 43.8 |
|  | 1966 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 56.8 | 27.1 | 41, 1 | 0.1 | 0,0 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 16.4 | 14.3 | 30.4 | 56.4 | 44.1 |
|  | 1967 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 55.3 | 25,9 | 39,7 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 12.7 | 16.7 | 14.8 | 31.3 | 57.3 | 45.0 |

Table 13: Active population per 100 men or women respectively, by age groups

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { At the age } \\ & \text { of } \ldots \text { to under } \\ & \cdots \text { vears } \end{aligned}$ ... years | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1965 | 1967 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Males, total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-15 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0,7 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.8 |
| 15-20 | 80.7 | 79.1 | 76.9 | 76.7 | 76.7 | 74.3 | 69.2 | 69,6 | 68.2 | 66.6 | 62.1 |
| 20-25 | 92.3 | 97.7 | 91,4 | 90.8 | 90.1 | 90.0 | 89.7 | 87.8 | 86.6 | 86.7 | 86.2 |
| 25-30 | 96.5 | 96.5 | 95.8 | 95.9 | 96.1 | 95.8 | 95.3 | 94.6 | 94.0 | 94.0 | 93.1 |
| 30-35 | 97,4 | 97.7 | 98,0 | 98, 1 | 98.2 | 98.3 | 98.4 | 98.2 | 98.3 | 98.7 | 98.2 |
| 35-40 | 97.6 | 97.6 | 97.6 | 97.6 | 98.0 | 98.0 | 98.4 | 98.5 | 98.6 | 98.7 | 98.6 |
| 40-45 | 96.9 | 97.3 | 97.1 | 97.0 | 97.1 | 97,3 | 97.5 | 97.6 | 97.1 | 97.9 | 97.9 |
| 45-50 | 96.3 | 96.1 | 95,9 | 96.1 | 96.2 | 96.4 | 96.7 | 96.5 | 96.8 | 96.8 | 96.8 |
| 50-55 | 94.1 | 94.5 | 94, 3 | 94.1 | 94.0 | 94.2 | 94.9 | 94.8 | 94.6 | 95.0 | 95.0 |
| $55-60$ | 89.1 | 89.2 | 89,1 | 89.0 | 89.2 | 89.6 | 90.3 | $89 . ?$ | 90.5 | 90.4 | 90.4 |
| $60-65$ and older | 74.7 25.1 | 72.4 23.3 | 72.6 23.0 | 72.6 22.0 | 73.9 23.1 | 75.3 22.6 | 77.9 24.8 | 77.1 23.5 | 78.1 24.0 | 78.7 23.0 | 77.7 22.5 |
| 65 and older | 25.7 64.9 | 23.3 64.5 | 64.0 | 63.6 | 63.7 | 22.6 63.0 | 63.2 | 62.2 | 61.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 23.0 \\ & 61.3 \end{aligned}$ | 22.5 60.3 |
| Females, total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13-15 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| 15-20 | 76.2 | 74.7 | 74,0 | 75.0 | 73.7 | 71.9 | 67.1 | 69.2 | 68.0 | 65.6 | 61.6 |
| 20-25 | 75.6 | 76. 2 | 76,4 | 75,7 | 75.9 | 71.2 | 72.9 | 71,7 | 70.4 | 69.8 | 68.7 |
| $25-30$ $30-35$ | 51.7 | 51.2 | 51.4 | 52.7 | 52.8 | 51.1 | 52.3 | 51.3 | 51.4 | 50.1 | 49.4 |
| $30-35$ $35-40$ | 44.9 43.7 | 45.1 44.5 | 44.7 44.8 | 45.1 45.4 | 44.1 45.1 | 44.8 46.2 | 44.3 44.8 | 43,2 45,1 | 43.4 45.4 | 43,2 44.0 | 41.9 43.3 |
| 40-45 | 41.5 | 42.3 | 42.9 | 44.9 | 45.2 | 46,9 | 46.6 | 47.6 | 48,3 | 48.8 | 47.3 |
| 45-50 | 38.9 | 40.1 | 40.3 | 41.6 | 41.5 | 43.5 | 44,6 | 45.1 | 46.3 | 47.4 | 46.7 |
| 50-55 | 35.7 | 36.5 | 36.9 | 37,9 | 38,1 | 39.6 | 40.4 | 40.6 | 41.1 | 42.6 | 42.3 |
| 55-60 | 31.7 | 31,7 | 32.4 | 32.7 | 33.2 | 33.7 | 34.3 | 34.7 | 36.3 | 36.3 | 36.4 |
| 60-65 | 23.0 | 21.3 | 20.8 | 20.9 | 21,4 | 21.7 | 23.2 | 22.9 | 23.3 | 24.1 | 23.6 |
| 65 and older | 10.0 | 8.3 | 8.2 | 7,8 | 8.1 | 7.7 | 8.1 | 7.7 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 7.9 |
| Total | 34.2 | 34.0 | 33.6 | 33.7 | 33.2 | 32.5 | 32.4 | 32.0 | 31.9 | 31.4 | 30.4 |
| Pemales, single |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $13-15$ $15-20$ | 76.7 | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1,3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| 20-25 | 91.6 | 91.9 | 91.4 | 91.4 | 90.2 | 72.5 88.9 | 67.4 88.4 | 69.7 | 68.0 86.5 | 65.9 86.7 | 85.8 |
| 25-30 | 90.1 | 91.1 | 97.0 | 92.1 | 91.7 | 92.1 | 92.3 | 90.5 | 90.8 | 90.2 | 90.2 |
| 30-35 | 89.0 | 88.6 | 90.4 | 90.6 | 91.1 | 90.5 | 90.4 | 90.1 | 90.0 | 90.6 | 91.1 |
| 35-40 | 86.8 | 89.4 | 88.4 | 88.3 | 89.7 | 89.4 | 90.1 | 90.3 | 91.5 | 90.9 | 89.5 |
| 40-45 | 85.4 | 84.7 | 85.9 | 87.1 | 87.0 | 86.9 | 88.7 | 89.1 | 89.7 | 89.6 | 89.7 |
| 45-50 | 80.4 | 81.8 | 81.4 | 83.1 | 84.6 | 83.2 | 82.8 | 86.2 | 86.4 | 88.9 | 88.7 |
| 50-55 | 75.3 | 75.1 | 77.2 | 78.2 | 78.9 | 79.9 | 81.6 | 83.3 | 83.0 | 82.8 | 83.9 |
| 55-60 | 67.9 | 67.5 | 68.9 | 70.2 | 72.0 | 71.6 | 72.2 | 75.3 | 76.8 | 75.9 | 78.2 |
| 60-65 | 52.5 | 46.2 | 43.3 | 44.9 | 47.5 | 42.8 | 48.7 | 48.1 | 49.4 | 49.4 | 49.0 |
| 65 and older | 17.1 | 17.9 | 16.4 | 15.2 | 15.0 | 15.9 | 16.2 | 15.6 | 15.4 | 15.3 | 16.0 |
| Together | 40.7 | 40.4 | 39.7 | 38.6 | 37.7 | 34.6 | 34.8 | 34.2 | 33.1 | 31.4 | 29.9 |
| ( Females, married |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $15-20$ | 54.9 | 52.9 | 58.9 | 62.9 | 62.8 | 59.6 | 56.9 | 53.8 | 53.7 | 56.8 | 54.8 |
| 20-25 | 49.9 | 51.2 | 50.8 | 51.7 | 52.5 | 51.5 | 52.2 | 51.8 | 57.7 | 51.5 | 50.4 |
| $25-30$ $30-35$ | 39.5 | 38.9 | 39.1 | 40.2 | 40.4 | 40.3 | 40.4 | 40.4 |  |  |  |
| $30-35$ $35-40$ | 35.7 | 36.3 | 36.0 | 37.1 | 36.0 | 37.1 | 36.8 | 35.8 | 36.5 | 36.5 | 35.2 |
| $35-40$ $40-45$ | 35.6 34.5 | 36.2 34.8 | 36.6 35.2 | 37.3 37.1 | 37.2 37.7 | 38.7 38.8 | 37.2 38.4 | 37.8 39.9 | 38.3 40.6 | 37.4 47.3 | 37.2 40.0 |
| 45-50 | 32.5 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 34.6 | 34.6 | 36.4 | 37.3 | 37.6 | 38.6 | 39.9 | 39.1 |
| -50-55 | 29.1 | 29.9 | 30.3 | 30.8 | 31.2 | 32.6 | 33.5 | 33.3 | 34.5 | 36.3 | 35.5 |
| 55-60 | 25.8 | 25.8 | 26.2 | 26.4 | 26.5 | 27, 1 | 27.8 | 27.7 | 28.9 | 29.6 | 30.2 |
| 60-65 | 19.6 | 18.2 | 17.7 | 17.6 | 17.8 | 18.6 | 19.4 | 18.8 | 19.3 | 19.8 | 19.5 |
| 65 and older | 11.8 | 3.6 | 9.8 | 9.0 | 9,7 | 8.9 | 9.5 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 8.9 |
| Together | 31.6 | 31.6 | 31.7 | 32.5 | 32.6 | 33.5 | 33.3 | 33.1 | 33.7 | 34.0 | 33.3 |
| Females widowed/divorced |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $13-15$ $15=20$ |  | $\overline{7}$ | 7 | $\overline{7}$ | $\overline{7}$ | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 20-25 | 70.0 | 77.9 | 76.8 | 77.9 | 79.4 | 78.5 | 75.7 | 81.0 | 77.2 | 83.0 | 79.2 |
| 25-30 | 71.4 | 75.1 | 71.6 | 77.7 | 76.5 | 72.8 | 72.9 | 77.1 | 77.1 | 75.6 | 75.7 |
| 30-35 | 70.7 | 69.2 | 73,2 | 72.5 | 68.2 | 75.4 | 72.4 | 74.9 | 73.5 | 75.1 | 68.8 |
| 35-40 | 60.1 | 61.0 | 63.2 | 67.0 | 70.7 | 72.9 | 73.4 | 73.6 | 73.2 | 71.4 | 67.5 |
| 40-45 | 47.9 | 50.7 | 52.8 | 56.8 | 59.4 | 64.0 | 65.1 | 65.6 | 67.0 | 69.7 | 71.6 |
| 45-50 | 43.1 | 45.8 | 46.4 | 48.3 | 48.0 | 50.8 | 52.9 | 52.9 | 57.3 | 58.9 | 59.8 |
| 50-55 | 37.9 | 40.5 | 40.1 | 43.3 | 42.9 | 44.8 | 45.1 | 45.2 | 45.2 | 46.2 | 47.7 |
| $55-60$ | 29.2 | 30.4 | 32.1 | 32.2 | 34.1 | 35.1 | 36.3 | 36.8 | 38.8 | 38.7 | 37.7 |
| $60-65$ | 17.8 | 18.9 | 18.3 | 18.0 | 18.4 | 19.9 | 21.0 | 21.3 | 21.7 | 23.2 | 23.1 |
| 65 and older | 24.4 | 5.6 23.9 | 5.5 23.8 | 5.7 24.2 | 5.7 23.6 | 5.3 24.4 | 24.6 | 23.4 | 23.5 | 5.5 23.6 | 52.6 2.9 |

Table 14: Economically active persons, by number of activities, hours worked and sex

| Inquiry | 1st activity |  |  |  | 2nd activity |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 18 t \text { and 2nd activity } \\ \text { (activity cases) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | number |  | hours morked |  | number |  |  | hours worked |  | number |  | hours morked |  |
|  | 1000 | 1957-100 | mil- | $1957=100$ |  | 000 | 1957-100 | mil- liong | $1957=100$ | 1000 | $1957=100$ | mil- 110 n | 1957 $=100$ |



Table 15: Average number of hours worked by economically active persons
in their main activity per economic sector and status in occupation

| Status <br> in occupation | Year of inquiry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture, forestry, animal raising and fisheries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-employed | 63.6 | 60.7 | 58.7 | 58.2 | 59.7 | 61.2 | 59.8 | 63.3 | 60.9 | 62.0 | 60.6 |
| Unpaid family workers | 54.2 | 47.9 | 48.4 | 47.5 | 49.5 | 50.5 | 48.6 | 50.9 | 49.6 | 50.6 | 48.6 |
| Officials, judges | $51 / 8$ | 54.5 | ${ }_{53} / 1$ | 51.9 | 49.4 | $19^{1}$ | ${ }_{48}^{1} 1$ | 51.4 | 19 | ${ }^{1}$ | $\stackrel{1}{4}$ |
| Salaried employees | 51.8 | 54.5 | 53.1 | 51.9 | 49.6 | 49.3 | 48.1 | 50.5 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 47.3 |
| Wage earners | 52.4 | 51.5 | 50.3 | 49.1 | 48.6 | 48.0 | 47.8 | 49.8 | 48.9 | 48.1 | 47.5 |
| Together | 56.7 | 52.4 | 52.0 | 51.2 | 52.6 | 53.7 | 52.1 | 54.8 | 53.2 | 54.1 | 52.4 |
| Production industries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-employed | 51.5 | 52.3 | 50.9 | 51.1 | 50.7 | 51.0 | 51.2 | 51.8 | 51.4 | 51.7 | 51.2 |
| Unpaid family workers | 47.8 | 48.3 | 44.0 | 43.7 | 44.2 | 44.6 | 43.7 | 44.5 | 43.9 | 43.2 | 41. 3 |
| Officials, judges | 47.4 | / ${ }^{\prime}$ | 44.9 | 14.8 | $44^{1}$ | ${ }_{43} / 8$ | 43.9 | 43.0 | 42.3 | 42,5 | 41.8 |
| Salaried employees Wage earners | 45.4 44.0 | 45.3 44.1 | 44.9 43.8 | 44.8 43.7 | 44.4 43.1 | 43.8 42.5 | 43.9 42.4 | 43.0 42.0 | 42.7 41.7 | 42.5 41.4 | 41.8 40.4 |
| Together | 44.8 | 44.9 | 44.4 | 44.4 | 43.8 | 43.3 | 43.2 | 42.8 | 42.5 | 42.2 | 41.4 |
| Trade, transport and communications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-employed | 54.4 | 54.6 | 53.6 | 53.3 | 53.7 | 54.1 | 53.7 | 54.1 | 53.5 | 53.7 | 53.2 |
| Unpaid family workers | 50.0 | 47.5 | 44.8 | 43.6 | 44.1 | 45.4 | 45.0 | 45.9 | 44.6 | 45.0 | 43.6 |
| Officials, judges | 46.7 | 45.5 | 44.5 | 45.2 | 44.2 | 44.4 | 44.4 | 43.7 | 43.4 | 43.5 | 43.1 |
| Salaried employees | 45.9 | 45.6 | 45.2 | 45.1 | 44.4 | 43.9 | 43.7 | 43.2 | 42.8 | 42.2 | 41.8 |
| Wage earners | 44.7 | 43.9 | 43.6 | 43.0 | 44.0 | 43.2 | 43.4 | 43.1 | 43.0 | 42.6 | 42.2 |
| Together | 47.2 | 46.8 | 46,2 | 46,0 | 45.9 | 45.6 | 45.4 | 45.2 | 44.8 | 44.4 | 44.0 |
| Other sectors (services) ${ }^{1)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-employed | 55.3 | 54.8 | 54,2 | 53.3 | 52.8 | 52.5 | 52.7 | 53.6 | 53.8 | 53.8 | 54.5 |
| Unpaid family workers | 55.3 | 52,9 | 50.8 | 49.3 | 48.1 | 49.8 | 50.1 | 50.9 | 50.5 | 50.2 | 49.5 |
| Officials, judges | 43.7 | 44.4 | 43.4 | 45.7 | 43.2 | 44.7 | 45.1 | 44.9 | 44.3 | 43.7 | 43.7 |
| Salaried employees | 46.1 | 45.9 | 45.3 | 45.0 | 44.5 | 44.3 | 44.2 | 43.7 | 43.4 | 43.0 | 42.6 |
| Wage earners | 44.7 | 44.1 | 43.2 | 42.7 | 41.4 | 40.2 | 40.4 | 40.1 | 39.7 | 39.6 | 39.3 |
| Together | 46.4 | 46.2 | 45.3 | 45.5 | 44.4 | 44.1 | 44.2 | 44.0 | 43.7 | 43.4 | 43.1 |
| All sectors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-employed | 57.1 | 56.2 | 54.8 | 54.4 | 54.8 | 55.5 | 55.0 | 56.4 | 55.4 | 55.7 |  |
| Unpaid family workers | 53.3 | 48.2 | 47.8 | 46,8 | 48.3 | 49.4 | 47.9 | 49.7 | 48.6 | 49.2 | 47.4 |
| Officials, judges | 44.9 | 44.9 | 43.9 | 45.5 | 43.6 | 44.6 | 44.9 | 44.5 | 44.0 | 43.6 | 43.5 |
| Salaried employees | 45.8 | 45.6 | 45.1 | 45.1 | 44.5 | 44.0 | 43.9 | 43.3 | 43.0 | 42.6 | $42 \cdot 1$ |
| Wage earners | 44.6 | 44.4 | 43.9 | 43.1 | 43.1 | 42.4 | 42.4 | 42.1 | 41.7 | 41.4 | 40.6 |
| Total | 47.5 | 46.7 | 46.0 | 45.8 | 45.5 | 45.1 | 44.9 | 44.9 | 44.4 | 44.1 | 43.4 |

[^24]Table 16: Economacally active mothers ${ }^{1 \text { 1) }}$ by status in occupation, number of children and type of omployment
rederal Republic incl. Berlin (Mest)


Table 17: Children of mothers working $2 n$ other sectors than agriculture and forestry, by age and oare, 1962 Federal Republic incl. Berlin (west)

1000

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cared for } \\ \text { 1n lastıtu } \\ \text { tıons, } \\ \text { total } \end{gathered}$ | Institutions |  |  | Cared for by individwal persons, total | Persons |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| age of children and tame of care |  | Kindergarten/day care centre, day nurserj | elementary school | other schools and institutions |  | mother | father | grandparents | brothers/ sisters 14 years and over, other relatives | $\begin{aligned} & \text { otner } \\ & \text { persons } \\ & \text { (incl.not } \\ & \text { stated) } \end{aligned}$ |

Children of mothers working all day

Cared for all day
Cared for in the morning cared for in the afternoon Cases of care for

Children under 6 years

Children of mothers working halt days
Cared for in the mornup Cared for in the arternoon cares of care alternoon Children cared for
Cases of care, total
chaldren cared for, total

| 135 | 132 | - | (3) | 585 | 165 | 13 | 320 | 32 | 55 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | 29 | - |  | 30 | 13 | (2) | 6 | (2) | 7 |
| (2) | (2) | - | ( | 57 | 15 | 6 | 23 | (4) | 9 |
| 100 | 163 | - | (3) | 671 | 193 | 22 | 349 | 38 | 70 |
| 166 | - | - | ( | 644 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 12 | - |  | 44 | (3) | 6 | 23 | 5 | 7 |
| (2) | (2) | - |  | 57 | (1) | 23 | 21 | 6 | 6 |
| 14 | 14 | - | (1) | 101 | (4) | 29 | 44 | 11 | 13 |
| 14 | 14 | - | (1) | 101 | (4) | 29 | 44 | 11 | 13 |
| 181 | 177 | - | (4) | 772 | 197 | 51 | 393 | 49 | 82 |
| 181 | - | - | - | 745 |  | - | - | - | - |

Children of mothers working
Cared for all day
Cared for all day Cared for in the afternoon Cases of care
Children cared for
Children of mothers working half days
Cared for in the morming Cared for in the afternoon Cases of care for
Children cared for
Cases of care, total
Children cared for, total


| 39 | 142 | 18 | 58 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 10 | 827 | 86 | 6 |
| 34 | $(2)$ | $(4)$ | 720 |
| 82 | 972 | 108 | 783 |
| - | - | - | 780 |
|  |  |  |  |
| $(3)$ | 124 | 14 | 7 |
| $(3)$ | 125 | 14 | 10 |
| $(3)$ | 125 | 14 | 18 |
| 86 | 1096 | 123 | 18 |
| - | - | - | 801 |
|  |  |  |  |

-20
$-(2)$
225
247

| $\binom{4}{1}$ | 26 | $(3)$ | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 93 | $(1)$ | $(1)$ | $(1)$ |
| 98 | 272 | 62 | 68 |
| - | 229 | 65 | 75 |

Children under 14 years, total
Chyldren of mothers working
all day
Cared tor all day
Cared for in the morning
Cared for in the afternoon Cases of care

Children of mothers working
half days
Cared for in the morming
Cared for in the afternoon Cases of care
hildren cared for
Cases of care, total
Children cared for, total
Fields of the table which in the sample comprise 5 to und

1) Mithout population living in institutions. - 2) Without 1240 (raised 124000 ) mothers wno nave not been included in the supplementary inquiry.

Table 18: Women aged 40 to 65 years, by age, marital status and present or former participation in economic life

| Age from ... to under ... years | Total | Thereof |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | at present active |  | formerly active |  | never active |  |
|  |  | 1000 | \% | 1000 | \% | 1000 | ${ }_{\%}$ |
| Single |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $40-45$ $45-50$ | 210 | 186 | 88.4 | 17 | 8.3 | 7 | 3.4 |
| $45-50$ $50-55$ | 159 | 139 | 87.8 | 12 | 7.8 | 7 | 4.3 |
| $50-55$ $55-60$ | 151 180 | 123 134 | 81.8 | 19 | 12.8 | 8 | 5.4 |
| 60 and over | 221 | 134 94 | 74.6 | 33 | 18.6 | 12 | 6.8 |
| Together | 920 | 677 | 73.5 | 193 | 21.0 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $40-45$ $45-50$ | 1721 | 676 | 39.3 | 911 | 52.9 | 135 | 7.8 |
| $45-50$ $50-55$ | 13357 1 | 518 | 38.2 | 730 | 53.8 | 109 | 8.0 |
| $50-55$ $55-60$ | 1328 1 1 | 456 392 | 34.3 27.8 | 730 841 | 55.0 | 142 | 10.7 |
| 60 and over | 1316 | 233 | 17.7 | 887 | 59.6 67.4 | 179 196 | 12.7 |
| Together | 7133 | 2275 | 31.9 | 4098 | 57.5 | 761 | 10.7 |
| Widowed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-45 | 83 | 46 | 54.9 | 31 | 37.7 | 6 | 7.3 |
| 45-50 | 184 | 86 | 47.0 | 82 | 44.3 | 16 | 8.7 |
| 50-55 | 372 | 138 | 37.2 | 192 | 51.6 | 42 | 11.2 |
| 55-60 | 523 | 169 | 32.2 | 290 | 55.5 | 65 | 12.3 |
| 60 and over | 712 | 130 | 18.2 | 464 | 65.2 | 118 | 16.6 |
| Together | 1874 | 568 | 30.3 | 1059 | 56.5 | 247 | 13.2 |
| Divorced |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $40-45$ $45=50$ | 80 | 67 | 83.8 | 11 | 13.8 | 1 | 1 |
| $45=50$ 50 | 74 85 | 60 | 81.3 78.1 | 12 | 15.8 19 | \% | $\%$ |
| 55-60 | 85 | 62 | 73.4 | 18 | 19.4 21.6 | , | 1 |
| 60 and over | 77 | 34 | 43.5 | 39 | 50.3 | \% | / |
| Together | 401 | 290 | 72.2 | 96 | 24.0 | 15 | 3.8 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $40-45$ $45-50$ | 2095 | 975 | 46.5 | 971 | 46.3 | 150 | 7.1 |
| $45-50$ $50-55$ | 1773 1936 | 804 | 45.3 | 836 | 47.1 | 134 | 7.5 |
| $50-55$ $55-60$ | 1936 20200 | 783 | 40.5 | +958 | 49.5 | 194 | 10.0 |
| 60 and over | 2 2200 | 790 | 34.4 21.1 | 1183 1500 | 53.8 64.5 | 260 | 11.8 |
| Total | 10329 | 3809 | 36.9 | 5447 | 52.7 | 1073 | 10.4 |

Table 19: Women aged 40 to 65 years who are or were economically active, by present age and age when taking up their first job

| Age (in years) when taking up the first job | Unit | Total | Thereof aged from ... to under ... years |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 40-45 | 45-50 | 50-55 | 55-60 | 60 and over |
|  |  |  | born in |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1922-1926 | 1917-1921 | 1912-1916 | 1907-1911 | 1901-1906 |
|  |  | 64.6 | 64.0 | 61.2 | 63.8 |  | 67.8 |
| $16$ | \% | 11.0 | 12.0 | 12.2 | 10.5 | 10.4 | 10.1 |
|  | \% | 6.9 17.5 | 7.9 | 8.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 5.6 |
| 18 and over | \% | 17.5 | 16.1 | 18.2 | 19.3 | 17.8 | 16.5 |
| Total | $1{ }_{1}^{\%}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 9256 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 1946 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 1639 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 1741 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 1940 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 20: Women aged 40 to 65 years who are or were economically active and interrupted their activity at least once, by reasons of first interruption


[^25]Table 21: Women aged 40 to 65 years who formerly were economically active, by age, tıme when economic actıvity was given up and reasons

| Last economic activity given up in ... | Total |  | Keason why actuvity was given up |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | marriage | childbirth and care of children | reasons <br> of health | war events | other reasons |
|  |  | 000 | \% |  |  |  |  |
| 40 to under 45 years (1922-1926) 12.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1945 and earlier |  | 205 | 42.6 | 8.5 | 6.7 | 29.4 | 12.8 |
| 1946-1954 |  | 446 | 68.3 | 17.2 | 4.6 | \% | $9 \cdot 3$ |
| 1955-1966 |  | 319 | 22.1 | 32.1 | 17.5 | 1 | 28.1 |
| Together |  | 971 | 47.7 | 20.3 | 9.3 | 6.5 | 16.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1945 and earlier |  | 400 225 | 58.5 57.7 | 14.2 19.1 | 5.3 8.1 | 13.2 | 8.9 13.8 |
| 1955-1966 |  | 211 | 16.2 | 16.7 | 32.1 | / | 34.9 |
| rogether |  | 836 | 47.6 | 16.1 | 12.8 | 6.7 | 16.8 |
| 50 to under 60 years (1907-1916) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1938 and earlier |  | 904 | 89.6 | 4.7 | 4.2 | 16 | 9.4 |
| 1939-1943 |  | 641 | 39.7 | 17.4 | 9.0 | 20.3 | 13.6 |
| 1950-1966 |  | 536 | 12.7 | 4.7 | 47.2 | 1 | 35.2 |
| Together | 2 |  | 49.9 | 8.5 | 17.6 | 6.2 | 17.8 |
| 60 to 65 years (1901-1906) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1938 and earlier |  | 733 | 77.9 | 6.1 | 5.1 | 1 | 10.7 |
| 1939-1949 |  | 294 | 15.7 | 9.8 | 20.5 | 33.5 | 20.4 |
| 1950-1966 |  | 473 | 4.3 | 1 | 54.4 | 1 | 40.1 |
| Together | 1 | 500 | 42.5 | 5.2 | 23.7 | 6.8 | 21.9 |
| Total | 5 | 447 | 47.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \text { to } 65 \text { years } \\ & 10.9 \end{aligned}$ | 17.1 | 6.5 | 18.5 |

Table 22: women aged 40 to 65 years who interrupted their economic activity
at least once, by age, time of first interruption ${ }^{1)}$ and reasons

| $\begin{gathered} \text { First economic activity } \\ \text { was given up } \\ \text { in } . . \end{gathered}$ | Total |  | Heason why first activity was interrupted ${ }^{\text {( }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | marriage | childbirth and care of children | $\begin{aligned} & \text { reasons } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { health } \end{aligned}$ | war events | other reasons |
|  |  | voo | $\%$ |  |  |  |  |
| 40 to under 45 years (1922-1926) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1945 and earlier |  | 693 | $<5.1$ | 7.5 | 5.5 | 46.2 | 15.8 |
| 1946-1954 |  | 616 | 60.2 | 16.5 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 10.9 |
| 1955-1966 |  | 212 | 31.3 | 31.1 | 13.3 | 7 | 24.1 |
| Together | 1 | 521 | 42.6 | 14.4 | 6.1 | 22.0 | 15.0 |
| 45 to under 50 years (1917-1921) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1945 and earlier |  | 342 | 46.2 | 13.8 | 5.0 | 20.7 | 14.2 |
| 1946-1954 |  | 275 | 59.4 | 17.9 | 5.9 | 2.9 | 13.9 |
| 1955-1966 |  | 105 | 27.8 | 16.5 | 26.7 | - | 29.0 |
| Together | 1 | 323 | 47.5 | 14.8 | 6.9 | 15.4 | 15.3 |
| 50 to under 60 years (1907-1916) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1938 and earlier |  | 302 | 71.0 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 1 | 18.2 |
| 1939-1949 |  |  | 41.6 | 17.5 | 5.6 | 24.6 | 10.8 |
| 1950-1966 |  | 280 | 21.0 | 5.3 | 42.0 | / | 31.2 |
| Toge ther |  | 049 | 57.1 | 9.1 | 8.8 | 8.0 | 17.1 |
| 60 to 65 years (1901-1906) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1938 and earlier |  | 273 | 69.5 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 0.7 | 18.2 |
| $1939-1949$ $1950-1366$ |  | 258 | 22.8 | 9.9 | 13.1 53.9 | 38.5 | 18.7 59.4 |
| 1950-1966 Together |  | 225 757 | 5.5 54.4 | 5.8 | 13.0 | 6.2 | 20.5 |
| , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 649 | 51.9 | $\frac{0 \text { to } \frac{65 \text { years }}{10.4}}{\text { a }}$ | 8.9 | 11.6 | 17.1 |

1) Or termanation of economic activity wathout previous interruption.

Table 23: Feasons of interruption or termination of economic activity


[^26]Table 24: Dependently employed persons working on Sundays or holidays, by age groups, status in occupation, economic sectors and groups of hours worked

| Age groups Status in occupation Economic sector | Sunday and holiday work |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | total | regularly |  |  |  | casually |  |  |  |
|  |  | together |  | $3 \text { under }$ | 3 hours and over |  |  | 3 under | 3 hours and over |
|  |  |  | \% |  | 1000 |  | \% | 1000 |  |
| Age groups total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| from ... to under ... years: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| under 25 | 244 | 163 | 66.7 | 10 | 153 | 81 | 33.3 | 14 | 68 |
| 25-35 | 555 | 314 | 56.5 | 23 | 291 | 242 | 43.5 | 47 | 195 |
| 35-45 | 527 | 304 | 57.7 | 23 | 281 | 223 | 42.3 | 47 | 176 |
| 45-55 | 404 | 250 | 61.9 | 19 | 232 | 154 | 38, 1 | 32 | 122 |
| $55-65$ | 309 | 193 | 62.4 | 18 | 175 | 116 | 37.6 | 25 | 91 |
| 65 and over | 40 | 27 | 68.0 | 3 | 24 | 13 | 32.0 | 3 | 10 |
| Together | 2078 | 1251 | 60.2 | 95 | 1156 | 828 | 39.8 | 167 | 661 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| under 25 | 137 | 79 | 57.4 | 6 | 73 | 58 | 42.6 | 10 | 49 |
| 25-35 | 470 | 253 | 53.8 | 19 | 233 | 217 | 46.2 | 41 | 176 |
| 35-45 | 444 | 245 | 55.2 | 18 | 227 | 199 | 44.8 | 40 | 159 |
| 45-55 | 333 | 198 | 59.5 | 15 | 183 | 135 | 40.5 | 27 | 108 |
| 55-65 | 253 | 151 | 59.8 | 14 | 138 | 102 | 40.2 | 21 | 81 |
| 65 and over | 26 | 17 | 63.5 | 2 | 15 | 10 | 36.5 | 2 | 7 |
| Together | 1663 | 942 | 56.7 | 73 | 869 | 721 | 43.3 | 141 | 579 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| under 25 | 107 | 84 | 78.5 | 4 | 81 | 23 | 21.5 | 4 | 19 |
| 25-35 | 85 | 61 | 71.7 | 4 | 57 | 24 | 28.3 | 6 | 19 |
| 35-45 | 83 | 59 | 71.5 | 5 | 54 | 24 | 28.5 | 6 | 18 |
| 45-55 | 71 | 52 | 73.1 | 4 | 49 | 19 | 26.9 | 5 | 14 |
| 55-65 | 55 | 41 | 74.5 | 4 | 37 | 14 | 25.5 | 4 | 10 |
| 65 and over | 13 | 10 | 76.9 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 23.1 | . | 2 |
| Together | 416 | 309 | 74.2 | 22 | 287 | 107 | 25.8 | 26 | 82 |
| Status in occupation total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Officials 1) | 364 | 247 | 67.9 | 16 | 232 | 117 | 32.1 | 31 | 86 |
| Salaried employees ${ }^{\text {( }}$ | 1517 | 293 | 56.7 | 24 | 269 | 224 | 43.3 | 59 | 165 |
| Wage carners 2) | 1197 | 710 | 59.3 | 56 | 655 | 487 | 40.7 | 77 | 410 |
| Together | 2078 | 1251 | 60.2 | 95 | 1156 | 828 | 39.8 | 167 | 661 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Officials 1) | 348 | 240 | 69.0 | 15 | 225 | 108 | 31.0 | 27 | 82 |
| Salaried employees | 308 | 142 | 45.1 | 16 | 126 | 166 | 53.9 | 48 | 118 |
| Wage earners 2) | 1007 | 560 | 55.7 | 43 | 517 | 446 | 44.3 | 67 | 380 |
| Together | 1663 | 942 | 56.7 | 73 | 869 | 721 | 43.3 | 141 | 579 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Officials Salaried employees ${ }^{1}$ ) | 16 209 | 151 | 45.1 72.3 | $\dot{8}$ | 7 143 | 9 58 | 54.9 27.7 | 4 11 | 5 47 |
| Wage earners 2) | 190 | 150 | 78.8 | 13 | 137 | 40 | 21.2 | 11 | 30 |
| Together | 416 | 309 | 74.2 | 22 | 287 | 107 | 25.8 | 26 | 82 |
| Sunday and holiday work in main activity in the economic sector total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture, forestry | 57 | 45 | 78.9 | 9 | 36 | 12 | 21.1 | 5 | 7 |
| Production industries | 749 | 356 | 47.6 | 27 | 330 | 392 | 52.4 | 64 | 329 |
| Trade, trensport and communications | 492 | 317 | 64.3 | 22 | 295 | 176 | 35.7 | 32 | 144 |
| Other sectors | 744 | 513 | 69.0 | 35 | 479 | 231 | 31.0 | 62 | 169 |
| Sunday or holiday work in 2nd activity | 37 | 20 | 54.0 | 4 | 16 | 17 | 46.0 | 4 | 13 |
| Together | 2078 | 1251 | 60.2 | 95 | 1156 | 828 | 39.8 | 167 | 661 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture, forestry | 41 | 31 | 76.9 | 6 | 25 | 9 | 23.1 | 4 | 5 |
| Froduction industries | 729 | 348 | 47.6 | 25 | 323 | 382 | 52.4 | 60 | 322 |
| Trade, transport and communications | 448 | 291 | 65.0 | 19 | 272 | 157 | 35.0 | 29 | 128 |
| Other sectors | 416 | 257 | 61.9 | 20 | 237 | 158 | 38.1 | 45 | 113 |
| Sunday or holiday work in 2nd activity | 29 | 15 | 51.4 | 3 | 12. | 14 | 48.6 | 4 | 11 |
| 'rogether | 1663 | 942 | 56.7 | 73 | 869 | 721 | 43.3 | 141 | 579 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture, forestry | 16 | 13 | 84.2 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 15.8, | 4 | 2 |
| Production industries | 19 | 9 | 45.1 | 2 | 7 | 11 | 54.9 | 4 | 7 |
| Trade, transport and communications | 45 | 26 | 57.8 | 2 | 24 | 19 | 42.2 | 3 | 16 |
| Other sectors | 329 | 256 | 77.9 | 14 | 242 | 73 | 22.1 | 17 | 56 |
| Sunday or holiday work in 2nd activity | 7 | 5 | 64.4 | . | 4 | 3 | 35.6 | . | 2 |
| Together | 416 | 309 | 74.2 | 22 | 287 | 107 | 25.8 | 26 | 82 |

[^27]Table 25: Dependently employed persons working at night, by age groups, status in occupation, economic sectors and shift work

| Age groups <br> Status in occupation <br> Economic sector | Night work |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | total | in shifts |  |  |  | not in shifts |  |  |  |
|  |  | together |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { regular- } \\ l y \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { casual- } \\ 1 y \end{gathered}$ | together |  | $\underset{\mathrm{ly}}{\text { regular- }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { casual- } \\ \text { Iy } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1 |  | \% |  | 1000 |  | \% | 1000 |  |
| Age groups totel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| from ... to under ... years: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| under 25 | 247 | 161 | 65.2 | 132 | 29 | 86 | 23.8 | 39 | 47 |
| 25-35 | 728 | 497 | 68.4 | 431 | 66 | 230 | 31.6 | 87 | 143 |
| 35-45 | 626 | 439 | 70.1 | 381 | 58 | 187 | 29.9 | 64 | 123 |
| 45-55 | 436 | 295 | 67.6 | 260 | 34 | 141 | 32.4 | 54 | 88 |
| 55-65 | 313 | 205 | 65.7 | 184 | 21 | 107 | 34.3 | 38 | 69 |
| 65 and over | 32 | 15 | 47.5 | 14 | 2 | 17 | 52.5 | 9 | 8 |
| Together | 2381 | 1612 | 67.7 | 1403 | 210 | 769 | 32.3 | 290 | 479 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| under 25 | 181 | 122 | 67.5 | 101 | 21 | 59 | 32.5 | 24 | 35 |
| 25-35 | 647 | 455 | 70.3 | 395 | 59 | 192 | 29.7 | 65 | 126 |
| 35-45 | 555 | 399 | 72.0 | 346 | 53 | 155 | 28.0 | 47 | 108 |
| 45-55 | 380 | 266 | 70.0 | 235 | 31 | 114 | 30.0 | 38 | 76 |
| 55-65 | 276 | 190 | 68.8 | 170 | 20 | 86 | 31.2 | 27 | 59 |
| 65 and over | 24 | 13 | 53.8 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 46.3 | 6 | 6 |
| Together | 2062 | 1445 | 70.1 | 1259 | 186 | 617 | 29.9 | 208 | 409 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| under 25 | 66 | 39 | 58.8 | 31 | 7 | 27 | 41.2 | 15 | 12 |
| 25-35 | 81 | 43 | 52.9 | 36 | 7 | 38 | 47.1 | 21 | 17 |
| 35-45 | 72 | 40 | 55.2 | 35 | 4 | 32 | 44.8 | 17 | 15 |
| $45-55$ | 56 | 29 | 51.4 | 25 | 3 | 27 | 48.6 | 15 | 12 |
| 55 - 65 65 and over | 37 8 | 15 | 42.1 28.2 | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 2 | 21 6 | 57.9 71.8 | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | 11 2 |
| Together | 319 | 168 | 52.5 | 143 | 24 | 151 | 47.5 | 82 | 69 |
| Status in occupation total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Officials 1) | 321 | 203 | 63.3 | 187 | 17 | 118 | 36.7 | 51 | 68 |
| Salaried employees ${ }^{\text {( }}$ | 419 | 172 | 41.1 | 144 | 29 | 247 | 58.9 | 87 | 160 |
| Wage earners 2) | 1641 | 1237 | 75.4 | 1072 | 165 | 404 | 24.6 | 153 | 251 |
| Together | 2381 | 1612 | 67.7 | 1403 | 210 | 769 | 32.3 | 290 | 479 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Officials 1) | 310 | 199 | 64.2 | 183 | 16 | 111 | 35.8 | 49 | 62 |
| Salaried employees ${ }^{\text {( }}$ | . 284 | 115 | 40.5 | 95 | 20 | 169 | 59.5 | 49 | 120 |
| Wage earners 2) | 1468 | 1131 | 77.0 | 981 | 149 | 337 | 23.0 | 110 | 227 |
| Together | 2062 | 1445 | 70.1 | 1259 | 186 | 617 | 29.9 | 208 | 409 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11 | 4 | 36.7 | 4 |  | $?$ | 63.3 | 2 | 5 |
| Salaried employees ${ }^{1)}$ | 135 | 57 | 42.4 | 49 | 9 | 78 | 57.6 | 38 | 40 |
| Wage earners 2) | 173 | 106 | 61.4 | 91 | 15 | 67 | 38.6 | 43 | 24 |
| Together | 319 | 168 | 52.5 | 143 | 24 | 151 | 47.5 | 82 | 69 |
| Night work in main activity in the economic sector total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture, forestry | $\begin{array}{r}19 \\ \hline 307\end{array}$ | $104{ }^{3}$ | 14.7 |  |  | 16 | 85.3 | 10 | 6 |
| Production industries | 1307 | 1046 | 80.0 | 911 | 135 | 261 | 20.0 | 57 | 205 |
| Trade, transport and communications | 487 | 319 | 65.6 | 276 | 43 | 167 | 34.4 | 72 | 96 |
| Other sectors | 537 | 232 | 43.3 | 204 | 28 | 304 | 56.7 | 144 | 161 |
| Night work in 2nd activity | 32 | 13 | 39.1 | 10 | 3 | 20 | 60.9 | 8 | 12 |
| Together | 2381 | 1612 | 67.7 | 1403 | 210 | 769 | 32.3 | 290 | 479 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture, forestry | 14 | 3 | 19.9 | 2 | $1{ }^{\circ}$ | 11 | 80.1 | 7 | 5 |
| Production industries | 1218 | 970 | 79.6 | 846 | 124 | 248 | 20.4 | 50 | 198 |
| Trade, transport and communications | 451 | 298 | 66.2 | 259 | 39 | 152 | 33.8 | 65 | 87 |
| Other sectors | 354 | 163 | 46.1 | 144 | 19 | 191 | 53.9 | 80 | 111 |
| Night work in 2nd activity | 25 | 11 | 41.7 | 8 | 2 | 15 | 58.3 | 6 | 9 |
| Together | 2062 | 1445 | 70.1 | 1259 | 186 | 617 | 29.9 | 208 | 409 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture, forestry | 5 | $\overline{-}$ | 3 | - | 11 | 5 | 100.0 | 3 | 2 |
| Production industries | 89 | 76 | 85,3 | 65 | 11 | 13 | 14.7 | 6 | 7 |
| Trade, transport and communications | 26 | 21 | 58.0 | 17 | 4 | 15 | 42.0 | 7 | 8 |
| Other sectors | 182 | 69 | 37.8 | 60 | 9 | 314 | 62.2 | 64 | 50 |
| Night work in 2nd activity | 7 | 2 | 29.4 | 2 |  | 5 | 70.6 | 3 | 2 |
| Together | 319 | 168 | 52.5 | 143 | 24 | 151 | 47.5 | 82 | 69 |

[^28]Table 26: Persons in April 1964, who had attended a school providing general education, by age groupa, type of school leaving and participation in economic life

1000

|  |  | Type of school leaving |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ \text { (from .... } \\ \text { to } \begin{array}{c} \text { under.... } \\ \text { years) } \end{array} . \end{gathered}$ | Total | primary school <br> or before upper-fifth grade | after having moved up to the upper-fifth grade | after having moved up to the upper-fifth grade, but before higher school certificate | higher school certificate of secondary or night school | not stated |


| 14-30 | 10829 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 30-40 | 7280 |
| 40-50 | 6282 |
| 50-65 | 11180 |
| Total | 35578 |
| 14-30 | 8960 |
| 30-40 | 5179 |
| 40-50 | 4261 |
| 50-65 | 6223 |
| Together | 24622 |


| 9445 | 1033 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 6236 | 575 |
| 5298 | 595 |
| 9839 | 836 |
| 30818 | 3039 |


| 7 | 791 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 4 | 398 |
| 3 | 538 |
| 5 | 308 |
| 21 | 034 |

878
409
407
520
2215
56
41
39
59
195

217
320
267
322
1126
Non-active population

| $14-30$ | 18869 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $30-40$ | 2 |
| $40-50$ | 101 |
| $50-65$ | 4921 |
| Together | 1095 |


| 1654 | 154 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 839 | 166 |
| 1 | 760 | 188 |
| 4531 | 316 |  |
| 9784 | 824 |  |

12
17
16
28
73
$\begin{array}{r}42 \\ 76 \\ 52 \\ 73 \\ \hline\end{array}$

| 6 |
| ---: |
| 5 |
| 17 |
| 32 |

Resident population
Total

| $14-30$ | 5205 |
| ---: | ---: |
| $30-40$ | 3 |
| $40-50$ | 2640 |
| $50-65$ | 4959 |
| Total | 16352 |


| 4596 | 425 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 2984 | 277 |
| 2166 | 236 |
| 4240 | 370 |
| 13986 | 1308 |

32
29
24
48
132
140
252

| 259 | 24 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 396 | 15 |
| 319 | 16 |
| 395 | 30 |
| 1369 | 85 |

24
15
16
30
85
Active population
69
58
54
87
268

Table 27: Economically active persons aged 14 to 65 years, by age groups, economic divisions, status in occupation, and type of training for present activity


1) fleo áministrative and advanced full-tıme vocational school. - 2) Agriculture, forestry, animal raising and fisheries. - 3) Incl. water in titutions and private households. - 9) Central and local government, social security.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[b]{3}{*}{Selected class of occupation} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Economi- } \\
\& \text { cally } \\
\& \text { active } \\
\& \text { persons, } \\
\& \text { total }{ }^{1}
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Thereof with traning at a} \& \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{Or with} \& \multirow[b]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Educa- } \\
\& \text { tion not } \\
\& \text { allo- } \\
\& \text { cable }
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline \& \& \& full-time \& school \& teacher \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{pract2cal training only (apprenticeship) temporary training} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{vocational school university and practical training} \& \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{on-the-job training of} \& \\
\hline \& \& part-time vocational school \& al,administrative, advanced full-time vocational school \& \begin{tabular}{l}
teohni- \\
cians, \\
engi- \\
neering \\
school
\end{tabular} \& college, universxty, institution of higher education \& \& \& less than \(1 / 2\)
year \& 1/2 to under 1 year \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 1 \text { year } \\
\& \text { and } \\
\& \text { over }
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { no } \\
\text { train- } \\
\text { ing }
\end{gathered}
\] \& \\
\hline Farmer \& 826 \& 199 \& 75 \& \(/\) \& / \& 12 \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{44 /} \& 1 \& 12 \& 460 \& 10 \\
\hline Unpaid family worker in agriculture and forestry \& 1361 \& 322 \& 64 \& / \& 1 \& 10 \& 34 \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{25} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{5
8} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{11} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{889} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{17} \\
\hline Lathe operator \& 190 \& / \& / \& 7 \& 7 \& 72 \& 61 \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Looksmith (other than atructurabeteel workers) \\
Mechanic
\end{tabular} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 808 \\
\& 164
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{9} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1} \& 1 \& 332 \& 326 \& 37 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{16
5} \& \& 58 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{10} \\
\hline Mechanic Maker and repairman of precision instru- \& \& \& \& \& / \& 31 \& 74 \& 21 \& \& 12 \& 58
21 \& \\
\hline ments, surgical instruments and or thopaedic appliances \& 54 \& / \& / \& 1 \& / \& 15 \& 28 \& / \& / \& \(/\) \& / \& / \\
\hline Electrician, electrical fitter, cabl jointer \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{349
69} \& \& \& 1 \& 1 \& 126 \& 149 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{19
6} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\({ }^{5}\)} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\({ }^{6}\)} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{30
17} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{1} \\
\hline Cook, male and female \& \& 7 \& / \& \(/\) \& 7 \& 15 \& 18 \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Engineer, technician (machinery and land vehicles) \& 130 \& \(/\) \& / \& 31 \& 14 \& 6 \& 74 \& / \& / \& / \& / \& / \\
\hline Arohitect, civil engineer, technician (building and construction) \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{151
68} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(/\)} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{5} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{38} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{25} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{6} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{7} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\frac{1}{35}
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(1 / 6\)} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\%\)} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{18} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1} \\
\hline Crane operator \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline Wholesale and retail dealer, buying agent, salesman, shop assistent \& 1479 \& 20 \& 39 \& 1 \& / \& 430 \& 435 \& 113 \& 35 \& 28 \& 339 \& 23 \\
\hline Manufacturers' agent, commercial traveller \& \multirow[b]{6}{*}{195
176
624
104
194

285} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{$\%$} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{6
17
7} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{$1 /$} \& \multirow[t]{5}{*}{$1 /$} \& \multirow[b]{5}{*}{41
49
25
12

76} \& \multirow[b]{5}{*}{$$
\begin{aligned}
& 45 \\
& 69 \\
& 27 \\
& 15 \\
& 97
\end{aligned}
$$} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{28

7} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{9
5} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$1 /$} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{53
13} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1} <br>
\hline Bank expert \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Car driver \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 93 \& 15 \& 6 \& 442 \& <br>
\hline Waiter, steward \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 20 \& 1 \& 1 \& 48 \& 1 <br>
\hline Barber, hairdresser \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& / \& 7 \& 7 \& 11 \& 1 <br>
\hline Public service employee of higher or higher midde grade \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{75} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{5} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{31} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{28} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{68} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{18} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{9} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{9} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{32} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{/} <br>
\hline Industrial or adminiatrative clerk, administrative secretary, clerical \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline worker \& 1988 \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{8} \& 245 \& 1 \& 5 \& 444 \& 577 \& 197 \& 91 \& 54 \& 265 \& 31 <br>
\hline Accountant \& 329 \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{45
47} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1} \& 96 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{118
64} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{17} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{10
10} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{7} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{21} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1} <br>
\hline Nurse, male or female \& 158 \& \& \& \& \& 13 \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline Receptioniat to a doctor
reacher (sciences) at secondary schools \& 61
55 \& 7 \& 7 \& $\%$ \& 52 \& 15 \& ${ }^{17}$ \& 7 \& $\%$ \& 1 \& \% \& 1 <br>
\hline Teacher at intermediate, primary and \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{186} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{/} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{5} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{/} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{169} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{/} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{9} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{$/$} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$/$} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{/} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{/} <br>
\hline Unpaid family worker except in agricul- \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline ture and forestry \& 442 \& 6 \& 16 \& / \& / \& 45 \& 45 \& 28 \& 14 \& 9 \& 265 \& 7 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Slant lines (/) have been used instead of figures where the fields of the table comprise in the sapple less than 50 cases (raised to 5 000). 1) Incl. all cases "Education for present activity not stated".

> Table 29: Economically active persons aged 15 to under 65 years 1) who after 1950 and before their present activity had worked in agricuiture for a longer and uninterrupted period, by duration and end of former activity in agriculture, age groups, by economic aectors and status in present occupation
> 1000

| Former activity in agriculture ended between <br> from Age groups $\cdots$... to under ... | Duration of former activity in agriculture after 1950 |  |  |  | Present activity by economic sectors and status in occupation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | economically <br> active persons |  | production industries |  | trade, transport and communceations |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { other sectors } \\ & (\text { services) } 2 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { to } \\ & \text { under } \\ & 5 \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 5 to under 10 years | 10 years and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | total | incl. dependently employed employed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { to- } \\ & \text { gether } \end{aligned}$ | incl. ently employed | $\stackrel{\text { to- }}{\text { gether }}$ | incl. dependently employed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { to- } \\ & \text { gether } \end{aligned}$ | nncl. depend- ently employed |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950-1953 | 23 | 41 | 6 | 6 | 76 | 72 | 57 | 55 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 |
| 1954-1956 | 7 | 33 | 37 | 9 | 86 | 81 | 64 | 63 | 13 | 11 | 9 | 8 |
| $1957-1959$ $1960-1962$ | \% | 13 12 | 47 17 | 14 <br> 53 | 77 85 | 74 80 | 57 61 | 56 59 | 13 | 13 | 88 | 7 |
| 1963-1964 ${ }^{\text {( }}$ | 1 | 1 | 6 | 34 | 44 | 41 | 34 | 32 | 6 | / | 5 | 5 |
| Together | 37 | 103 | 113 | 116 | 368 | 349 | 271 | 264 | 56 | 49 | 41 | 35 |
| 15-30 | 16 |  |  | 23 | 132 | 130 | 101 | 100 |  | 22 |  |  |
| 30-40 | 12 | 32 | 34 | 34 | 111 | 106 | 82 | 81 | 17 | 15 | 12 | 9 |
| $40-50$ $50-65$ | 5 4 | 10 13 | 11 24 | 19 40 | 44 81 | 41 73 | 31 57 | 30 53 | 7 9 | ${ }_{7}^{6}$ | ${ }_{15}^{6}$ | ${ }_{13}^{6}$ |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950-1953 |  | 18 | 5 | 7 | 38 | 35 | 24 | 23 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 8 |
| $1954-1956$ | \% | 13 | 18 | 6 | 41 | 39 | 27 | 27 34 | / | \% | 10 11 | 9 |
| $1957-1959$ $1960-1962$ | \% | 88 | 31 11 | 28 | 49 | 46 45 | 34 <br> 34 | 34 <br> 33 | , | \% | 11 11 | 9 |
| 1963-19643) | 1 | \% | 15 | 15 | 24 | 22 | 14 14 | 14 | 1 | / | 7 | 6 |
| Together | 18 | 48 | 70 | 64 | 200 | 186 | 133 | 131 | 18 | 13 | 49 | 42 |
| 15-30 | 9 | 24 | 27 | 10 | 71 | 68 | 47 | 47 | 5 | 1 | 19 |  |
| $30=40$ $40=50$ | 5 | 12 6 | 19 | 18 14 | 55 33 | 51 30 | 38 21 | 37 20 | 6 | 5 | 18 | 9 |
| 50-65 | \% | 7 | 13 | 19 | 40 | 37 | 28 | 28 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 8 |
| Men and women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1950-1953 | 31 | 59 | 11 | 13 | 114 | 107 | 81 | 78 | 15 | 13 | 19 | 16 |
| 1954-1956 | 10 7 | 45 21 | 55 | 15 21 | 126 126 | 120 120 | 91 | 89 | 17 16 | 14 14 | 19 19 | 17 17 |
| $1957-1959$ $1960-1962$ | 7 | 21 20 | 77 28 | 21 82 | 126 134 | 120 125 | 91 95 | 89 93 | 16 18 | 14 15 | 19 | 17 18 |
| 1963-19643) | 1 | ${ }_{6} 6$ | 11 | 49 | 134 68 | 125 63 | 48 | 47 | 8 | 6 | 12 | 11 |
| total | 54 | 152 | 183 | 180 | 568 | 535 | 405 | 395 | 74 | 62 | 90 | 78 |
| 15-30 | 25 | 73 | 71 | 33 | 203 | 198 | 148 | 147 | 28 | 26 | 27 | 25 |
| $30-40$ $40-50$ | 17 | 43 16 | 53 23 | 52 <br> 33 | 166 77 | . 157 | 120 52 | 118 50 | ${ }_{11}^{23}$ | 20 9 | 23 15 | 19 |
| $40-55$ 50 | 5 | 20 | 37 | 60 | 122 | 109 | 85 | 81 | 12 | 8 | 25 | 21 |

[^29]1) Bxcl. soldiers. - 2) Incl. central and local government, social security. - 3) Only up to fpril 1964.

Table 30: Diseased active persons ${ }^{1)}$ in April 1966, by status in occupation, age groups, incapacity for work and its duration

1000

|  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Diseased } \\ \text { active } \\ \text { persons, } \\ \text { total } \end{gathered}\right.$ | With incapacity for work (at least on 1 day of the report month) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Without incapacity for work |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { to- } \\ \text { gether } \end{gathered}\right.$ | which ended in April |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { which lasted beyond } \\ & 30 \text { April } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | to- | duration of incapacity for work (more then ... to ... incl.) |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { to- } \\ \text { gether } \end{gathered}$ | duration of incapacity for work (up to 30 April) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | up to 1 week | $\begin{gathered} 1-2 \\ \text { weeks } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2-3 \\ \text { weeks } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3-4 \\ \text { weeks } \end{gathered}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { more } \\ \text { than } \\ 4 \text { we eks } \end{gathered}\right.$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { not } \\ \text { stated } \end{gathered}$ |  | up to ${ }^{4} \text { weeks\|4 }$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c}\text { more } \\ \text { than } \\ 4 \text { weeks }\end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { not } \\ \text { stated } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| under 20 | 116 | 92 | 70 | 21 | 27 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 22 | 16 | 1 | 1 | 23 |
| 20-40 | 790 | 596 | 421 | 120 | 128 | 70 | 26 | 53 |  | 175 | 104 | 61 | 11 | 194 |
| $40-65$ 65 and over | 1353 158 | 825 | 465 46 | 89 11 | 117 11 | 78 | 36 | 116 | 29 | 360 36 | 146 13 | 193 19 | $\stackrel{21}{1}$ | 528 77 |
| Total | 2417 | 1595 | 1002 | 240 | 283 | 165 | 70 | 185 | 60 | 593 | 278 | 277 | 37 | 822 |
| Self-employed, family workers | 459 | 240 | 151 | 47 | 34 | 23 | 11 | 24 | 10 | 89 | 33 | 48 | / | 219 |
| Salaried employees, officials 2) | 748 | 471 | 313 | 88 | 88 | 45 | 21 | 55 | 17 | 158 | 78 | 71 | 1 | 277 |
| Wage earners 3) | 1210 | 884 | 538 | 105 | 161 | 96 | 37 | 105 | 33 | 346 |  |  |  |  |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| under 20 | 50 443 | $\begin{array}{r}41 \\ 342 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 31 246 | 73 | 13 76 | 40 | 14 | 29 | 15 | 96 | 56 | 36 | 1 | 101 |
| $20-40$ $40-65$ | 443 838 | 342 532 | 293 | 54 | 74 | 48 | 21 | 77 | 19 | 239 | 91 | 133 | 15 | 306 |
| 65 and over | 101 | - 55 | 30 | 1 | / | / | / | 1 | 1 | 26 | / | 13 | 1 | 46 |
| Together | 1432 | 970 | 600 | 143 | 170 | 98 | 38 | 115 | 37 | 370 | 163 | 184 | 23 | 462 |
| Self-employed, family workers | 233 | 130 | 78 | 26 | 17 | 13 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 52 | 17 | 30 | $/$ | 103 |
| ```Salaried employees, officials 2)``` | 398 | 241 | 161 | 48 | 42 | 22 | 1 | 31 | 1 | 80 | 36 | 38 | 1 | 157 |
| Wage earners 3) | 801 | 600 | 361 | 69 | 110 | 64 | 23 | 71 | 23 | 239 | 111 | 116 | 12 | 202 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| under 20 | 66 | 52 | $\begin{array}{r}39 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 12 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 24 | 1 |  | 48 | 15 |  | 14 |
| 20-40 | 347 | 154 | 175 | 47 35 | 53 43 | 30 30 | 13 | 24 39 | 10 | 79 121 | 48 55 | 25 60 | 1 | 223 |
| $40-65$ 65 and over | 515 57 | 293 26 | 172 | 35 | 43 | 30 | 15 | 39 | 10 | 121 10 | 55 | 60 |  |  |
| Together | 985 | 625 | 402 | 97 | 113 | 67 | 32 | 70 | 23 | 223 | 115 | 93 | 14 | 360 |
| Self-employed, family workers | 226 | 110 | 73 | 22 | 17 | 11 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 38 | 17 | 18 | $/$ | 116 |
| Salaried employees, officials 2) | 350 | 230 | 153 | 40 | 46 | 24 | 12 | 24 | 1 | 78 | 42 | 33 | 1 | 120 |
| Wage earners 3) | 408 | 284 | 177 | 36 | 51 | 33 | 14 | 34 | 1 | 108 | 57 | 43 | 1 | 124 |

1) Excl. soldiers. - 2) Incl. commercial apprentices. - 3) Incl. industrial apprentices.

Table 31: Diseased active persons ${ }^{1)}$ in April 1966, by age groups, status in occupation and economic sector

| from ... to under ... years | Diseased active persons, total |  |  | Status in occupation |  |  |  |  |  |  | Economic sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Self-employed, family workers |  |  | Salarled employees, officials2) |  |  |  | agriculture forestry |  | production industries |  | trade, transport and communications |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { other } \\ \text { sectors } \\ \text { (services) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | 1 | 000 | \% 4) | 1 | 000 | \%4) | 1000 | \% 4) | 1000 | \% 4 ) | 1000 | \% 4 ) | 1000 | \%4) | 1000 | \% 4 ) | 1000 | $\% 4$ ) |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| under 20 |  | 116 | 4.4 |  |  |  | 49 | 5.0 | 60 |  |  |  | 59 |  |  |  |  | 4.6 |
| 20-30 |  | 363 | 6.1 |  | $20^{\circ}$ | 4.3 | 145 | 6.3 | 198 | 6.3 | 14 | 4.3 | 206 | 6.4 | 60 | 5.5 | 83 | 6.6 |
| 30-40 |  | 427 | 7.4 |  | 55 | 5.5 | 126 | $\begin{array}{r}7.4 \\ 11 \\ \hline 8\end{array}$ | 246 | 8.0 | 139 | 5.3 9.8 | 250 | 7.8 | 68 | 11.4 | 81 344 | 12.1 |
| 65 and over | 1 |  | 14.8 |  | 105 | 15.6 | 21 | 12.8 | 67 3 | 14.0 | 60 | 15.8 | 41 | 15.4 | - 25 | 14.7 | 32 | 12.8 |
| Total | 2 | 417 | 9.1 |  | 459 | 8.9 | 748 | 8.7 | 1209 | 9.4 | 242 | 8.8 | 1200 | 9.2 | 409 | 8.6 | 565 | 9.4 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| under 20 |  | 50 | 3.7 |  | 1 | / | 11 | 4.2 | 36 | 3.5 | 1 | 1 | 33 | 3.7 |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| 20-30 |  | 180 | 5.1 |  | 1 | 1 | 45 | 4.6 | 127 | 5.4 | 1 | 1 | 124 | 5.4 | 25 | 4.2 6.4 | 24 | 5.0 |
| 30-40 |  | 264 | 6.5 |  | 24 | 4.5 | 72 | 6.1 | 168 | 7.1 | 12 | 4.4 | 174 | 6.8 | +39 | 6.4 | 38 | 5.9 |
| 40-65 |  | 838 | 11.7 |  | 136 | 9.1 | 254 | 11.0 | 448 | 13.2 | 51 31 | 9.0 14.4 | 467 | 12.1 | 135 | 11.1 | 185 | 11.9 |
| 65 and over Together |  | 101 432 | 14.4 8.5 |  | 64 233 | $\begin{array}{r} 15.0 \\ 8.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16 \\ 398 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14.3 \\ 8.2 \end{array}$ | 22 801 | 12.8 8.6 | 103 | 14.4 8.1 | 34 832 | 15.0 8.4 | 1725 | 14.7 8.1 | 272 | 13.1 9.2 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| under 20 |  | 66 | 5.2 |  | 1 | 1 | 38 | 5.3 | 25 | 5.2 | 1 | 1 | 25 | 5.9 | 17 | 4.9 | 20 | 4.8 |
| 20-30 |  | 183 | $7 \cdot 7$ |  | 13 | 5.2 | 99 | 7.6 | 70 | 8.7 | 18 | 16 | 81 | 8.8 | 35 | 7.1 | 59 | 7.5 8.8 |
| $30-40$ $40-65$ |  | 164 | 9.6 12.6 |  | +31 | 6.6 10.0 | 155 | 10.4 13.4 | 788 | 11.0 14.4 | 18 | 6.0 10.4 | 76 178 | 11.9 | 28 96 | $\begin{array}{r}9.7 \\ \hline 12\end{array}$ | 42 159 | 8.8 12.4 |
| 65 and over |  | 515 57 | 15.7 |  | + 42 | 16.6 | 1 | 13.4 | 10 | 17.1 | 29 | 17.6 | 1 | \% | 1 | , | 13 | 12.4 |
| Together |  | 985 | 10,1 |  | 226 | 9.4 | 350 | 9.3 | 408 | 11.3 | 139 | 9.4 | 368 | 11.3 | 184 | 9.3 | 293 | 9.6 |

[^30]Table 32: Diseased persons ${ }^{1)}$ in April 1966, by age groups, participation in economic life, onset/end
of disease

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Age group } \\ \text { from } \\ \text { to under } \\ \ldots \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | Sex | Diseased persons ${ }^{1 /}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Persons under permanent medical treatment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | total |  |  | active population |  |  | non-active population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1000 | \% 2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| under 15 |  | 1000 | \% 2) | \% | 1000 | \% 3 ) | \% |  |  |  |  | 1 | 000 | \% 3) | \% | 1 | 000 | $\%$ | \% 2) | 1000 | \% |  | 3) |  | 000 | T ${ }_{0}^{*}$ | \% 3) |
|  |  | 797 | 6.0 | 12.5 |  | 1 |  |  | 795 | 6.0 | 20.3 |  | 57 |  | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | male <br> female | $\begin{aligned} & 428 \\ & 369 \end{aligned}$ | 6.3 5.8 | 15.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 427 | 6.3 | 34.1 |  | 34 | 3.9 | 0.5 | 7 |  |  |  |  | 57 34 | 3.2 | 0.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 368 | 5,8 | 13.8 |  | 23 | 7.5 | 0.4 | / | \% |  | 1 |  | 34 23 | 6.9 1.8 | 0.5 0.4 |
| 15-20 | total | 163 | 4.2 | 2.6 | 115 | 4.5 | 4.7 |  | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | male | 73 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 49 | 3.7 | 3.4 |  | 24 | 3.5 | 1.2 |  | 18 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 11 | 1.6 |  | 0.4 |  | / | 1 | 1 |
|  | female | 90 | 4.8 | 2.5 | 65 | 5.3 | 6.6 |  | 25 | 3.9 | 0.9 |  | 11 | 0.7 | 0.6 | $\%$ | \% |  | $\%$ |  | \% | 7 | 1 |
| 20-40 | total | 1081 | 6.7 | 17.0 | 793 | 6.8 | 32.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | male | 477 | 5.9 | 17.7 | 445 | 5.8 | 30.9 |  | 32 | 7.2 | 2.6 |  | 72 | 8.0 | 1.4 | 128 | 18.9 |  | 1.1 |  | 92 | 5.2 | 2.1 |
|  | female | 604 | 7.6 | 16.5 | 348 | 8.5 | 35.2 |  | 256 | 6.5 | 9.6 |  | 148 | 8.2 9.4 | 1.9 1.8 | 61 | 16.0 22.6 |  | 0.8 |  | 10 | 2.1 | 2.3 |
| 40-65 | total | 2507 | 13.8 | 39.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.4 | 2.1 |
|  | male | 1062 | 13.5 | 39.4 | 842 | 11.7 | 56.0 58.5 |  | 147 | 16.7 32.5 |  | 1 |  |  | 6.1 | 470 | 69.4 |  | 4.2 |  | 632 | 35.7 | 9.2 |
|  | female | 1445 | 14.1 | 39.5 | 518 | 12.7 | 52.3 |  | 928 | 32.5 15.0 | 17.6 34.8 |  | 400 | 45.6 44.7 | 5.1 6.8 | 275 195 | 71.8 66.3 |  | 3.8 |  | 125 | 25.3 | 18.4 |
| 65 and over |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.8 | 195 |  |  | 4.8 |  | 507 | 39.6 | 8.2 |
|  | total | 1801 | 23.3 | 28.4 | 158 | 14.8 | 6.5 | 1 | 643 | 24.6 | 41.9 | 1 | 053 | 43.0 | 13.6 | 68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | female | + 6 | 21.3 | 34.2 | 101 | 14.4 | 7.0 |  | 551 | 23.4 | 43.9 |  | 365 | 41.6 | 11.9 | 43 | 11.2 |  | 6.1 |  | 322 | 55.6 65.2 | 14.8 |
|  | famale |  | 24.5 | 31.4 | 57 | 15.7 | 5.8 | 1 | 092 | 25.3 | 40.9 |  | 688 | 43.8 | 14.7 | 25 | 8.5 |  | 6.9 |  | 663 | 51.8 | 15.3 |
| Total | total | 6349 | 10.7 | 100 |  | 9.1 | 100 | 3 | 922 |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | male | ${ }^{2} 6692$ | 9.7 | 100 | 1438 | 8.5 | 100 | 1 | 254 | 11.4 | 100 |  | 877 | 100 | 3.1 |  | 100 100 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | female | 3657 | 11.7 | 100 | 989 | 10.1 | 100 |  | 668 | 12.5 | 100 | 1 | 572 | 100 | 5.0 | 294 | 100 |  | 3.3 | 1 | 494 | 100 100 | 4.5 6.0 |

1) Excl. soldiers. - 2) Proportion in the resident population of the relevant age group on 30 April 1966. - 3 ) Proportion in the active and the

Table 33: Diseased persons and persons under permanent medical treatment in April 1966, by age groups and groups of diseases
per 1000 of population


[^31]Table 34: Persons injured in accidents ${ }^{1)}$ in April 1966, by age groups and type of accident

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ \text { to } \begin{array}{c} \text { from } \\ \text { under } \\ \text { years } \end{array} \cdots . \end{gathered}$ | Total ${ }^{1)}$ |  |  | Type of accident |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | road traffic accident |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { playing/sport- } \\ & \text { ing accident } \end{aligned}$ |  | household accident |  | industrial accident |  | other accident |  |
|  | 1000 | \% | \% | 1000 | \% ${ }^{2}$ ) | 1000 | \% ${ }^{2}$ ) | 1000 | \% ${ }^{2}$ ) | 1000 | $\%^{2)}$ | 1000 | $\%^{2}$ ) |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| under 20 | 102 | 26.3 | 100 | 16 | 16.1 | 47 | 46.1 | 11 | 10.5 | 15 | 14.4 | 13 | 12.9 |
| 20-40 | 136 | 35.8 | 100 | 20 | 14.9 | 18 | 13.0 | 14 | 10.1 | 70 | 50.8 | 15 | 11.2 |
| 40-65 | 116 | 30.1 | 100 | 15 | 13.3 | 1 | / | 23 | 19.8 | 55 | 47.7 | 18 | 16.0 |
| 65 and over | 30 | 7.8 | 100 | $/$ | $/$ | 1 | / | 15 | 49.0 | / | 1 | 1 | / |
| Total | 383 | 100 | 100 | 56 | 14.6 | 68 | 17.8 | 62 | 16.2 | 142 | 37.0 | 56 | 14.5 |
| Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| under 20 | 71 | 27.2 | 100 | 11 | 15.9 | 32 | 46.0 | 1 | / | 12 | 17.6 | / | / |
| 20-40 | 109 | 42.6 | 100 | 16 | 14.2 | 16 | 14.6 | $/$ | 1 | 62 | 56.5 | 11 | 9.8 |
| 40-65 | 68 | 26.3 | 100 | 1 | / | / | / | 1 | / | 45 | 66.7 | / | 1 |
| 65 and over | 10 | 4.0 | 100 | 1 | 1 | 1 | / | / | 1 | / | 1 | / | $/$ |
| Toge ther | 258 | 100 | 100 | 36 | 14.0 | 50 | 19.6 | 19 | 7.3 | 122 | 47.3 | 31 | 11.9 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| under 20 | 31 | 24.5 | 100 | / | 1 | 14 | 46.3 | 1 | $/$ | 1 | 1 | / | 1 |
| 20-40 | 28 | 22.1 | 100 | / | 1 | / | 1 | $/$ | 1 | 1 | / | 1 | / |
| 40-65 | 48 | 37.8 | 100 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 38.7 | 10 | 20.8 | 11 | 22.1 |
| 65 and over | 20 | 15.6 | 100 | $/$ | $/$ | / | / | 12 | 61.0 | / | / | / | / |
| Together | 126 | 100 | 100 | 20 | 15.7 | 18 | 14.1 | 43 | 34.3 | 20 | 16.0 | 25 | 19.9 |

1) Excl. soldiers. - 2) Percentage of Column 1 or 3 respectively.

Table 35: Persons injured in accidents ${ }^{1)}$ in April 1966, by age groups and participation in economic life

| Age from ... to under ... years | Sex | Total |  |  | Active population |  |  | Non-active population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1000 | \% | $\% 0^{2}$ ) | 1000 | \% | \%03) | 1000 | \% | $\% 0^{3)}$ |
| under 15 | total | 65 | 17.0 | 4.9 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 65 | 49.4 | 4.9 |
|  | male | 42 | 16.4 | 6.2 | $\%$ | 7 | 1 | 42 | 72.5 | 6.2 |
|  | female | 23 | 18.1 | 3.6 | / | / | / | 23 | 30.9 | 3.5 |
| 15-20 | total | 37 | 9.5 | 9.4 | 29 | 11.6 | 11.4 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | male | 29 | 11.1 | 14.3 | 24 | 12.0 | 18.1 | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
|  | female | / | / | / | $/$ | / | / | / | 1 | / |
| 20-30 | total | 74 | 19.2 | 9.1 | 68 | 26.8 | 11.4 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | male | 59 | 22.8 | 15.0 | 56 | 27.9 | 15.8 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | female | 15 | 11.9 | 3.6 | 12 | 22.4 | 5.0 | / | / | 1 |
| 30-40 | total | 63 | 16.3 | 7.7 | 58 | 23.0 | 10.0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | male | 50 | 19.3 | 12.0 | 50 | 24.8 | 12.1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | female | 13 | 10.3 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 40-65 | total | 116 | 30.1 | 6.4 | 93 | 36.7 | 8.2 | 23 | $17.6$ | 3.4 |
|  | male | 68 | 26.5 | 8.7 | 67 | 33.4 | 9.3 | 1 |  | 1 |
|  | female | 48 | 37.8 | 4,6 | 26 | 49.0 | 6.3 | 22 | 29.5 | 3.5 |
| 65 and over | total | 30 | 7.9 | 3.9 |  |  |  | 25 |  |  |
|  | male | 10 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 25.4 | 4.3 |
|  | female | 20 | 15.8 | 4.2 | 1 | / | / | 19 | 25.4 | 4.3 |
| Total | total | 383 | 100 | 6.5 | 253 | 100 | 9.5 | 131 | 100 | 4.0 |
|  | male | 258 | 100 | 9.3 | 200 | 100 | 11.8 | 58 | 100 | 5.3 |
|  | female | 126 | 100 | 4.0 | 53 | 100 | 5.4 | 73 | 100 | 3.4 |

[^32]Table 36: Accidents of children and Juveniles, by age groups and communty size
classes 1964, and sequels of accidents, 1962 to April 1965

| ```Age group Community slze class Sequels of accidents``` | Accidents of children and Juveriles |  |  | Of which accidents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | mriside the home |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { outside the home } \\ & \text { (excl. In road traffic) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | in road traffic |  |  |
|  | tota | male | female | toge her | maìe | female | together | male | female | tore ether | male | femalc |
| 1000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age group from ... to under ... years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| under 3 | 39 | 22 | 17 | 30 | 17 | 13 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| $3-6$ | 78 | 50 | 28 | 40 | 25 | 15 | 22 | 16 | 7 | 16 | 9 | 7 |
| 6-8 | 51 | 32 | 19 | 17 | 10 | 7 | 19 | 13 | 6 | 15 | 9 | 6 |
| 8-10 | 50 | 34 | 17 | 13 | 9 | 4 | 24 | 17 | 7 | 13 | 8 | 5 |
| 10-12 | 46 | 28 | 17 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 27 | 17 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 3 |
| 12-15 | 65 | 42 | 23 | 14 | 9 | 5 | 36 | 23 | 13 | 15 | 9 | 6 |
| rogether | 328 | 207 | 121 | 124 | 77 | 47 | 134 | 88 | 45 | 71 | 42 | 28 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| from ... to under ... <br> inhabitants |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| under 1000 | 40 | 26 | 14 | 19 | 13 | $?$ | 14 | 10 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| $1000-2000$ | 24 | 14 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| $2000-5000$ | 30 | 21 | 10 | 13 | 9 | 4 | 11 | 8 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| $5000-10000$ | 23 | 16 | 8 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| $10000-25000$ | 33 | 21 | 12 | 13 | 8 | 5 | 13 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 3 |
| $25000-50000$ | 27 | 17 | 10 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 3 |
| 50000-100000 | 16 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| 100000 and over | 97 | 57 | 40 | 40 | 23 | 17 | 37 | 21 | 15 | 21 | 12 | 8 |
| Together | $<89$ | 181 | 108 | 121 | 75 | 46 | 111 | 72 | 39 | 58 | 34 | 24 |
| Sequeis of acciderts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Injuries of head, sku $\perp$, brain, cranaal nerves,spine, spinal cord 25.1 26.3 23.0 24.6 25.7 22.9 20.8 22.2 18.0 34.1 35.9 31.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fractures (excl.fractures of skull or spine) | 24.4 | 24.6 | 24.1 | 18.8 | 19.1 | 18.4 | 32.0 | 31.5 | 32.9 | 19.2 | 19.6 | 18.8 |
| Laceration and open wounds, nerve injuries | 18.4 | 18.8 | 17.7 | 18.2 | 18.9 | 17.0 | 20.1 | 20.4 | 19.4 | 15.5 | 15.3 | 15.9 |
| Superficial injuries, contuslon and crushing with Intact skin surface, excl. superficial injuries of head | 11.9 | 10.9 | 13.6 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 9.1 | 9.3 | 8.3 | 11.3 | 21.2 | 19.0 | 24.7 |
| Burns, chemical burns, effects of reduced temper ature, heat, radiation and electric current | 5.3 | 4.9 | 6.1 | 13.0 | 11.9 | 14.6 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 | . |  | . |
| Dislocation, sprains and strains of joints and adjacent muscles | 5.1 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 4.2 | 7.8 | 7.5 | 8.3 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 2.2 |
| Poisonlngs | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 5.2 | 4.8 | 5.8 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.2 | - | - | - |
| Injuries of eye | 1.4 | 1.5 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 0.5 | - | . |
| Internal injuries of chest, abcomen and pelvis | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 |  |  | 0.6 | 0.7 |  | 1.0 | 1.2 | + |
| Other injuries | 5.0 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 5.9 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 4.5 |
| lio injuries | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | . | 1.0 | - | - | - | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.3 |
| Together | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Number in 1000 | 890 | 560 | 330 | 323 | 199 | 125 | 371 | 243 | 128 | 196 | 119 | 78 |

Tabie 37: Fhysically dzsabled persons, by cause of disablement, age and sex, 1962 Federal Republic inct. Berlin (West)

1000

|  |  | Cause of disablemerit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Hge groups } \\ \text { from } . \text {.. to under ... } \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | Fhysically disabled, total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { congent } \\ & \text { ltal } \end{aligned}$ | polio- | occupational disease | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { indus- } \\ \text { trial } \\ \text { accident } \end{gathered}\right.$ | road traffıc accident | other accıdents | ```war-con- nected dlsable- ment``` | pointucal persecution | $\begin{aligned} & \text { specific } \\ & \text { dasease } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cause } \\ & \text { not } \\ & \text { stated } \end{aligned}$ |


| under 15 | 67 | 43 | 6 | - | - | - | 2 |  | - |  | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-30 | 199 | 62 | 14 | 3 | 31 | 14 | 16 |  | 12 |  | 33 | 14 |
| $30-50$ | 1223 | 70 | 28 | 31 | 122 | 22 | 38 |  | 772 | 5 | 109 | 26 |
| $50-60$ | 1011 | 45 | 12 | 103 | 147 | 24 | 45 |  | 394 | 6 | 208 | cy |
| 60-65 | 515 | 19 | 4 | 81 | 80 | 9 | 24 |  | 109 | 5 | 164 | 20 |
| 65 and over | 708 | 25 | 5 | 40 | 113 | 19 | 44 |  | 268 | 7 | 165 | 23 |
| Total | 3722 | 263 | 69 | 257 | 494 | 88 | 170 | 1 | 555 | 22 | 686 | 179 |
| Nen |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| under 15 | 33 | 19 | 3 | - | - | - | 2 |  | - | - | 4 | 5 |
| 15-30 | 127 | 26 | 9 | 2 | 28 | 12 | 13 |  | 4 |  | $<0$ | 9 |
| 30-50 | 1039 | 32 | 18 | 24 | 106 | 16 | 26 |  | 748 | 4 | 51 | 14 |
| $50-60$ | 783 | 20 | 7 | 83 | 126 | 16 | 2'1 |  | 375 | 3 | 107 | 15 |
| 60-65 | 375 | 8 | 2 | 66 | 68 | 5 | 12 |  | 100 | 4 | 100 | 12 |
| 65 and over | 492 | 8 | 3 | 31 | 90 | 10 | 16 |  | 255 | 4 | 64 | 12 |
| Together | 2849 | 113 | 42 | 206 | 418 | 59 | 4.5 | 1 | 485 | 13 | 345 | 68 |
| Womer, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| under 15 | 33 | 24 | 3 | - | $\overline{3}$ | , | ; |  | - | - | 3 | 2 |
| 15-30 | 71 | 35 | 6 | , | 3 | 2 | 3 |  | 4 | - | 13 | 5 |
| $30-50$ | 184 | 38 | 10 | 7 | 17 | 6 | 12 |  | 25 | 2 | 58 | 11 |
| $50-60$ | 228 | 24 | 5 | 19 | 21 | 8 | 15 |  | 15 | 2 | 101 | 14 |
| 60-65 | 139 | 11 | 2 | 15 | 12 | 4 | 7 |  | 9 |  | 65 | 8 |
| 65 and over | 216 | 17 | 2 | 10 | 23 | 9 | 28 |  | 13 | c | 101 | 11 |
| Together | 872 | 149 | 27 | 56 | \% | 30 | リア |  | bo | \% | 341 | v1 |


|  |  | Type of disablement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```Cause of disablement Age groups from ... to under ... years``` | Physically disabled persons, total | $\begin{gathered} \text { blind- } \\ \text { ness } \end{gathered}$ | diseas injur eje (except blind- ness) |  |  | ```injury of back and spine``` |  | ```diseases of respira- tory and diges- tive systems``` | diseases of heart and circuIatory system | other <br> diseases | $\begin{gathered} \text { type of } \\ \text { disable- } \\ \text { ment } \\ \text { not } \\ \text { stated } \end{gathered}$ |

Congenital
Poliomyelitis
Occupational disease
Industrial accident
Road traffic accident
Other accidents
War-connected disablement
Political persecution
Specific disease
Cause not stated
Together

| 263 | 6 | 9 | 17 | 52 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 69 | - | 5 | 3 | 37 |
| 257 | 2 | 37 | 5 | 278 |
| 494 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 55 |
| 88 | 4 | 12 | 3 | 84 |
| 170 | 13 | 58 | 26 | 697 |
| 155 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 22 | 20 | 21 | 28 | 75 |
| 686 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 119 | 52 | 149 | 87 | 1307 |
| 3722 |  |  |  |  |


| 14 | 106 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 2 | 3 |
| 17 | 8 |
| 32 | 19 |
| 4 | 6 |
| 14 | 7 |
| 60 | 114 |
| 2 | 2 |
| 25 | 79 |
| 2 | 25 |
| 171 | 370 |


| 4 | 8 | 40 | 7 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $9 \dot{6}$ | 45 | 24 | 1 |
| 14 | 6 | 82 | 7 |
| $\dot{4}$ | $\dot{3}$ | 17 | 15 |
| 165 | 55 | 299 | 2 |
| 5 | 4 | 6 | 67 |
| 69 | 117 | 238 | 13 |
| 5 | 6 | 12 | 57 |
| 362 | 247 | 801 | 175 |

Congenital
Poliomyelitis
Occupational disease
Industrial accident
Road traffic accident
Other accidents
War-connected disablement
Political persecution
Specific disease
Cause not stated

> Men

Together
113
42
206
418
59
95
1489
15
345
68
2849

| 3 | 5 | 10 | 26 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\dot{1}$ | $\dot{3}$ | $\dot{2}$ | 23 |
| 5 | 35 | 5 | 234 |
| $\dot{2}$ | 1 | $\dot{6}$ | 36 |
| 12 | 9 | 2 | 46 |
| $\dot{8}$ | $\dot{9}$ | 24 | 674 |
| 1 | 1 | 12 | 35 |
| 34 | 121 | 1 | 4 |

$\begin{array}{rr}6 & 35 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 11 & 5 \\ 26 & 17 \\ 2 & 5 \\ 6 & 5 \\ 56 & 107 \\ 11 & 36 \\ 1 & 13 \\ 123 & 226\end{array}$

| 3 | 4 | 19 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 91 | $3 \dot{2}$ | 14 |
| 13 | 5 | 65 |
| $\dot{3}$ | $\dot{2}$ | 117 |
| 160 | 51 | 285 |
| 4 | 2 | 4 |
| 46 | 62 | 118 |
| 3 | 4 | 6 |
| 323 | 163 | 575 |

Congenital
Polionyelitis
Occupational disease
Industrial accident
Road trafilc ac
Other accidents
War-connected disablement
War-connected disabiem
Solitical persecution
Specific disease
Cause not stated
Women

Together

| 149 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | - | - | . | 14 |
| 52 | - | 2 | - | 7 |
| 76 | * | 2 | - | 44 |
| 30 | . | 1 |  | 19 |
| 75 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 37 |
| 66 | . | 3 | 2 | 23 |
| ? | - | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ |  |
| 341 | 12 | 12 | 17 | 39 |
| 51 | . | - | 2 | 2 |
| 872 | 19 | 28 | 30 | 212 |


| 8 | 71 | 1 | 4 | 22 | 3 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\dot{5}$ | 2 | $\dot{6}$ | 13 | 10 | $\dot{3}$ |
| 6 | 2 | - | 1 | 16 | 3 |
| 1 | 1 | $\dot{6}$ | $\dot{6}$ | 17 | $\dot{3}$ |
| 8 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 14 | 2 |
| 4 | 7 | 6 | 2 | $\dot{5}$ |  |
| 14 | 44 | $2 \dot{3}$ | 55 | 120 | 5 |
| 1 | 13 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 23 |
| 48 | 145 | 39 | 84 | 225 | 42 |

Totel

| under 15 | 67 | 1 | 3 | 2 |  | 12 | 2 | 25 | 1 | - | 13 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-30 | 199 | 3 | 9 | 7 |  | 61 | 7 | 52 | 7 | 3 | 37 | 13 |
| 30-50 | 1223 | 11 | 50 | 20 |  | 526 | 56 | 127 | 107 | 37 | 235 | 54 |
| 50-60 | 1011 | 11 | 36 | 18 |  | 319 | 49 | 79 | 130 | 88 | 233 | 45 |
| 60-65 | 515 | 6 | 18 | 12 |  | 121 | 27 | 34 | 65 | 73 | 135 | 25 |
| 65 and over | 708 | 20 | 34 | 28 |  | 268 | 30 | 53 | 51 | 46 | 148 | 31 |
| Total | 3722 | 52 | 149 | 87 | 1 | 306 | 171 | 370 | 362 | 247 | 801 | 175 |
| Men |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| under 15 | 33 127 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 46 | 5 | 10 24 |  | $j$ | 8 24 | 10 |
| 15-30 | 127 | 2 | 7 | 4 |  | 46 | 5 | 24 | 5 | 26 | 24 188 | 10 |
| 30-50 | 1039 | 8 | 45 | 14 |  | 482 | 45 | 91 | 96 | 26 | 188 | 44 |
| 50-60 | 783 | 9 | 31 | 14 |  | 268 | 35 | 52 | 117 | 57 | 167 | 33 |
| 60-65 | 375 | 4 | 13 | ? |  | 89 | 19 | 22 | 59 | 49 | 94 | 19 |
| 65 and over | 492 | 10 | 22 | 17 |  | 203 | 20 | 28 | 46 | 28 | 95 | 23 |
| Together | 2849 | 34 | 121 | 57 | 1 | 095 | 123 | 226 | 323 | 163 | 575 | 133 |


| under 15 | 33 |  |  |  | 6 | 1 | 15 | - |  | 6 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-30 | 71 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 14 | 3 | 29 | 2 |  | 13 | 4 |
| 30-50 | 184 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 44 | 12 | 36 | 12 | 11 | 47 | 10 |
| 50-60 | 228 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 51 | 15 | 27 | 14 | 31 | 66 | 12 |
| 60-65 | 139 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 31 | 8 | 12 | 6 | 23 | 41 | 6 |
| 65 and over | 216 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 65 | 10 | 26 | 5 | 17 | 53 | 8 |
| Together | 872 | 19 | 28 | 30 | 212 | 48 | 145 | 39 | 84 | 225 | 42 |

[^33]Table 39: Physically and mentally disabled persons ${ }^{1)}$, by reduction of earning capacity, type and cause of disablement and age groups, 1966

1000

|  | Total | Cause of disablement |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reduction of earning capacity <br> Type of disablement <br> Aged from ... to under ... years |  | congenital, poliomyelitis | occupational disease, industrial accident | $\begin{gathered} \text { disease } \\ \text { (excl.occu- } \\ \text { pational) } \end{gathered}$ | road traffic accident, other accident | war-connected disablement, polit1oal persecution, other calse, not stated |
|  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |
| Ceficially acknowledged: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| under $50 \%$ | 925 | 23 | 240 | 86 | 69 | 506 |
| 50-99\% | 942 | 45 | 135 | 133 | 51 | 579 |
| 100 \% incapable for work | 802 | 43 | 126 | 347 | 30 | 257 |
| Professional incapacity | 223 | 12 | 49 | 99 | / | 58 |
| Together | 2893 | 123 | 550 | 664 | 156 | 1400 |
| Not officially acknowledged Not stated | 935 227 | 118 52 | 85 14 | $\begin{array}{r} 369 \\ 53 \end{array}$ | 95 | $\begin{array}{r} 268 \\ 99 \end{array}$ |
| Together | 1162 | 170 | 99 | 422 | 104 | 367 |
| Total | 4054 | 293 | 650 | 1086 | 259 | 1767 |
| Blindness | 65 189 | 14 | 3 | 28 | 1 | 21 |
| Diseases and injury of eye | 189 | 14 | 39 | 40 | 15 | 81 |
| Diseases and injury of ear, deafness | 113 1343 | 10 | 27 | 39 154 | 165 | 48 |
| Diseases and injury of back and spine | $\begin{array}{r}1244 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 12 | 279 71 | 154 56 | 165 21 | 679 85 |
| Nervous and mental diseases | 533 | 150 | 25 | 150 | 17 | 193 |
| Disease of respiratory and digestive syatems | 411 | 1 | 104 | 152 | $/$ | 152 |
| Diseases of heart and circulatory system | 506 | 16 | 47 | 275 | 1 | 172 |
| Other diseases | 465 184 | 16 | 50 | 161 | 18 | 220 |
| under 15 | 104 | 62 | / | 14 | 6 | 21 |
| 15-30 | 212 | 67 | 28 | 43 | 28 | 46 |
| 30-50 | 980 | 80 | 133 | 144 | 61 | 562 |
| 50-60 | 1049 | 42 | 172 | 256 | 57 | 521 |
| $60-65$ | . 685 | 20 | 150 | 257 | 37 | 221 |
| 65 and over | 1025 | 22 | 166 | 371 | 71 | 395 |
|  |  | Male |  |  |  |  |
| Officially acknowledged: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| under $50 \%$ $50-99 \%$ | 783 | 12 | 208 | 47 | 47 | 468 |
| $100 \%$ incapable for work | 790 541 | 24 | 119 | 76 | 37 | 535 |
| Professional incapacity | 146 | \% | 40 | - 58 | 16 | 192 38 |
| Together | 2260 | 64 | 474 | 386 | 103 | 1233 |
| Not officially acknowledged Not stated | 424 | 61 | 62 | 136 | 44 | 121 |
|  | 107 | 28 | 11 | 22 | / | 42 |
| Together | 531 | 89 | 73 | 159 | 48 | 163 |
| Total | 2791 | 153 | 547 | 545 | 151 | 1396 |
| BlindnessDiseases and injury of eye | 34 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 15 |
|  | 132 | 10 | 33 | 15 | 13 | 64 |
| Diseases and injury of ear, deafness | -66 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 33 |
| Loss or deformation of limbs | 1021 | 27 | 230 | 60 | 90 | 613 |
| Diseases and injury of back and spine | 152 288 | 86 | 52 19 | 28 | 11 | 57 107 |
| Disease of respiratory and digestive systems | 336 | 86 | 99 | 68 104 | , | 107 130 |
| Diseases of heart and circulatory system | 314 | $\%$ | 40 | 162 | 1 | 107 |
| Other diseases | 304 | 1 | 41 | 70 | 13 | 171 |
| Type of disablement not stated | 144 | $/$ | 22 | 12 | 1 | 103 |
| under 15 | 60 | 37 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 12 |
| 15-30 | 135 | 38 | 25 | 26 | 22 | 25 |
| 30-50 | 759 | 39 | 116 | 67 | 44 | 494 |
| $50-60$ | 763 | 21 | 141 | 122 | 34 | 445 |
| 65 and over | 483 591 | 12 | 132 132 | 152 170 | 21 27 | 165 254 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Officially acknowledged: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| under $50 \%$ | 142 | 11 | 32 | 39 | 22 | 38 |
| $50-99 \%$ | 152 | 21 | 17 | 57 | 14 | 44 |
| 100\% incapable for work | 261 | 20 | 20 | 142 | 13 | 66 |
| Professional incapacity | 78 | / | 1 | 41 | 1 | 20 |
| Together | 633 | 58 | 77 | 279 | 52 | 167 |
| Not officially acknowledged Not stated | 511 | 57 | 23 | 232 | 52 | 147 |
|  | 120 | 24 | / | 31 | 1 | 57 |
| Together | 630 | 81 | 27 | 262 | 56 | 204 |
| Total | 1263 | 139 | 103 | 541 | 108 | 370 |
| Blindness ${ }^{\text {Diseases and injury of eye }}$ | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 57 | $\%$ | $\%$ | 25 | $\%$ | 19 |
| Diseases and injury of ear, deafness | 47 322 | 39 | 48 | 24 | 75 | 15 |
| Loss or deformation of limbs | 322 92 | 39 | 48 4 | 95 29 | 75 10 | 66 |
| Nervous and mental diseases | 245 | 64 | 1 | 82 | 1 | 85 |
| Disease of respiratory and digestive systems | 76 | $\%$ | 1 | 48 | $\%$ | 22 |
| Diseases of heart and circulatory system | 193 | $\%$ | 1 | 113 | $\%$ | 67 |
| Other diseases | 161 | $\%$ | 7 | 91 | 1 | 50 |
| Type of disablement not stated | 40 | 7 | / | 18 | / | 17 |
| under 15 | 44 | 26 | , | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 15-30 | 77 | 29 | 17 | 27 | 1 | 21 |
| 30-50 | 220 | 41 | 17 | 77 | 18 | 68 |
| $50-60$ $60-65$ | 286 | 21 | 31 | 134 | 23 | 75 |
| $60-65$ 65 and over | 203 434 | 15 | 18 | 104 | 16 | 56 |
| slant lines (/) have been used instead of figures where the fields of the table comprise in the sample less than forses (raised to 10 000). |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- 000

| Age groups <br> Community slze class | Present (last) occupation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | public health occupations 1) |  |  | other than public health occupation |  |  | without occupation |  |  | together |  |  |
|  | total | men | women | total | men | women | total | men | women | total | men | women |



1) Physicians, nurses, sanitary personnel, midwives.

Table 41: Small plots of potatoes and vegetables (Microcensus 1962)
Column a: figures, in thousands
Column b: simple relative standard error in \%
Federal Republic incl. Berlin (West)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Total area } \\ \text { from ... } \\ \text { to under . . }{ }^{2} \end{gathered}$ | Househoids (1 000) growing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | potatoes and/or vegetables, total |  | ```Incl. potatoes and vegetables``` |  | potatoes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | total | incl. in gardens and on arable land |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in } \\ & \text { gardens } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { incl. in } \\ & \text { gardens only } \end{aligned}$ |  | on arable land |  |
|  | a | b |  |  | a | b |  | a | b | a | b |  | a | b | a | b | a | b |
| under 300 | 1781.8 | 1.7 | 582.0 | 2.3 |  | 646.9 | 2.3 | 19.7 | 12.5 |  | 630.3 | 2.3 | 610.6 | 2.3 | 36.3 | 12.5 |
| $300-500$ | 808.9 | 2.31 | 461.3 |  |  | 486.9 | 2.3 | 16.9 | 12.5 |  | 478.2 | 2.3 | 461.3 | 2.3 | 25.6 | 12.5 |
| 500-1 000 | 822.0 | 2.3 | 560.0 |  |  | 588.5 |  | 31.4 | 8.5 |  | 575.2 | 2.3 | 543.8 | 2.3 | 44.7 | 8.5 |
| 1000-5000 | 684.2 | 2.3 | 499.1 |  |  | 559.3 | 2.3 | 96.5 | 3.9 |  | 515.9 | 2.3 | 419.4 | 2.3 | 139.9 | . 9 |
| Together | 4096.9 | 1.7 | 2102.4 | 1.7 | 2 | 281.6 | 1.7 | 164.5 | 3.9 | 2 | 199.6 | 1.7 | 2035.1 | 1.7 | 246.5 | 3.9 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Total area } \\ \text { from } \ldots \\ \text { to under } \ldots \mathrm{m}^{2} \end{gathered}$ | Households (1 000) growing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Areas (1 000 ha ) planted with |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | vegetables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | potatoes |  |  |  | vegetables |  |  |  |
|  | total |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { incl. } \\ & \text { Earde } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { arab } \\ & \text { lan } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in } \\ & \text { ns } \\ & \text { on } \\ & \text { le } \\ & \text { de } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { in } \\ & \text { gardens } \end{aligned}$ |  | incl. in gardens only |  | arable <br> land |  | $\underset{\text { in }}{\text { gardens }}$ |  | on arable land |  | $\underset{\text { in }}{\text { gardens }}$ |  | on arable land |  |
|  |  | a | a | b | a | b | a | b | a | b | a | b | $a$ | b | a | b | a | b |
| under 300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $300-500$ | 783.32 .3 |  | 22.58 .5 |  | 772.72 .3 |  | 750.22 .3 |  | 33.18 .5 |  | 6.52 .3 |  | 0.512 .5 |  | 13.3 |  |  | 12.5 |
| 500-1000 | 793.52 .3 |  |  |  | 782 |  | 747 |  | 46.4 | 8.5 | 13.0 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 8.5 | 18.7 |  | 0.6 | 12.5 |
| 1000-5000 | 624.02 .3 |  | 93.9 | 8.5 | 595 | 2.3 | 501 |  | 22.4 |  | 29.4 |  | 14.9 | 3.9 | 26.8 |  |  | 12.5 |
| Together | 39 | 917.71 .7 | 186.1 | 3.9 | 840 |  | 654 |  | 63.7 |  | 53.0 |  | 17.2 | 3.9 |  |  |  | 8.5 |

Table 42: Journeys inside the country by tourist regions and age of vacationers


Table 43: Journeys abroad by countries of destination and age of vacationers


| Land of origin | Period | Jour- <br> neys <br> inside <br> the <br> coun- <br> try, <br> total | Iand of destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Schles- } \\ \text { wig- } \\ \text { Hol- } \\ \text { stein } \end{array}$ | Hamburg, Bremen and Berlin | Lower Saxony | North <br> Rhine- <br> West- <br> phalia | Hesse | Rhine-land-Palatinate and the Saar | Baden- <br> Wuerttemberg | Bavaria | Sovietoccupied zone, Soviet sector of Ber lin, not stated |
| 1000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schleswig-Holstein | $\begin{aligned} & 1962 \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 435 \\ & 658 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 122 \\ & 201 \end{aligned}$ | $21$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77 \\ 104 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \\ & 52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $19$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \\ & 52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 55 \\ 100 \end{array}$ | $18$ |
| Hamburg, Bremen and Berlin | $\begin{aligned} & 1962 \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}1 & 438 \\ 1 & 845\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 262 \\ & 400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 72 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 308 \\ & 360 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 134 \\ & 131 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 95 \\ 141 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 140 \\ & 205 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 343 \\ & 406 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61 \\ & 61 \end{aligned}$ |
| Lower Saxony | $\begin{aligned} & 1962 \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}1 & 123 \\ 1 & 750\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 141 \\ & 219 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & 79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 305 \\ & 496 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 133 \\ & 214 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \\ 134 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \\ & 96 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 125 \\ & 133 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 186 \\ & 233 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 76 \\ 146 \end{array}$ |
| North Rhine-Westphalia | $\begin{aligned} & 1962 \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}3 & 371 \\ 4 & 454\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 226 \\ & 483 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81 \\ 163 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 393 \\ & 456 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 624 \\ 1024 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 304 \\ & 397 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 376 \\ & 337 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 482 \\ & 490 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 704 \\ & 915 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 181 \\ & 189 \end{aligned}$ |
| Hesse | $\begin{aligned} & 1962 \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 722 \\ & 897 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \\ & 78 \end{aligned}$ | $25$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \\ & 51 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \\ & 53 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 122 \\ & 190 \end{aligned}$ | $40$ | $\begin{aligned} & 113 \\ & 126 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 233 \\ & 247 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \\ & 87 \end{aligned}$ |
| Khineland-Palatinate and the Saar | $\begin{aligned} & 1962 \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 540 \\ & 691 \end{aligned}$ | 22 | 13 | $27$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42 \\ & 52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \\ & 62 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 98 \\ 160 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 118 \\ & 133 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 152 \\ & 182 \end{aligned}$ | 24 |
| Baden-Wuerttemberg | $\begin{aligned} & 1962 \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}1 & 043 \\ 1 & 994\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 92 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & 69 \end{aligned}$ | $42$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \\ & 87 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \\ & 94 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 65 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 417 \\ & 914 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 320 \\ & 526 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 80 \\ 109 \end{array}$ |
| Bavaria | $\begin{aligned} & 1962 \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}1 & 111 \\ 1 & 836\end{array}$ | 23 | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \\ & 54 \end{aligned}$ | $31$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \\ & 79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \\ & 90 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \\ & 73 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 109 \\ & 196 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 689 \\ 1 \quad 198 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \\ & 76 \end{aligned}$ |
| Federal Republic | $\begin{aligned} & 1962 \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | 9 9 1783 | 877 1560 | 281 530 | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & 226 \\ 1 & 557 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & 107 \\ 1 & 692 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 770 \\ 1 \quad 158 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 696 \\ & 852 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & 550 \\ 2 & 249 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 2 & 682 \\ 3 & 807 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 594 \\ & 720 \end{aligned}$ |

Slant lines (/) have been used instead of figures where the fields of the table comprise in the sample less than 10 cases (raised to 10000 ).

Table 45: Holiday and recreation travel abroad by Laender of origin and countries of destination, 1966

| Land of origin (summary) | Period | Jour- <br> neys <br> abroad, <br> total | Country of destination |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Benelux | Northern Europe | France | Switzerland | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Spain } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Portugal } \end{array}$ | Italy | Austria | Other <br> countries, not stated |
| 1000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schleswlg-Holstein, Hamburg, Lower Saxony, Bremen, Berlin (West) | 1962 1966 | 1457 1957 | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 155 \\ & 182 \end{aligned}$ | 54 81 | 93 114 | 79 163 | 319 383 | $\begin{aligned} & 568 \\ & 692 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 116 \\ & 243 \end{aligned}$ |
| North Rhine-Westphalia | $\begin{aligned} & 1962 \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | 1795 2510 | $\begin{aligned} & 306 \\ & 400 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34 \\ & 84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71 \\ & 85 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 122 \\ & 161 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 131 \\ & 244 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 390 \\ & 482 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 628 \\ & 802 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 113 \\ & 252 \end{aligned}$ |
| Hesse, Khineland-Palatınate and the Saar | $\begin{aligned} & 1962 \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | 862 1071 | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | $14$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \\ & 83 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \\ & 79 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63 \\ 101 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 234 \\ & 239 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 321 \\ & 358 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 56 \\ 119 \end{array}$ |
| Baden-Wuerttemberg and Bavaria | $\begin{aligned} & 1962 \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}2 & 144 \\ 2 & 924\end{array}$ | 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \\ 163 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 171 \\ & 183 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 81 \\ 146 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 751 \\ & 979 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 841 \\ 1006 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 154 \\ & 351 \end{aligned}$ |
| Federal Republıe | 1962 1966 | 6 6 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 467 \\ & 600 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 229 \\ & 353 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 265 \\ & 412 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 452 \\ & 537 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 354 \\ & 654 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & 694 \\ 2 & 083 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2358 \\ & 2858 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 439 \\ & 965 \end{aligned}$ |

Slant lines (/) have been used instead of figures where the fields of the table comprise in the sample less than 10 cases (ralsed to 10 000).

Table 46: Weekend commuters and means of transport used, by Laender and community size classea

| Land | Unit | Weekend commuters, total | Of which using |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Community size class from ... to under ... inhabitants |  |  | train | private car | bus | ship | plane | tram | motor- <br> cycle, <br> moped | $\begin{aligned} & \text { not } \\ & \text { stated } \end{aligned}$ |

Schleswig-Holstein
Hamburg
Lower Saxony
Eremen
North Rhine-Westph
Hesse
Rhineland-Palatinater
Baden-Wuerttemberg
Bavaria
Saar
Berlin (West)

Fede
under $\quad 5000$
$5000-10000$
$10000-50000$
$50000-100000$
100000 and over

| 1000 | 27.2 | 14.4 | 8.4 | 3.0 |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1000 | 12.0 | 7.9 | 2.5 | 0.7 |  |
| 1000 | 58.5 | 28.7 | 19.4 | 3.6 |  |
| 1000 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 0.9 | 0.2 |  |
| 1000 | 117.2 | 69.4 | 33.7 | 6.7 |  |
| 1000 | 24.4 | 12.0 | 10.2 | 0.8 |  |
| 1000 | 19.4 | 10.4 | 5.6 | 2.6 |  |
| 1000 | 80.4 | 46.5 | 22.7 | 6.6 |  |
| 1000 | 72.9 | 34.6 | 27.5 | 4.7 |  |
| 1000 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.4 |  |
| 1000 | 3.7 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 0.2 |  |
| 1000 | 421.3 | 229.0 | 132.4 | 29.5 |  |
|  | $\%$ | 100 | 54.4 | 31.4 | 7.0 |


| $\overline{0} .1$ | $\overline{0} .1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0.2 | 0.1 |
| - | $\overline{0}$ |
| - | -.4 |
| - | $\overline{-}$ |
| - | 0.1 |
| - | -.2 |
| - | 0.6 |
| - | 1.5 |
| 0.3 | 0.4 |


| - | 1.1 | 0.3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| - | 0.1 | 0.6 |
| 1.0 | 2.2 | 3.3 |
| $\overline{0} .8$ | - | - |
| 0.1 | 0.2 | 5.0 |
| 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.1 |
| 0.9 | 2.5 | 0.4 |
| 0.3 | 3.3 | 2.1 |
| - | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| - | - | 0.2 |
| 3.2 | 11.0 | 14.4 |
| 0.8 | 2.6 | 3.4 |

By community size classes

| 1 | 000 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 000 |
| 1 | 000 |
| 1 | 000 |
| 1 | 000 |$|$


| 89.0 | 35.7 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 39.0 | 19.6 |
| 74.3 | 37.9 |
| 43.6 | 25.9 |
| 175.4 | 109.9 |


| 37.6 | 8.0 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 14.7 | 1.9 |
| 26.7 | 4.7 |
| 9.5 | 6.3 |
| 43.9 | 8.6 |


| $\overline{-}$ | $\overline{0}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\overline{0} .2$ | $\overline{0.1}$ |
| $\overline{0.1}$ | $\overline{0} .1$ |
|  | 1.3 |


| 0.3 | 4.8 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0.5 | 1.4 |
| 0.9 | 1.6 |
| 0.2 | 0.8 |
| 1.3 | 2.4 |

[^34]| Periodicity Distance in km | Weekend commuters, total | Of which used |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | train | private car | bus | ship | plane | tram | motorcycle, moped | not stated |

Once a month
Twice a month
Three times a month
Weekly
Weekly
Less frequently than monthly Not stated

Total

By periodicity 100 to 199
200 to 499 200 to 499 500 to 998 Not stated

| 38.1 | 61.6 | 19.8 | 3.5 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 85.7 | 51.9 | 25.1 | 5.8 |
| 18.7 | 10.4 | 6.0 | 1.4 |
| 166.2 | 65.7 | 72.4 | 17.5 |
| 50.2 | 38.4 | 7.6 | 1.1 |
| 12.4 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 0.2 |
| 421.3 | 229.0 | 132.4 | 29.5 |

By distance ${ }^{1)}$

| up to 99 | 211.0 | 108.7 | 62.3 | 26.5 | 0.2 |  | 3.2 | 9.0 | 1.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 to 199 | 93.7 | 57.0 | 33.0 | 1.2 | - | - | - | 1.7 | 0.8 |
| 200 to 499 | 69.5 | 40.2 | 27.0 | 1.1 | - | 0.4 | - | 0.1 | 0.7 |
| 500 to 998 | 19.1 | 13.1 | 4.6 | 0.2 | - | 1.0 | - | - | 0.2 |
| Not stated | 28.0 | 10.0 | 5.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | - | 0.2 | 11.6 |

1) Entire one-way trip.

Table 48: Confrontation of vehicles and holders of driving licences by groups of vehicles, 1965

| Registered vehicles on 1 July 1965 |  | Holders of driving licences in Nay 1965 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| type of vehicle | $\begin{aligned} & \text { number } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | number 1000 |  |  | class of driving licence |
|  |  | total | male | female |  |

Group 1: Mopeds
Mopeds and motorized cycles
with auxiliary engine
With auxiliary engine speed of $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$

| 1207 | 997 | 914 | 83 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1939 | 1908 | 31 | 2, $2+1$ |
|  | 10654 | 7922 | 2732 | 3, $3+1$ |
|  | 621 | 473 | 148 | 4 |
|  | 556 | 491 | 64 | 5 |
|  | 54 | 46 | 8 | unknown |
| 1207 | 14821 | 11754 | 3067 | together |

Group 2: Motorized cycles, tractors

Motorized cycles with auxiliary engine
not exceeding 50 cm 3 piston displacement and
a maximum speed of over $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$
Motor vehicles with maximum speed of $20 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ (agricultural tractors)


Group 3: Motorcycles


Group 4: Private cars, light commercial vehicles
Private cars and estate cars, small buses with less than 10 seats
Motor lorries not exceeding 7.5 t permissible gross weight
Buses not exceeding 7.5 t permissible gross weight
Special-purpose vehicles not exceeding 7.5 t permissible gross weight

Together

| 9267 |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| 638 |  |
| 4 |  |
|  | 54 |
| 9 | 963 |$|$


| 19939 | 1908 | 31 | $2,2+1$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10654 | 7922 | 2732 | $3,3+1$ |

Group 5: Heavy commercial vehicles
Motor lorries exceeding 7.5 t permissible gross weight,
incl. road tractors and semitrailer tractors
Buses exceeding 7.5 t permissible weight
285
34
31
350

Together

$$
350
$$

| 1939 | 1908 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1939 | 1908 |

$312,2+1$
Special-purpose vehicles exceeding 7.5 t permissible gross weight

1 together


[^0]:    1) Dr. Hermann Schubnell, Federal Statistical Office, Wiesbaden. - 2) Lothar Herberger, Federal Statistical Office, Wiesbaden, - 3) Wilfried Linke, Federal Statistcal Office, Wiesbaden.
[^1]:    1) Cf. S. Koller/ H.Schubnell/ K. Schwarz/ H. Sperling: "Das Programm der Volks- und Berufszählung 1961" in \#irtschaft und Statistik, 1961, No. 4, p. 209 et seq.; cf. L. iierberger: "Erwerbsstatistische Gliederungsgesichtspunkte in der Berufszählung von 1961" in Allgemeines Statistisches Archiv, No. $1,1963$.
[^2]:    1) Mainly persons registered as not gainfully employed who have a minor incidental income from economic activity.
[^3]:    a) "Trade and transport": $13 \%$ of the male and about $14 \%$ of the female economically active persons,

[^4]:    1) As institutional communities were further considered communities with garrisons of foreign armed forces or in their neighbourhood.
[^5]:    1) $E x$ a m p 1 e: Of 200 communities of 400 inhabitants each(sampling group: 50 persons), every 5,000th person of 80,000 inhabitants is to be included in the compunity sampling; thus 16 communities of $200\left(\frac{400}{50} \% 8 \%\right)$ are selected.
[^6]:    1) See Table $2 ;$ size classes of comunities of 25000 and more inhabitants were considered as one size class. - 2) See Table if size classes of communities of 50000 and more inhabitants were considered as one size class. - 3 ) Per federal Land. - 4) If strata are formed, number within the individual strata. - 5) froportionally to the population of the commLand. - 4) ifies in 1950 ; gampling interval dependent on the bench-mark (see Table 2). - 6) Self-weighting sample; the sampling nities in 1950; sampling interval dependent on the bench-mark (see Table 2). - 6) Self-weighting sample; the sampling fraction of the first stage is the proportion ( $\%$ of the selected communities in the of the persons covered in the number of persons living in all selected communities together. - 7) Within zones (groups of communtiea in the order of the 1 右-sample survey with the same total of bench-marks). - 8) According to sample design.
[^7]:    1) In Berlin, Hamburg, Bremen and in some other cities, the entire urban area was subdivided into enumeration areas.
[^8]:    1) Standard enumeration district $=$ enumeration district without institutions or establishments of the hotel industry.
[^9]:    2) The allocation of communities by size classes was made on the basis of the resident population updated to 31 December 1960.
[^10]:    1) The enumeration districts of the 1961 Population and Occupation Census selected for the Microcensus will in the following be referred to as sampling districts.
[^11]:    1) See Wirtschaft und Statistik, 1964, No.4, p. 225.- 2) The sub-sample for the 0.1\%-samples of enumeration districts is executed in the individual thirds of rotation in conformity with the sample design of the $1 \%$-inquiry.
[^12]:    1) Random sampling number "3" = starting point for the definite selection of enumeration districts ir
[^13]:    Halving of enumeration districts: A-K

[^14]:    1) Extracted from 'Iac Arbeltsgeblet der Bundesstatistık", November 1966, p. 310, Federal Statistıcal Office, Wıesbaden.
    ¿) Fer federal tard. - $j$ According to sample design. - 4) General sampling fraction.
[^15]:    Example:
    In the Federal Republic the number of economically active men in production industries is about 9.6 millions. Chart 9 shows on the error curve $E$ for this frequency $a$ relative standard error of 0.8 per cent.

    It can further be seen from the graph of the error curves that the relative standard error for raised frequencies of under 5,000, i.e. for less than 50 persons or cases in the sample, exceeds 20 or for all groups of characteristics. Where the results are subject to a relative standard error of more than $20 \%$, they have only little significance and should therefore not be used for comparisons.

[^16]:    1) The legal foundation was provided by the Law Amending the Law on the Execution of a Sample Survey on Population and Economic Activity (Microcensus) of 5 December 1960,Bundesgesetzblatt I, p. 873.- 2) For a further presentation of the results see Dr. H. Schubnell, "Die Erwerbstätigkeit von Frauen und Miittern und die Betreuung ihrer Kinder" (Economically active women and mothers and the custody of their children), in "Wirtschaft und Statistik", No. 8, August 1964, p. 444 456, 458* - 459*
[^17]:    1) In order to avoid that mothers feeling guilty about their children answer the question concerning their custody only reluctantly, or not always truthfully, the questions were so arranged that the lack of care could be stated by an unobtrusive and gradual approach.
[^18]:    1) Ordered by Federal Government Ordinance of 16 December 1963 under the Law on the Execution of a Sample Survey on Fopulation and Economic Activity (Microcensus) of 21 December 1962, Bundesgesetzblatt I, p. 767.
[^19]:    1) These are the reasons why the results differ from those of the basic $1 \%$-inquiry of $A$ pril 1964 by $2.6 \%$ for the total and $2.4 \%$ for the economically active population. 2) Raised figures - excl. soldiers.
[^20]:    1) For the results of the Microcensus inquiry of October 1957 on vocational training and occupations, see Wirtschaft und Statjstik, 1961/5, p.297. When comparing the rellts with those of the 1961 Population and ccupation Census, account should be taken of the fact that in the 1961 Census information was collected on education in general and that administrative schools were not considered.
[^21]:    1) Ordered by Federal Government Ordinance or 16 Leanm jer 1963 under the Law on the Execution $u$ : Sample Survey on Population and Economic intivity (microcensus) of $21 \mathrm{De}-$ cember 190:', 5urciesgesetzblatt I, p. 767.2) Fhjsicisut, ruises, sanitary personnel, midnives.
[^22]:    1) Ordered by Federal Government Ordinance of 16 December 1963 under the Law on the Execution of a Sample Survey on Population and Economic Activity (Microcensus) of 21 December 1962, Bundesgesetzblatt I, p. 767.
[^23]:    1) The legal foundation was provided by the "First Ordinance on the Supplementary Programme for the Microcensus" of 16 December 1963(Bundesgesetzblatt I, p.883) supplementing the Law on the Execution of a Sample Survey on Population and Economic Activity (Microcensus) of 21 December 1962 (Bundesgesetzblatt I, p. 767).
[^24]:    1) Incl. central and local government and social security.
[^25]:    Slant lines (/) have been used instead of figures where the fields of the table comprise in the sample less than 10 cases (raised to 10000 ).

[^26]:    Slant lines (/) have been used instead of figures where the fields of the table comprise in the sample less than 10 cases (raised to 10000 ).

[^27]:    1) Incl. commercial apprentices. - 2) Incl. industrial apprentices and homework.
[^28]:    Dots have been used instead of figures where the fields of the table comprise in the sample less than 10 cases (raised to 1000 ).

    1) Incl. commercial apprentices. - 2) Incl. industrial apprentices and homework.
[^29]:    Slant lines (/) have been used instead of figures where the fields of the table comprise in the sample leas than 50 cases (ralsed to 5 OOD)

[^30]:    Slant lines (/) have been used instead of figures where the fields of the table comprise in the sample less than 10 cases (raised to 10 000).

    1) Excl. soldiers. - 2) Incl. commercial apprentices. - 3) Inci. industrial apprentices. - 4) Proportion in the relevant group of economically active persons of corresponding age and sex on 30 April 1966.
[^31]:    Insinficientiy
    Excl. soldiers.

[^32]:    1) Excl. soldiers. - 2) Proportion in resident population of the relevant age group on 30 April 1966. - 3) Proportion in the active and the non-active population of the relevant age group on 30 April 1966.
[^33]:    Dots have been used instead of figures whenever the fields of the table comprise in the sample less than 10 cases (raised
    to 1000 ).

[^34]:    2.6
    0.8
    2.3
    0.8

